

MODERN ENGLISH WRITERS

ALFRED TENNYSON

MODERN ENGLISH WRITERS.

MATTHEW ARNOLD

R L STEVENSON

JOHN RUSKIN

TENNYSON

GEORGE ELIOT

BROWNING

FROUDE

HUXLEY

THACKERAY

DICKENS

Professor SAINTSBURY

L COPP CORNFORD

Mrs MEYNELL

ANDREW LANG

A T QUIETER COUCH

C H HEAFORD

JOHN OLIVER HOBBS

EDWARD CLODD

CHARLES WHIBLEY

W E HENLEY

** * Other Volumes will be announced in due course*

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD & SONS, EDINBURGH AND LONDON

ALFRED TENNYSON

BY

ANDREW LANG

SECOND EDITION

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD AND SONS
EDINBURGH AND LONDON
MCM I

All Rights reserved

INTRODUCTION

IN writing this brief sketch of the Life of Tennyson, and this attempt to appreciate his work, I have rested almost entirely on the Biography by Lord Tennyson (with his kind permission) and on the text of the Poems. As to the Life, doubtless current anecdotes, not given in the Biography, are known to me, and to most people. But as they must also be familiar to the author of the Biography, I have not thought it desirable to include what he rejected. The works of the "localisers" I have not read. Tennyson disliked these researches, as a rule, and they appear to be unessential, and often hazardous. The professed commentators I have not consulted. It appeared better to give one's own impressions of the Poems, unaffected by the impressions of others, except in one or two

cases where matters of fact rather than of taste seemed to be in question. Thus on two or three points I have ventured to differ from a distinguished living critic, and have given the reasons for my dissent. Professor Bradley's *Commentary on In Memoriam*¹ came out after this sketch was in print. Many of the comments cited by Mr Bradley from his predecessors appear to justify my neglect of these curious inquirers. The "difficulties" which they raise are not likely, as a rule, to present themselves to persons who read poetry "for human pleasure."

I have not often dwelt on parallels to be found in the works of earlier poets. In many cases Tennyson deliberately reproduced passages from Greek, Latin, and old Italian writers, just as Virgil did in the case of Homer, Theocritus, Apollonius Rhodius, and others. There are, doubtless, instances in which a phrase is unconsciously reproduced by automatic memory, from an English poet. But I am less inclined than Mr Bradley to think that unconscious reminiscence is more common in Tennyson than in the poets generally. I have not closely examined Keats and Shelley, for example, to see how far.

¹ Macmillan & Co.

they were influenced by unconscious memory. But Scott, confessedly, was apt to reproduce the phrases of others, and once unwittingly borrowed from a poem by the valet of one of his friends! I believe that many of the alleged borrowings in Tennyson are either no true parallels at all or are the unavoidable coincidences of expression which must inevitably occur. The poet himself stated, in a lively phrase, his opinion of the hunters after parallels, and I confess that I am much of his mind. They often remind me of Mr Punch's parody on an unfriendly review of Alexander Smith—

"Most *women* have *no character* at all"—POPE

"*No character* that servant *woman* asked"—SMITH

By an accidental oversight of my own my acknowledgment of Messrs Macmillans' kind permission to cite portions of Tennyson's works in their copyright was omitted from the first impression of this volume.

I have to thank Mr Edmund Gosse and Mr Vernon Rendall for their kindness in reading my proof-sheets. They have saved me from some errors, but I may have occasionally retained matter which, for one reason or another, did not recommend itself to them. In no case are they

responsible for the opinions expressed, or for the critical estimates. They are those of a Tennysonian, and, no doubt, would be other than they are if the writer were younger than he is. It does not follow that they would necessarily be more correct, though probably they would be more in vogue. The point of view must shift with each generation of readers, as ideas or beliefs go in or out of fashion, are accepted, rejected, or rehabilitated. To one age Tennyson may seem weakly superstitious, to another needlessly sceptical. After all, what he must live by is, not his opinions, but his poetry. The poetry of Milton survives his ideas, whatever may be the fate of the ideas of Tennyson his poetry must endure.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
I BOYHOOD—CAMBRIDGE—EARLY POEMS	I
II POEMS OF 1831-1833	22
III 1837-1842	35
IV 1842-1848—'THE PRINCESS'	46
V 'IN MEMORIAM'	61
VI AFTER 'IN MEMORIAM'	81
VII 'THE IDYLLS OF THE KING'	103
VIII 'ENOCH ARDEN' THE DRAMAS .	158
IX LAST YEARS . . .	194
X 1890 . . .	203
XI LAST CHAPTER . . .	212
<hr/>	
INDEX . . .	231

TENNYSON.



I

BOYHOOD—CAMBRIDGE—EARLY POEMS

THE life and work of Tennyson present something like the normal type of what, in circumstances as fortunate as mortals may expect, the life and work of a modern poet ought to be. A modern poet, one says, because even poetry is now affected by the division of labour. We do not look to the poet for a large share in the practical activities of existence: we do not expect him, like Æschylus and Sophocles, Theognis and Alcæus, to take a conspicuous part in politics and war, or even, as in the Age of Anne, to shine among wits and in society. Life has become, perhaps, too specialised for such multifarious activities. Indeed, even in ancient days, as a Celtic proverb and as the picture of life in the Homeric epics prove, the poet was already a man apart—not foremost among statesmen and rather backward among warriors. If we agree with a not un-

popular opinion, the poet ought to be a kind of "Titanic" force, wrecking himself on his own passions and on the nature of things, as did Byron, Burns, Marlowe, and Musset. But Tennyson's career followed lines really more normal, the lines of the life of Wordsworth, wisdom and self-control directing the course of a long, sane, sound, and fortunate existence. The great physical strength which is commonly the basis of great mental vigour was not ruined in Tennyson by poverty and passion, as in the case of Burns, nor in forced literary labour, as in those of Scott and Dickens. For long he was poor, like Wordsworth and Southey, but never destitute. He made his early effort, he had his time of great sorrow, and trial, and apparent failure. With practical wisdom he conquered circumstances, he became eminent, he outlived reaction against his genius, he died in the fulness of a happy age and of renown. This full-orbed life, with not a few years of sorrow and stress, is what Nature seems to intend for the career of a divine minstrel. If Tennyson missed the "one crowded hour of glorious life," he had not to be content in "an age without a name"

It was not Tennyson's lot to illustrate any modern theory of the origin of genius. Born in 1809 of a Lincolnshire family, long connected with the soil but inconspicuous in history, Tennyson had nothing Celtic in his blood, as far as pedigrees prove. This is unfortunate for one school of theorists. His mother (genius is presumed to be derived from mothers) had a

genius merely for moral excellence and for religion. She is described in the poem of *Isabel*, and was "a remarkable and saintly woman." In the male line, the family was not (as the families of genius ought to be) brief of life and unhealthy. "The Tennysons never die," said the sister who was betrothed to Arthur Hallam. The father, a clergyman, was, says his grandson, "a man of great ability," and his "excellent library" was an element in the education of his family. "My father was a poet," Tennyson said, "and could write regular verse very skilfully." In physical type the sons were tall, strong, and unusually dark. Tennyson, when abroad, was not taken for an Englishman, at home, strangers thought him "~~foreign~~." Most of the children had the temperament, and several of the sons had some of the accomplishments, of genius. Whence derived by way of heredity is a question beyond conjecture, for the father's accomplishment was not unusual. As Walton says of the poet and the angler, they "were born to be so" we know no more.

The region in which the paternal hamlet of Somersby lies, "a land of quiet villages, large fields, grey hillsides, and noble tall-towered churches, on the lower slope of a Lincolnshire wold," does not appear to have been rich in romantic legend and tradition. The folk-lore of Lincolnshire, of which examples have been published, does indeed seem to have a peculiar poetry of its own, but it was rather the humorous than the poetical aspect of the country-people that Tennyson appears to have

known In brief, we have nothing to inform us as to how genius came into that generation of Tennysons which was born between 1807 and 1819 A source and a cause there must have been, but these things are hidden, except from popular science

Precocity is not a sign of genius, but genius is perhaps always accompanied by precocity This is especially notable in the cases of painting, music, and mathematics, but in the matter of literature genius may chiefly show itself in acquisition, as in Sir Walter Scott, who when a boy knew much, but did little that would attract notice ° As a child and a boy young Tennyson was remarked both for acquisition and performance His own reminiscences of his childhood varied somewhat in detail In one place we learn that at the age of eight he covered a slate with blank verse in the manner of Jamie Thomson, the only poet with whom he was then acquainted In another passage he says, "The first poetry that moved me was my own at five years old. When I was eight I remember making a line I thought grander than Campbell, or Byron, or Scott I rolled it out, it was this—

'With slaughterous sons of thunder rolled the flood'—

great nonsense, of course, but I thought it fine!"

It *was* fine, and was thoroughly Tennysonian Scott, Campbell, and Byron probably never produced a line with the qualities of this nonsense verse "Before I could read I was in the habit on a stormy day of spread-

ing my arms to the wind and crying out, 'I hear a voice that's speaking in the wind,' and the words 'far, far away' had always a strange charm for me" A late lyric has this overword, *Far, far away!*

A boy of eight who knew the contemporary poets was more or less precocious. Tennyson also knew Pope, and wrote hundreds of lines in Pope's measure. At twelve the boy produced an epic, in Scott's manner, of some six thousand lines. He "never felt himself more truly inspired," for the sense of "inspiration" (as the late Mr Myers has argued in an essay on the "Mechanism of Genius") has little to do with the actual value of the product. At fourteen Tennyson wrote a drama in blank verse. A chorus from this play (as one guesses), a piece from "an unpublished drama written very early," is published in the volume of 1830 —

"The varied earth, the moving heaven,
The rapid waste of roving sea,
The fountain-pregnant mountains riven
To shapes of wildest anarchy,
By secret fire and midnight storms
That wander round their windy cones"

These lines are already Tennysonian. There is the classical transcript, "the varied earth," *dædala tellus*. There is the geological interest in the forces that shape the hills. There is the use of the favourite word "windy," and later in the piece—

"The troublous autumn's *sallow* gloom"

The young poet from boyhood was original in his manner

Byron made him *blasé* at fourteen. Then Byron died, and Tennyson scratched on a rock "Byron is dead," or "a day when the whole world seemed darkened for me." Later he considered Byron's poetry "too much akin to rhetoric." "Byron is not an artist or a thinker, or a creator in the higher sense, but a strong personality, he is endlessly clever, and is now unduly depreciated." He "did give the world another heart and new pulses, and so we are kept going." But "he was dominated by Byron till he was seventeen, when he put him away altogether."

In his boyhood, despite the sufferings which he endured for a while at school at Louth, despite bullying from big boys and masters, Tennyson would "shout his verses to the skies." "Well, Arthur, I mean to be famous," he used to say to one of his brothers. He observed nature very closely by the brook and the thundering sea-shores; he was never a sportsman, and his angling was in the manner of the lover of *The Miller's Daughter*. He was seventeen (1826) when *Poems by Two Brothers* (himself and his brother Charles) was published with the date 1827. These poems contain, as far as I have been able to discover, nothing really Tennysonian. What he had done in his own manner was omitted, "being thought too much out of the common for the public taste." The young poet had already saying common-sense, and understood the

public Fragments of the true gold are found in the volume of 1830, others are preserved in the Biography. The ballad suggested by *The Bride of Lammermoor* was not unworthy of Beddoes, and that novel, one cannot but think, suggested the opening situation in *Maud*, where the hero is a modern Master of Ravenswood in his relation to the rich interloping family and the beautiful daughter. To this point we shall return. It does not appear that Tennyson was conscious in *Maud* of the suggestion from Scott, and the coincidence may be merely accidental.

The Lover's Tale, published in 1879, was mainly a work of the poet's nineteenth year. A few copies had been printed for friends. One of these, with errors of the press, and without the intended alterations, was pirated by an unhappy man in 1875. In old age Tennyson brought out the work of his boyhood. "It was written before I had ever seen Shelley, though it is called Shelleyan," he said, and indeed he believed that his work had never been imitative, after his earliest efforts in the manner of Thomson and of Scott. The only things in *The Lover's Tale* which would suggest that the poet here followed Shelley are the Italian scene of the story, the character of the versification, and the extraordinary luxuriance and exuberance of the imagery.¹ As early as

¹ To the present writer, as to others, *The Lover's Tale* appeared to be imitative of Shelley, but if Tennyson had never read Shelley, ~~does~~ *quæsto*

1868 Tennyson heard that written copies of *The Lover's Tale* were in circulation. He then remarked, as to the exuberance of the piece: "Allowance must be made for abundance of youth. It is rich and full, but there are mistakes in it. The poem is the breath of young love."

How truly Tennysonian the manner is may be understood even from the opening lines, full of the original cadences which were to become so familiar:—

"Here far away, seen from the topmost cliff,
Filling with purple gloom the vacancies
Between the tufted hills, the sloping seas
Hung in mid-heaven, and half way down rare sails,
White as white clouds, floated from sky to sky."

The narrative in parts one and two (which alone were written in youth) is so choked with images and descriptions as to be almost obscure. It is the story, practically, of a love like that of Paul and Virginia, but the love is not returned by the girl, who prefers the friend of the narrator. Like the hero of *Maud*, the speaker has a period of madness and illusion, while the third part, "The Golden Supper"—suggested by a story of Boccaccio, and written in maturity—is put in the mouth of another narrator, and is in a different style. The discarded lover, visiting the vault which contains the body of his lady, finds her alive, and restores her to her husband. The whole finished legend is necessarily not among the author's masterpieces. But perhaps not even Keats in his earliest work displayed more of

promise, and gave more assurance of genius. Here and there come turns and phrases, "all the charm of all the Muses," which remind a reader of things later well known in pieces more mature. Such lines are—

" Strange to me and sweet,
Sweet through strange years,"

and—

" Like to a low-hung and a fiery sky

Hung round with *ragged rims* and burning folds "

And—

" Like sounds without the twilight realm of dreams,
Which wander round the bases of the hills "

We also note close observation of nature in the curious phrase—

" Cries of the partridge like a rusty key
Turned in a lock "

Of this kind was Tennyson's adolescent vein, when he left

" The poplars four
That stood beside his father's door,"

and the Somersby brook, and the mills and granges, the seas of the Lincolnshire coast, and the hills and dales among the wolds, for Cambridge. He was well read in old and contemporary English literature, and in the classics. Already he was acquainted with the singular trisyllabic condition to which his poems occasionally allude, a subject for comment later. He matriculated at

Trinity, with his brother Charles, on February 20, 1828, and had an interview of a not quite friendly sort with a proctor before he wore the gown

That Tennyson should go to Cambridge, not to Oxford, was part of the nature of things, by which Cambridge educates the majority of English poets, whereas Oxford has only "turned out" a few—like Shelley. At that time, as in Macaulay's day, the path of university honours at Cambridge lay through Mathematics, and, except for his prize poem in 1829, Tennyson took no honours at all. His classical reading was pursued as literature, not as a course of grammar and philology. No English poet, at least since Milton, had been better read in the classics, but Tennyson's studies did not aim at the gaining of academic distinction. His aspect was such that Thompson, later Master of Trinity, on first seeing him come into hall, said, "That man must be a poet". Like Byron, Shelley, and probably Coleridge, Tennyson looked the poet that he was. "Six feet high, broad-chested, strong-limbed, his face Shakespearian and with deep eyelids, his forehead ample, crowned with dark wavy hair, his head finely poised."

Not much is recorded of Tennyson as an undergraduate. In our days efforts would have been made to enlist so promising a recruit in one of the college boats, but rowing was in its infancy. It is a peculiarity of the universities that little flocks of men of unusual ability come up at intervals together, breaking the monotony of idlers, prize scholars, and honours men

Such a group appeared at Balliol in Matthew Arnold's time, and rather later, at various colleges, in the dawn of Pre-Raphaelitism. The Tennysons—Alfred, Frederick, and Charles—were members of such a set. There was Arthur Hallam, son of the historian, from Eton, there was Spedding, the editor and biographer of Bacon, Milnes (Lord Houghton), Blakesley (Dean of Lincoln), Thompson, Merivale, Trench (a poet, and later, Archbishop of Dublin), Brookfield, Buller, and, after Tennyson the greatest, Thackeray, a contemporary if not an "Apostle." Charles Buller's, like Hallam's, was to be an "unfulfilled renown." Of Hallam, whose name is for ever linked with his own, Tennyson said that he would have been a great man, but not a great poet, "he was as near perfection as mortal man could be." His scanty remains are chiefly notable for his divination of Tennyson as a great poet, for the rest, we can only trust the author of *In Memoriam* and the verdict of tradition.

The studies of the poet at this time included original composition in Greek and Latin verse, history, and a theme that he alone has made poetical, natural science. All poetry has its roots in the age before natural science was more than a series of nature-myths. The poets have usually, like Keats, regretted the days when

"There was an awful rainbow once in heaven."

when the hills and streams were not yet "dispeopled of their dreams." Tennyson, on the other hand, was

already finding material for poetry in the world as seen through microscope and telescope, and as developed through "æonian" processes of evolution. In a notebook, mixed with Greek, is a poem on the Moon—not the moon of Selene, "the orbéd Maiden," but of astronomical science. *In Memoriam* recalls the conversations on labour and politics, discussions of the age of the Reform Bill, of rick-burning (expected to "make taters cheaper"), and of Catholic emancipation, also the emancipation of such negroes as had not yet tasted the blessings of freedom. In politics Tennyson was what he remained a patriot, a friend of freedom, a foe of disorder. His politics, he said, were those "of Shakespeare, Bacon, and every sane man." He was one of the Society of Apostles, and characteristically contributed an essay on Ghosts. Only the preface survives—it is not written in a scientific style, but bids us "not assume that any vision *is* baseless." Perhaps the author went on to discuss "veridical hallucinations," but his ideas about these things must be considered later.

It was by his father's wish that Tennyson competed for the English prize poem. The theme, *Timbuctoo*, was not inspiring. Thackeray wrote a good parody of the ordinary prize poem in Pope's metre—

"I see her sons the hill of glory mount,
And sell their sugars on their own account,
Prone to her feet the prostrate nations come,
Sue for her rice and barters for her rum."

Tennyson's work was not much more serious he merely patched up an old piece, in blank verse, on the battle of Armageddon. The poem is not destitute of Tennysonian cadence, and ends, not inappropriately, with "All was night" Indeed, all was night

An ingenious myth accounts for Tennyson's success. At Oxford, says Charles Wordsworth, the author was more likely to have been rusticated than rewarded. But already (1829) Arthur Hallam told Mr Gladstone that Tennyson "promised fair to be the greatest poet of our generation, perhaps of our century"

In 1830 Tennyson published the first volume of which he was sole author. Browning's *Pauline* was of the year 1833. It was the very dead hours of the Muses. The great Mr Murray had ceased, as one despairing of song, to publish poetry. Bulwer Lytton, in the preface to *Paul Clifford* (1830), announced that poetry, with every other form of literature except the Novel, was unremunerative and unread. Coleridge and Scott were silent indeed Sir Walter was near his death, Wordsworth had shot his bolt, though an arrow or two were left in the quiver. Keats, Shelley, and Byron were dead, Milman's brief vogue was departing. It seemed as if novels alone could appeal to readers, so great a change in taste had been wrought by the sixteen years of *Waverley* romances. The slim volume of Tennyson was naturally neglected, though Leigh Hunt reviewed it in the *Tatler*. Hallam's comments in the *Englishman's Magazine* though en-

thusiastic (as was right and natural), were judicious. "The author imitates no one" Coleridge did not read all the book, but noted "things of a good deal of beauty. The misfortune is that he has begun to write verses without very well understanding what metre is" As Tennyson said in 1890, "So I, an old man, who get a poem or poems every day, might cast a casual glance at a book, and seeing something which I could not scan or understand, might possibly decide against the book without further consideration" As a rule, the said books are worthless. The number of versifiers makes it hard, indeed, for the poet to win recognition. One little new book of rhyme is so like another, and almost all are of so little interest!

The rare book that differs from the rest has a *bizarrerie* with its originality, and in the poems of 1830 there was, assuredly, more than enough of the bizarre. There were no hyphens in the double epithets, and words like "tendriltwine" seemed provokingly affected. A kind of lusciousness, like that of Keats when under the influence of Leigh Hunt, may here and there be observed. Such faults as these catch the indifferent eye when a new book is first opened, and the volume of 1830 was probably condemned by almost every reader of the previous generation who deigned to afford it a glance. Out of fifty-six pieces only twenty-three were reprinted in the two volumes of 1842, which won for Tennyson the general recognition of the world of letters. Five or six of the pieces then left out were

added as *Juvenilia* in the collected works of 1871, 1872. The whole mass deserves the attention of students of the poet's development.

This early volume may be said to contain, in the germ, all the great original qualities of Tennyson, except the humour of his rural studies and the elaboration of his Idylls. For example, in *Mariana* we first note what may be called his perfection and mature accomplishment. The very few alterations made later are verbal. The moated grange of Mariana in *Measure for Measure*, and her mood of desertion and despair, are elaborated by a precision of truth and with a perfection of harmony worthy of Shakespeare himself, and minutely studied from the natural scenes in which the poet was born. If these verses alone survived out of the wreck of Victorian literature, they would demonstrate the greatness of the author as clearly as do the fragments of Sappho. *Isabel* (a study of the poet's mother) is almost as remarkable in its stately dignity, while *Recollections of the Arabian Nights* attest the power of refined luxury in romantic description, and herald the unmatched beauty of *The Lotos-Eaters*. *The Poet*, again, is a picture of that deal which Tennyson himself was to fulfil, and *Oriana* is a revival of romance, and of the ballad, not limited to the Ballad form as in its prototype, *Helen of Kirkconnell*. Curious and exquisite experiment in metre is indicated in the *Leonine Elegiacs*, in *Claribel*, and several other poems. Qualities which were not for long to find public

expression, speculative powers brooding, in various moods, on ultimate and insoluble questions, were attested by *The 'Mystic*, and *Supposed Confessions of a Second rate Sensitive Mind not in Unity with Itself*, an unlucky title of a remarkable performance "In this, the most agitated of all his poems, we find the soul urging onward

‘Thro’ utter dark a full-sail’d skiff,
Unpiloted i’ the echoing dance
Of reboant whirlwinds,’

and to the question, ‘Why not believe, then?’ we have as answer a simile of the sea, which cannot slumber like a mountain tarn, or

‘Draw down into his vexed pools
All that blue heaven which hues and paves’

the tranquil inland mere”¹

The poet longs for the faith of his infant days and of his mother—

“Thy mild deep eyes upraised, that knew
The beauty and repose of faith,
And the clear spirit shining thro’”

That faith is already shaken, and the long struggle for belief has already begun

Tennyson, according to Matthew Arnold, was not *un-
esprit puissant*. Other and younger critics, who have attained to a cock-certain mood of negation, are apt to blame him because, in fact, he did not finally agree with

¹ F. W. H. Myers, *Science and a Future Life*, p. 133

their opinions If a man is necessarily a weakling or a hypocrite because, after trying all things, he is not an atheist or a materialist, then the reproach of insincerity or of feebleness of mind must rest upon Tennyson But it is manifest that, almost in boyhood, he had already faced the ideas which, to one of his characters, almost meant despair he had not kept his eyes closed To his extremely self-satisfied accusers we might answer, in lines from this earliest volume (*The Mystic*) —

“Ye scorn him with an undiscerning scorn,
Ye cannot read the marvel in his eye,
The still serene abstraction”

He would behold

“One shadow in the midst of a great light,
One reflex from eternity on time,
One mighty countenance of perfect calm,
Awful with most invariable eyes”

His mystic of these boyish years—

“Often lying broad awake, and yet
Remaining from the body, and apart
In intellect and power and will, hath heard
Time flowing in the middle of the night,
And all things creeping to a day of doom”

In this poem, never republished by the author, is an attempt to express an experience which in later years he more than once endeavoured to set forth in articulate speech, an experience which was destined to colour his final speculations on ultimate problems of God and of the

soul We shall later have to discuss the opinion of an eminent critic, Mr Frederic Harrison, that Tennyson's ideas, theological, evolutionary, and generally speculative, "followed, rather than created, the current ideas of his time" "The train of thought" (in *In Memoriam*), writes Mr Harrison, "is essentially that with which ordinary English readers had been made familiar by F D Maurice, Professor Jowett, Dr Martineau, *Ecce Homo, Hypatia*" Of these influences only Maurice, and Maurice only orally, could have reached the author of *The Mystic* and the *Supposed Confessions Ecce Homo, Hypatia*, Mr Jowett, were all in the bosom of the future when *In Memoriam* was written Now, *The Mystic* and the *Supposed Confessions* are prior to *In Memoriam*, earlier than 1830 Yet they already contain the chief speculative tendencies of *In Memoriam*, the growing doubts caused by evolutionary ideas (then familiar to Tennyson, though not to "ordinary English readers"), the longing for a return to childlike faith, and the mystical experiences which helped Tennyson to recover a faith that abode with him In these things he was original Even as an undergraduate he was not following "a train of thought made familiar" by authors who had not yet written a line, and by books which had not yet been published

So much, then, of the poet that was to be and of the philosopher existed in the little volume of the undergraduate In *The Mystic* we notice a phrase, two words long, which was later to be made familiar, "Daughters

of time, divinely tall," reproduced in the picture of Helen —

"A daughter of the Gods, divinely tall,
And most divinely fair "

The reflective pieces are certainly of more interest now (though they seem to have satisfied the poet less) than the gallery of airy fairy Lilians, Adelines, Rosalinds, and Eleanores —

"Daughters of dreams and of stories,"
like

"Faustine, Fragoletta, Dolores,
Felise, and Yolande, and Juliette "

Cambridge, which he was soon to leave, did not satisfy the poet Oxford did not satisfy Gibbon, or later, Shelley, and young men of genius are not, in fact, usually content with universities which, perhaps, are doing their best, but are neither governed nor populated by minds of the highest and most original class

"You that do profess to teach
And teach us nothing, feeding not the heart "

The universities, in fact, teach a good deal of that which can be learned, but the best things cannot be taught The universities give men leisure, books, and companionship, to learn for themselves All tutors cannot be, and at that time few dreamed of being, men like Jowett and T H Green, Gamaliels at whose feet undergraduates sat with enthusiasm, "did

eagerly frequent," like Omar Khayyám. In later years Tennyson found closer relations between dons and undergraduates, and recorded his affection for his university. She had supplied him with such companionship as is rare, and permitted him to "catch the blossom of the flying teams," even if tutors and lecturers were creatures of routine, *terriblement enfoncés dans la matière*, like the sire of Madelon and Cathos, that honourable citizen.

Tennyson just missed, by going down, a visit of Wordsworth to Cambridge. The old enthusiast of revolution was justifying passive obedience: thirty years had turned the almost Jacobin into an almost Jacobite. Such is the triumph of time. In the summer of 1830 Tennyson, with Hallam, visited the Pyrenees. The purpose was political—to aid some Spanish rebels. The fruit is seen in *Ænone* and *Mariana in the South*.

In March 1831 Tennyson lost his father. "He slept in the ~~dead~~ man's bed, earnestly desiring to see his ghost, but no ghost came." "You see," he said, "ghosts do not generally come to imaginative people," a remark very true, though ghosts are attributed to "imagination." Whatever causes these phantasms, it is not the kind of *phantasia* which is consciously exercised by the poet. Coleridge had seen far too many ghosts to believe in them, and Coleridge and Donne, apart, with the hallucinations of Goethe and Shelley, who met themselves, what poet ever did "see a

ghost"? One who saw Tennyson as he wandered alone at this period called him "a mysterious being, seemingly lifted high above other mortals, and having a power of intercourse with the spirit world not granted to others" But it was the world of the poet, not of the "medium"

The Tennysons stayed on at the pensionage for six years But, anticipating their removal, Arthur Hallam in 1831 dealt in prophecy about the identification in the district of places in his friend's poems—"critic after critic will trace the wanderings of the brook," as, in fact, critic after critic has done Tennyson disliked these "localisers" The poet's walks were shared by Arthur Hallam, then affianced to his sister Emily

II

POEMS OF 1831-1833

By 1832 most of the poems of Tennyson's second volume were circulating in MS among his friends, and no poet ever had friends more encouraging. Perhaps bards of to-day do not find an eagerness among their acquaintance for effusions in manuscript, or in proof-sheets. The charmed volume appeared at the end of the year (dated 1833), and Hallam denounced as "infamous" Lockhart's review in the *Quarterly*. Infamous or not, it is extremely diverting. How Lockhart could miss the great and abundant poetry remains a marvel. Ten years later the Scorpion repented, and invited Sterling to review any book he pleased, for the purpose of enabling him to praise the two volumes of 1842, which he did gladly. Lockhart hated all affectation and "preciosity," of which the new book was not destitute. He had been among Wordsworth's most ardent admirers when Wordsworth had few, but the memories of the war with the "Cockney School"

clung to him, the war with Leigh Hunt, and now he gave himself up to satire. Probably he thought that the poet was a member of a London clique. There is really no excuse for Lockhart, except that he *did* repent, that much of his banter was amusing, and that, above all, his censures were accepted by the poet, who altered, later, many passages of a fine absurdity criticised by the infamous reviewer. One could name great prose-writers, historians, who never altered the wondrous errors to which their attention was called by critics. Prose-writers have been more sensitively attached to their glaring blunders in verifiable facts than was this very sensitive poet to his occasional lapses in taste.

The Lady of Shalott, even in its early form, was more than enough to give assurance of a poet. In effect it is even more poetical, in a mysterious way, if infinitely less human, than the later treatment of the same or a similar legend in *Elaine*. It has the charm of Coleridge, and an allegory of the fatal escape from the world of dreams and shadows into that of realities may have been really present to the mind of the young poet, aware that he was "living in phantasy". The alterations are usually for the better. The daffodil is not an aquatic plant, as the poet seems to assert in the first form—

"The yellow-leaved water-lily,
The green sheathed daffodilly,
Tremble in the water chilly,
Round about Shalott."

Nobody can prefer to keep

“ Though the squally east wind keenly
 Blew, with folded arms serenely
 By the water stood the queenly
 Lady of Shalott ”

However stoical the Lady may have been, the reader is too seriously sympathetic with her inevitable discomfort—

“ All lamented in snowy white
 That loosely flew, ”

as she was The original conclusion was distressing, we were dropped from the airs of mysterious romance —

“ They crossed themselves, then stars they blest,
 Knight, minstrel, abbot, squire, and guest,
 There lay a parchment on her breast,
 That puzzled more than all the rest
 The well-fed wits at Camelot ”

Hitherto we have been “puzzled,” but as with the sublime incoherences of a dream Now we meet well-fed wits, who say, “Bless my stars!” as perhaps we should also have done in the circumstances—a dead lady arriving, in a very cold east wind, alone in a boat, for “her blood was frozen slowly,” as was natural, granting the weather and the lady’s airy costume It is certainly matter of surprise that the young poet’s vision broke up in this humorous manner And, after all, it is less surprising that the Scorpion, finding such matter in a new little book

by a new young man, was more sensitive to the absurdity than to the romance. But no lover of poetry should have been blind to the almost flawless excellence of *Mariana in the South*, inspired by the landscape of the Provençal tour with Arthur Hallam. In consequence of Lockhart's censures, or in deference to the maturer taste of the poet, *The Miller's Daughter* was greatly altered before 1842. It is one of the earliest, if not the very earliest, of Tennyson's domestic English idylls, poems with conspicuous beauties, but not without sacrifices to that Muse of the home affections on whom Sir Barnes Newcome delivered his famous lecture. The seventh stanza perhaps hardly deserved to be altered, as it is, so as to bung in "minnows" where "fish" had been the reading, and where "trout" would best recall an English chalk stream. To the angler the rising trout, which left the poet cold, is at least as welcome as "the reflex of a beauteous form." "Every woman seems an angel at the water-side," said "that good old angler, now with God," Thomas Tod Stoddart, and so "the long and listless boy" found it to be. It is no wonder that the mother was "*slowly* brought to yield consent to my desire." The domestic affections, in fact, do not adapt themselves so well to poetry as the passion, unique in Tennyson, of *Fatima*. The critics who hunt for parallels or plagiarisms will note—

"O Love, O fire ! once he drew
With one long kass my whole soul thro'
My lips,"

and will observe Mr Browning's

"Once he kissed
My soul out in a fiery mist"

As to *Cenone*, the scenery of that earliest of the classical idylls is borrowed from the Pyrenees and the tour with Hallam "It is possible that the poem may have been suggested by Beattie's *Judgment of Paris*," says Mr Collins, it is also possible that the tale which

"Quintus Calaber
Somewhat lazily handled of old"

may have reached Tennyson's mind from an older writer than Beattie. He is at least as likely to have been familiar with Greek myth as with the lamented "Minstrel." The form of 1833, greatly altered in 1842, contained such unlucky phrases as "cedar shadowy," and "snowycoloured," "marblecold," "violet-eyed"—easy spoils of criticism. The alterations which converted a beautiful but faulty into a beautiful and flawless poem perhaps obscure the significance of *Cenone*'s "I will not die alone," which in the earlier volume directly refers to the foreseen end of all as narrated in Tennyson's late piece, *The Death of Cenone*. The whole poem brings to mind the glowing hues of Titian and the famous Homeric lines on the divine wedlock of Zeus and Hera.

The allegory or moral of *The Palace of Art* does not need explanation. Not many of the poems owe more to revision. The early stanza about Isaiah, with fierce

Ezekiel, and "Eastern Confutzee," did undeniably remind the reader, as Lockhart said, of *The Groves of Blarney*

"With statues gracing that noble place in,
All haythen goddesses most rare,
Petriarch, Plato, and Nebuchadnezzar,
All standing naked in the open air"

In the early version the Soul, being too much "up to date,"

"Lit white streams of dazzling gas,"

like Sir Walter Scott at Abbotsford

"Thus her intense, untold delight,
In deep or vivid colour, smell, and sound,
Was flattered day and night"

Lockhart was not fond of Sir Walter's experiments in gas, the "smell" gave him no "deep, untold delight," and his "infamous review" was biased by these circumstances

The volume of 1833 was in nothing more remarkable than in its proof of the many-sidedness of the author. He offered mediæval romance, and classical perfection touched with the romantic spirit, and domestic idyll, of which *The May Queen* is probably the most popular example. The "mysterious being," conversant with "the spiritual world," might have been expected to disdain topics well within the range of Eliza Cook. He did not despise but elevated them, and thereby did more to introduce himself to the wide English public than he could have done by a century of *Fatimas* or *Lotos*.

Eaters On the other hand, a taste more fastidious, or more perverse, will scarcely be satisfied with pathos which in process of time has come to seem "obvious" The pathos of early death in the prime of beauty is less obvious in Homer, where Achilles is to be the victim, or in the laments of the Anthology, where we only know that the dead bride or maiden was fair, but the poor May Queen is of her nature rather commonplace

"That good man, the clergyman, has told me words of peace,"

strikes a note rather resembling the Tennysonian parody of Wordsworth—

"A Mr Wilkinson, a clergyman"

The Lotos-Eaters, of course, is at the opposite pole of the poet's genius A few plain verses of the *Odyssey*, almost bald in their reticence, are the *point de repère* of the most magical vision expressed in the most musical verse Here is the languid charm of Spenser, enriched with many classical memories, and pictures of natural beauty gorgeously yet delicately painted After the excision of some verses, rather fantastical, in 1842, the poem became a flawless masterpiece,—one of the eternal possessions of song

On the other hand, the opening of *The Dream of Fair Women* was marred in 1833 by the grotesque introductory verses about "a man that sails in a balloon" Young as Tennyson was, these freakish passages are a psychological marvel in the work of one who did not

lack the saving sense of humour The poet, wafted on the wing and "pinion that the Theban eagle bear," cannot conceivably be likened to an aeronaut waving flags out of a balloon—except in a spirit of self-mockery which was not Tennyson's His remarkable self-discipline in excising the fantastic and superfluous, and reducing his work to its classical perfection of thought and form, is nowhere more remarkable than in this magnificent vision It is probably by mere accidental coincidence of thought that, in the verses *To J S* (James Spedding), Tennyson reproduces the noble speech on the warrior's death which Sir Walter Scott places in the lips of the great Dundee "It is the memory which the soldier leaves behind him, like the long train of light that follows the sunken sun, *that* is all that is worth caring for," the light which lingers eternally on the hills of Atholl Tennyson's lines are a close parallel —

"His memory long will live alone
In all our hearts, as mournful light
That broods above the fallen sun,
And dwells in heaven half the night"

Though Tennyson disliked the exhibition of "the chips of the workshop," we have commented on them, on the early readings of the early volumes ¹ They may be regarded more properly as the sketches of a master than as "chips," and do more than merely engage the idle curiosity of the fanatics of first editions They prove that the poet was studious of perfection, and

wisely stùdious, for his alterations, unlike those of some authors, were almost invariably for the better, the saner, the more mature in taste. The early readings are also worth notice, because they partially explain, by their occasionally fantastic and humourless character, the lack of early and general recognition of the poet's genius. The native prejudice of mankind is not in favour of a new poet. Of new poets there are always so many, most of them bad, that nature has protected mankind by an armour of suspiciousness. The world, and Lockhart, easily found good reasons for distrusting this new claimant of the ivy and the bays; moreover, since about 1814 there had been a reaction against new poetry. The market was glutted. Scott had set everybody on reading, and too many on writing, novels. The great reaction of the century against all forms of literature except prose fiction had begun. Near the very date of Tennyson's first volume Bulwer Lytton, as we saw, had frankly explained that he wrote novels because nobody would look at anything else. Tennyson had to overcome this universal, or all but universal, indifference to new poetry, and, after being silent for ten years, overcome it he did—a remarkable victory of art and of patient courage. Times were even worse for poets than to day. Three hundred copies of the new volume were sold! But Tennyson's friends were not puffers in league with pushing publishers.

Meanwhile the poet in 1833 went on quietly and, undefeated with his work. He composed *The Gar-*

work now several years old. He also wrote his *Ulysses* and his *Tithonus*.

If ever the term "morbid" could have been applied to Tennyson, it would have been in the years immediately following the death of Arthur Hallam. But the application would have been unjust. True, the poet was living out of the world, he was unhappy, and he was, as people say, "doing nothing." He was so poor that he sold his Chancellor's prize gold medal, and he did not

"Scan his whole horizon
In quest of what he could clap eyes on,"

in the way of money-making, which another poet describes as the normal attitude of all men as well as of pirates. A careless observer would have thought that the poet was dawdling. But he dwelt in no Castle of Indolence, he studied, he composed, he corrected his verses like Sir Walter in Liddesdale, "he was making himsel' a' the time." He did not neglect the movements of the great world in that dawn of discontent with the philosophy of commercialism. But it was not his vocation to plunge into the fray, and on to platforms.

It is a very rare thing anywhere, especially in England, for a man deliberately to choose poetry as the duty of his life, and to remain loyal, as a consequence, to the bride of St Francis—Poverty. This loyalty Tennyson maintained, even under the tempta-

tion to make money in recognised ways presented by his new-born love for his future wife, Miss Emily Sellwood. They had first met in 1830, when she, a girl of seventeen, seemed to him like "a Dryad or an Oread wandering here". But admiration became the affection of a lifetime when Tennyson met Miss Sellwood as bridesmaid to her sister, the bride of his brother Charles, in 1836. The poet could not afford to marry, and, like the hero of *Locksley Hall*, he may have asked himself, "What is that which I should do?" By 1840 he had done nothing tangible and lucrative, and correspondence between the lovers was forbidden. That neither dreamed of Tennyson's deserting poetry for a more normal profession proved of great benefit to the world. The course is one which could only be justified by the absolute certainty of possessing genius.

III.

1837-1842

IN 1837 the Tennysons left the old rectory, till 1840 they lived at High Beech in Epping Forest, and after a brief stay at Tunbridge Wells went to Boxley, near Maidstone

It appears that at last the poet had "beat his music out," though his friends "still tried to cheer him" But the man who wrote *Ulysses* when his grief was fresh could not be suspected of declining into a hypochondriac "If I mean to make my mark at all, it must be by shortness," he said at this time, "for the men before me had been so diffuse, and most of the big things, except *King Arthur*, had been done" The age had not *la tête épique* Poe had announced the paradox that there is no such thing as a long poem, and even in dealing with Arthur, Tennyson followed the example of Theocritus in writing, not an epic, but epic idylls Long poems suit an age of listeners, for which they were originally composed, or of leisure and few books At present epics are read for duty's sake, not for the only valid reason, "for human pleasure," in FitzGerald's phrase

Between 1838 and 1840 Tennyson made some brief tours in England with FitzGerald, and, coming from Coventry, wrote *Godiva*. His engagement with Miss Sellwood seemed to be adjourned *sine die*, as they were forbidden to correspond.

By 1841 Tennyson was living at Mablethorpe on the Lincolnshire coast, working at his volumes of 1842, much urged by FitzGerald and American admirers, who had heard of the poet through Emerson. Moxon was to be the publisher, himself something of a poet, but early in 1842 he had not yet received the MS. Perhaps Emerson heard of Tennyson through Carlyle, who, says Sterling, "said more in your praise than in any one's except Cromwell, and an American backwoodsman who has killed thirty or forty people with a bowie-knife." Carlyle at this time was much attached to Lockhart, editor of the *Quarterly Review*, and it may have been Carlyle who converted Lockhart to admiration of his old victim. Carlyle had very little more appreciation of Keats than had Byron, or (in early days) Lockhart, and it was probably as much the man of heroic physical mould, "a life-guardsman spoilt by making poetry," and the unaffected companion over a pipe, as the poet, that attracted him in Tennyson. As we saw, when the two triumphant volumes of 1842 did appear, Lockhart asked Sterling to review whatever book he pleased (meaning the Poems) in the *Quarterly*. The praise of Sterling may seem lukewarm to us, especially when compared with that

of Spedding in the *Edinburgh*. But Sterling, and Lockhart too, were obliged to "gang waully." Lockhart had, to his constant annoyance, "a partnei, Mr Croker," and I have heard from the late Dean Boyle that Mr Croker was much annoyed by even the mild applause yielded in the *Quarterly* to the author of the *Morte d'Arthur*.

While preparing the volumes of 1842 at Boxley, Tennyson's life was divided between London and the society of his brother-in-law, Mr Edmund Lushington, the great Greek scholar and Professor of Greek at Glasgow University. There was in Mr Lushington's personal aspect, and noble simplicity of manner and character, something that strongly resembled Tennyson himself. Among their common friends were Lord Houghton (Monckton Milnes), Mr Lear of the *Book of Nonsense* ("with such a pencil, such a pen"), Mr Venables (who at school modified the profile of Thackeray), and Lord Kelvin. In town Tennyson met his friends at The Cock, which he rendered classic, among them were Thackeray, Forster, Maclise, and Dickens. The times were stirring: social agitation, and "Carol philosophy" in Dickens, with growls from Carlyle, marked the period. There was also a kind of optimism in the air, a prophetic optimism, not yet fulfilled.

"Fly, happy happy sails, and bear the Press!"

That mission no longer strikes us as exquisitely

felicitous "The mission of the Cross," and of the missionaries, means international complications, and "the markets of the Golden Year" are precisely the most fruitful causes of wars and rumours of wars —

"Sea and air are dark
With great contrivances of Power"

Tennyson's was not an unmitigated optimism, and had no special confidence in

"The herd, wild hearts and feeble wings
That every sophister can lure"

His political poetry, in fact, was very unlike the socialist chants of Mr William Morris, or *Songs before Sunrise*. He had nothing to say about

"The blood on the hands of the King,
And the lie on the lips of the Priest"

The hands of Presidents have not always been unstained, nor are statements of a mythical nature confined to the lips of the clergy. The poet was anxious that freedom should "broaden down," but "slowly," not with indelicate haste. Persons who are more in a hurry will never care for the political poems, and it is certain that Tennyson did not feel sympathetically inclined towards the Iberian patriot who said that his darling desire was "to cut the throats of all the *curts*," like some Covenanters of old "Mais vous connaissez mon cœur"—"and a pretty black one it is," thought young Tennyson. So cautious in youth, during his Pyrenean tour with Hallam in 1830, Tennyson could

not become a convinced revolutionary later We must accept him with his limitations nor must we confuse him with the hero of his *Locksley Hall*, one of the most popular, and most parodied, of the poems of 1842 full of beautiful images and "confusions of a wasted youth,"¹ a youth dramatically conceived, and in no way autobiographical

In so marvellous a treasure of precious things as the volumes of 1842, perhaps none is more splendid, perfect, and perdurable than the *Morte d'Arthur* It had been written seven years earlier, and pronounced by the poet "not bad" Tennyson was never, perhaps, a very deep Arthurian student A little cheap copy of Malory was his companion¹ He does not appear to have gone deeply into the French and German "literature of the subject" Malory's compilation (1485) from French and English sources, with the *Mabinogion* of Lady Charlotte Guest, sufficed for him as materials The whole poem, enshrined in the memory of all lovers of verse, is richly studded, as the hilt of Excalibur, with classical memories "A faint Homeric echo" it is not, nor a Virgilian echo, but the absolute voice of old romance, a thing that might have been chanted by

"The lonely maiden of the Lake"

when

' "Nine years she wrought it, sitting in the deeps,
Upon the hidden bases of the hills"

¹ The writer knew this edition before he knew Tennyson's poems

Perhaps the most exquisite adaptation of all are the lines from the *Odyssey*—

“Where falls not hail nor rain, nor any snow”

“Softly through the flutes of the Grecians” came first these Elysian numbers, then through Lucretius, then through Tennyson’s own *Lucretius*, then in Mr Swinburne’s *Atalanta in Calydon* —

“Lands undiscoverable in the unheard-of west
Round which the strong stream of a sacred sea
Rolls without wind for ever, and the snow
There shows not her white wings and windy feet,
Nor thunder nor swift rain saith anything,
Nor the sun burns, but all things rest and thrive”

So fortunate in their transmission through poets have been the lines of “the Ionian father of the rest,” the greatest of them all

In the variety of excellences which marks Tennyson, the new English idylls of 1842 hold their prominent place. Nothing can be more exquisite and more English than the picture of “the garden that I love.” Theocritus cannot be surpassed, but the idyll matches to the seventh of his, where it is most closely followed, and possesses such a picture of a girl as the Sicilian never tried to paint.

Dora is another idyll, resembling the work of a Wordsworth in a clime softer than that of the Fells. The lays of Edwin Morris and Edward Bull are not among

the more enduring of even the playful poems: The *St Simeon Stylites* appears "made to the hand" of the author of *Men and Women* rather than of Tennyson. The grotesque vanity of the anchoite is so remote from us, that we can scarcely judge of the truth of the picture, though the East has still her parallels to St Simeon. From the almost, perhaps quite, incredible ascetic the poet lightly turns to "society verse" lifted up into the air of poetry, in the charm of *The Talking Oak*, and the happy flitting sketches of actual history, and thence to the strength and passion of *Love and Duty*. Shall

"Sin itself be found
The cloudy porch oft opening on the Sun?"

That this is the province of sin is a pretty popular modern moral. But Honour is the better part, and here was a poet who had the courage to say so, though, to be sure, the words ring strange in an age when highly respectable matrons assure us that "passion," like charity, covers a multitude of sins. *Love and Duty*, we must admit, is "early Victorian."

The *Ulysses* is almost a rival to the *Morte d'Arthur*. It is of an early date, after Arthur Hallam's death, and Thackeray speaks of the poet chanting his

"Great Achilles whom we knew,"

as if he thought that this was in Cambridge days. But it is later than these. Tennyson said, "*Ulysses*

was written soon after Arthur Hallam's death, and gave my feeling about the need of going forward, and braving the struggle of life, perhaps more simply than anything in *In Memoriam*." Assuredly the expression is more simple, and more noble, and the personal emotion more dignified for the classic veil. When the plaintive Pessimist ("‘proud of the title,’ as the Living Skeleton said when they showed him") tells us that "not to have been born is best," we may answer with Ulysses—

"Life piled on life
Were all too little"

The Ulysses of Tennyson, of course, is Dante's Ulysses, not Homer's Odysseus, who brought home to Ithaca not one of his mariners. His last known adventure, the journey to the land of men who knew not the savour of salt, Odysseus was to make on foot and alone, so spake the ghost of Tiresias within the poplar pale of Persephone.

The Two Voices expresses the contest of doubts and griefs with the spirit of endurance and joy which speaks alone in *Ulysses*. The man who is unhappy, but does not want to put an end to himself, has certainly the better of the argument with the despairing Voice. The arguments of "that barren Voice" are, indeed, remarkably deficient in cogency and logic, if we can bring ourselves to strip the discussion of its poetry. The original title, *Thoughts of a Suicide*, was inappropriate. The suicidal suggestions are promptly faced and con-

futed, and the mood of the author is throughout that of one who thinks life worth living —

“ Whatever crazy sorrow saith,
No life that breathes with human breath
Has ever truly long'd for death

'Tis life whereof our nerves are scant,
Oh life, not death, for which we pant,
More life, and fuller, that I want ”

This appears to be a satisfactory reply to the persons who eke out a livelihood by publishing pessimistic books, and hooting, as the great Alexandre Dumas' says, at the great drama of Life

With *The Day-Dream* (of *The Sleeping Beauty*) Tennyson again displays his matchless range of powers Verse of Society rises into a charmed and musical fantasy, passing from the Berlin-wool work of the period

(“ Take the brodeiy flame, and add
A crimson to the quaint Macaw ”)

into the enchanted land of the fable princes immortal, princesses eternally young and fair The *St Agnes* and *Sir Galahad*, companion pieces, contain the romance, as *St Simeon Stylites* shows the repulsive side of asceticism, for the saint and the knight are young, beautiful, and eager as St Theresa in her childhood It has been said, I do not know on what authority, that the poet had no recollection of composing *Sir Galahad*, any more than Scott remembered composing *The Bride*

of *Lammermoor*, or Thackeray parts of *Pendennis*. The haunting of Tennyson's mind by the Arthurian legends prompted also the lovely fragment on the Queen's last Maying, *Sir Launcelot and Queen Guinevere*, a thing of perfect charm and music. The ballads of *Lady Clare* and *The Lord of Burleigh* are not examples of the poet in his strength, for his power and fantasy we must turn to *The Vision of Sin*, where the early passages have the languid voluptuous music of *The Lotos-Eaters*, with the ethical element superadded, while the portion beginning—

“Wrinkled ostler, grim and thin!”

is in parts reminiscent of Burns's *Jolly Beggars*. In *Break, Break, Break*, we hear a note prelusive to *In Memoriam*, much of which was already composed.

The Poems of 1842 are always vocal in the memories of all readers of English verse. None are more familiar, at least to men of the generations which immediately followed Tennyson's. FitzGerald was apt to think that the poet never again attained the same level, and I venture to suppose that he never rose above it. For FitzGerald's opinion, right or wrong, it is easy to account. He had seen all the pieces in manuscript, they were his cherished possession before the world knew them. *C'est mon homme*, he might have said of Tennyson, as Bouleau said of Moliere. Before the public awoke FitzGerald had “discovered Tennyson,” and that at the age most open to poetry and most enthusiastic

V.

IN MEMORIAM

IN May 1850 a few copies of *In Memoriam* were printed for friends, and presently the poem was published without author's name. The pieces had been composed at intervals, from 1833 onwards. It is to be observed that the "section about evolution" was written some years before 1844, when the ingenious hypotheses of Robert Chambers, in *Vestiges of Creation*, were given to the world, and caused a good deal of talk. Ten years, again, after *In Memoriam*, came Darwin's *Origin of Species*. These dates are worth observing. The theory of evolution, of course in a rude mythical shape, is at least as old as the theory of creation, and is found among the speculations of the most backward savages. The Arunta of Central Australia, a race remote from the polite, have a hypothesis of evolution which postulates only a few rudimentary forms of life, a marine environment, and the minimum of supernormal assistance in the way of stimulating the primal forms in the direction of more highly differentiated developments.

"The rudimentary forms, *Inapertwa*, were in reality stages in the transformation of various plants and animals into human beings. They had no distinct limbs or organs of sight, hearing, or smell." They existed in a kind of lumps, and were set free from the cauls which enveloped them by two beings called Ungambikula, "a word which means 'out of nothing,' or 'self-existing'." Men descend from lower animals thus evolved."¹

This example of the doctrine of evolution in an early shape is only mentioned to prove that the idea has been familiar to the human mind from the lowest known stage of culture. Not less familiar has been the theory of creation by a kind of supreme being. The notion of creation, however, up to 1860, held the foremost place in modern European belief. But Lamarck, the elder Darwin, Monboddo, and others had submitted hypotheses of evolution. Now it was part of the originality of Tennyson, as a philosophic poet, that he had brooded from boyhood on these early theories of evolution, in an age when they were practically unknown to the literary, and were not patronised by the scientific, world. In November 1844 he wrote to Mr Moxon, "I want you to get me a book which I see advertised in the *Examiner* it seems to contain many speculations with which I have been familiar for years, and on which I have written more than one poem." This book was *Vestiges of Creation*. These

¹ Spencer and Gillen, *Natives of Central Australia*, pp. 388, 389

poems are the stanzas in *In Memoriam* about "the greater ape," and about Nature as careless of the type "all shall go." The poetic and philosophic originality of Tennyson thus faced the popular inferences as to the effect of the doctrine of evolution upon religious beliefs long before the world was moved in all its deeps by Darwin's *Origin of Species*. Thus the geological record is inconsistent, we learned, with the record of the first chapters of Genesis. If man is a differentiated monkey, and if a monkey has no soul, or future life (which is taken for granted), where are man's title-deeds to these possessions? With other difficulties of an obvious kind, these presented themselves to the poet with renewed force when his only chance of happiness depended on being able to believe in a future life, and reunion with the beloved dead. Unbelief had always existed. We hear of atheists in the *Rig Veda*. In the early eighteenth century, in the age of Swift—

"Men proved, as sure as God's in Gloucester,
That Moses was a great impostor"

his distrust of Moses increased with the increase of hypotheses of evolution. But what English poet, before Tennyson, ever attempted "to lay the spectres of the mind", ever faced world-old problems in their most recent aspects? I am not acquainted with any poet who attempted this task, and, whatever we may think of Tennyson's success, I do not see how we can deny his originality.

Mr Frederic Harrison, however, thinks that neither "the theology nor the philosophy of *In Memoriam* are new, original, with an independent force and depth of their own" "They are exquisitely graceful re-statements of the theology of the Broad Churchman of the school of F D Maurice and Jowett—a combination of Maurice's somewhat illogical piety with Jowett's philosophy of mystification" The piety of Maurice may be as illogical as that of Positivism is logical, and the philosophy of the Master of Balliol may be whatever Mr Harrison pleases to call it But as Jowett's earliest work (except an essay on Etruscan religion) is of 1855, one does not see how it could influence Tennyson before 1844 And what had the Duke of Argyll written on these themes some years before 1844? The late Duke, to whom Mr Harrison refers in this connection, was born in 1823 His philosophic ideas, if they were to influence Tennyson's *In Memoriam*, must have been set forth by him at the tender age of seventeen, or thereabouts Mr Harrison's sentence is, "But does *In Memoriam* teach anything, or transfigure any idea which was not about that time" (the time of writing was mainly 1833-1840) "common form with F D Maurice, with Jowett, C Kingsley, F Robertson, Stopford Brooke, Mr Ruskin, and the Duke of Argyll, Bishops Westcott and Boyd Carpenter?"

The dates answer Mr Harrison Jowett did not publish anything till at least fifteen years after Tennyson wrote his poems on evolution and belief Dr Boyd

Carpenter's works previous to 1840 are unknown to bibliography. F. W. Robertson was a young parson at Cheltenham. Ruskin had not published the first volume of *Modern Painters*. His Oxford prize poem is of 1839. Mr Stopford Brooke was at school. The Duke of Argyll was being privately educated, and so with the rest, except the contemporary Maurice. How can Mr Harrison say that, in the time of *In Memoriam*, Tennyson was "in touch with the ideas of Herschel, Owen, Huxley, Darwin, and Tyndall"?¹ When Tennyson wrote the parts of *In Memoriam* which deal with science, nobody beyond their families and friends had heard of Huxley, Darwin, and Tyndall. They had not developed, much less had they published, their "general ideas." Even in his journal of the *Cruise of the Beagle* Darwin's ideas were religious, and he naively admired the works of God. It is strange that Mr Harrison has based his criticism, and his theory of Tennyson's want of originality, on what seems to be a historical error. He cites parts of *In Memoriam*, and remarks, "No one can deny that all this is exquisitely beautiful, that these eternal problems have never been clad in such inimitable grace. But the train of thought is essentially that with which ordinary English readers have been made familiar by F. D. Maurice, Professor Jowett, *Ecce Homo*, *Hyppatia*, and now by Arthur Balfour, Mr Drummond, and many valiant companies of *Septem* [why *Septem*?] *contra*

¹ Tennyson, Ruskin, and Mill, pp. 11, 12

Diabolum" One must keep repeating the historical verity that the ideas of *In Memoriam* could not have been "made familiar by" authors who had not yet published anything, or by books yet undreamed of and unborn, such as *Ecce Homo* and Jowett's work on some of St Paul's Epistles. If these books contain the ideas of *In Memoriam*, it is by dint of repetition and borrowing from *In Memoriam*, or by coincidence. The originality was Tennyson's, for we cannot dispute the evidence of dates.

When one speaks of "originality" one does not mean that Tennyson discovered the existence of the ultimate problems. But at Cambridge (1828-1830) he had voted "No" in answer to the question discussed by "the Apostles," "Is an intelligible [intelligent?] First Cause deducible from the phenomena of the universe?"¹ He had also propounded the theory that "the development of the human body might possibly be traced from the radiated vermicular molluscular and vertebrate organisms," thirty years before Darwin published *The Origin of Species*. To be concerned so early with such hypotheses, and to face, in poetry, the religious or irreligious inferences which may be drawn from them, decidedly constitutes part of the poetic originality of Tennyson. His attitude, as a poet, towards religious doubt is only so far not original, as it is part of the general reaction from the freethinking of the eighteenth century. Men had then been freethinkers *avec délues*

¹ *Life*, p. 37, 1899

It was a joyous thing to be an atheist, or something very like one, at all events, it was glorious to be "emancipated" Many still find it glorious, as we read in the tone of Mr Huxley, when he triumphs and tramples over pious dukes and bishops Shelley said that a certain schoolgirl "would make a dear little atheist" But by 1828-1830 men were less joyous in their escape from all that had hitherto consoled and fortified humanity Long before he dreamed of *In Memoriam*, in the *Poems chiefly Lyrical* of 1830 Tennyson had written—

" 'Yet, said I, in my morn of youth,
The unsunn'd freshness of my strength,
When I went forth in quest of truth,
' It is man's privilege to doubt ' "

Ay me ! I fear

All may not doubt, but everywhere
Some must clasp Idols Yet, my God,
Whom call I Idol ? Let Thy dove
Shadow me over, and my sins
Be unremember'd, and Thy love
Enlighten me Oh teach me yet
Somewhat before the heavy clod
Weighs on me, and the busy fret
Of that sharp headed worm begins
In the gross blackness underneath

Oh weary life ! oh weary death !
Oh spirit and heart made desolate !
Oh damned vacillating state ! "

Now the philosophy of *In Memoriam* may be, indeed is, regarded by robust, first-rate, and far from

sensitive minds, as a "damned vacillating state" The poet is not so imbued with the spirit of popular science as to be sure that he knows everything knows that there is nothing but atoms and ether, with no room for God or a soul He is far from that happy cock-certainty, and consequently is exposed to the contempt of the cock-certain The poem, says Mr Harrison, "has made Tennyson the idol of the Anglican clergyman—the world in which he was born and the world in which his life was ideally passed—the idol of all cultured youth and of all æsthetic women It is an honourable post to fill"—that of idol "The argument of *In Memoriam* apparently is that we should faintly trust the larger hope" That, I think, is not the argument, not the conclusion of the poem, but is a casual expression of one mood among many moods

| The argument and conclusion of *In Memoriam* are the argument and conclusion of the life of Tennyson, and of the love of Tennyson, that immortal passion which was a part of himself, and which, if aught of us endure, is living yet, and must live eternally From the record of his Life by his son we know that his trust in "the larger hope" was not "faint," but strengthened with the years There are said to have been less hopeful intervals

His faith is, of course, no argument for others,—at least it ought not to be We are all the creatures of our bias, our environment, our experience, our emotions The experience of Tennyson was unlike

the experience of most men. It yielded him subjective grounds for belief. He "opened a path unto many," like Yama, the Vedic being who discovered the way to death. But Tennyson's path led not to death, but to life spiritual, and to hope, and he did "give a new impulse to the thought of his age," as other great poets have done. Of course it may be an impulse to wrong thought. As the philosophical Australian black said, "We shall know when we are dead."

Mr. Harrison argues as if, unlike Tennyson, Byron, Wordsworth, Shelley, and Burns produced "original ideas fresh from their own spirit, and not derived from contemporary thinkers." I do not know what original ideas these great poets discovered and promulgated, their ideas seem to have been "in the air." These poets "made them current coin." Shelley thought that he owed many of his ideas to Godwin, a contemporary thinker. Wordsworth has a debt to Plato, a thinker not contemporary. Burns's democratic independence was "in the air," and had been, in Scotland, since Elzeir remarked on it in a letter to Ingles in 1515. It is not the ideas, it is the expression of the ideas, that marks the poet. Tennyson's ideas are relatively novel, though as old as Plotinus, for they are applied to a novel, or at least an unfamiliar, mental situation. Doubt was abroad, as it always is, but, for perhaps the first time since Porphyry wrote his letter to Abammon, the doubters

desired to believe, and said, "Lord, help Thou my unbelief" To robust, not sensitive minds, very much in unity with themselves, the attitude seems contemptible, or at best decently futile Yet I cannot think it below the dignity of mankind, conscious that it is not omniscient The poet does fail in logic (*In Memoriam*, cxx) when he says—

"Let him, the wiser man who springs
Hereafter, up from childhood shape
His action like the greater ape,
But I was *born* to other things"

I am not well acquainted with the habits of the greater ape, but it would probably be unwise, and perhaps indecent, to imitate him, even if "we also are his offspring" We might as well revert to polyandry and paint, because our Celtic or Pictish ancestors, if we had any, practised the one and wore the other However, petulances like the verse on the greater ape are rare in *In Memoriam* To declare that "I would not stay" in life if science proves us to be "cunning casts in clay," is beneath the courage of the Stoical philosophy

Theologically, the poem represents the struggle with doubts and hopes and fears, which had been with Tennyson from his boyhood, as is proved by the volume of 1830 But the doubts had exerted, probably, but little influence on his happiness till the sudden stroke of loss made life for a time seem almost

unbearable unless the doubts were solved. They *were* solved, or stoically set aside, in the *Ulysses*, written in the freshness of grief, with the conclusion that we must be

“Strong in will

To strive, to seek, to find, and not to yield ’

But the gnawing of grief till it becomes a physical pain, the fever fits of sorrow, the aching *desiderium*, bring back in many guises the old questions. These require new attempts at answers, and are answered, “the sad mechanic exercise” of verse allaying the pain. This is the genesis of *In Memoriam*, not originally written for publication but produced at last as a monument to friendship, and as a book of consolation.

“No books of consolation can console except by sympathy, and in *In Memoriam* sympathy and relief have been found, and will be found, by many. Another, we feel, has trodden our dark and stony path, has been shadowed by the shapes of dread which haunt our valley of tribulation. A mind almost infinitely greater than ours has been our fellow-sufferer. He has emerged from the darkness of the shadow of death into the light, whither, as it seems to us, we can scarcely hope to come. It is the sympathy and the example, I think, not the speculations, mystical or scientific, which make *In Memoriam*, in more than name, a book of consolation even in hours of the sharpest distress, when its technical beauties and wonderful pictures seem shadowy and unreal, like the

yellow sunshine and the woods of that autumn day when a man learned that his friend was dead No, it was not the speculations and arguments that consoled or encouraged us We did not listen to Tennyson as to Mr Frederic Harrison's glorified Anglican clergyman We could not murmur, like the Queen of the May—

"That good man, the Laureate, has told us words of peace"

What we valued was the poet's companionship There was a young reader to whom *All along the Valley* came as a new poem in a time of recent sorrow

"The two-and thirty years were a mist that rolls away,"

said the singer of *In Memoriam*, and in that hour it seemed as if none could endure for two-and-thirty years the companionship of loss But the years have gone by, and have left

"Ever young the face that dwells
With reason cloister'd in the brain" ¹

In this way to many *In Memoriam* is almost a life-long companion we walk with Great-heart for our guide through the valley Perilous .

In this respect *In Memoriam* is unique, for neither to its praise nor dispraise is it to be compared with the other famous elegies of the world These are brief out-

¹ Poem omitted from *In Memoriam* *Life*, p 257, 1899

buists of grief—real, as in the hopeless words of Catullus over his brother's tomb, or academic, like Milton's *Lycidas*. We are not to suppose that Milton was heart-broken by the death of young Mr King, or that Shelley was greatly desolated by the death of Keats, with whom his personal relations had been slight, and of whose poetry he had spoken evil. He was nobly stirred as a poet by a poet's death—like Mr Swinburne by the death of Charles Baudelaire, but neither Shelley nor Mr Swinburne was lamenting *dimidium animæ suæ*, or mourning for a friend.

“Dear as the mother to the son,
More than my brothers are to me”

The passion of *In Memoriam* is personal, is acute, is life-long, and thus it differs from the other elegies. Moreover, it celebrates a noble object, and thus is unlike the ambiguous affection, real or dramatic, which informs the sonnets of Shakespeare. So the poem stands alone, cloistered, not fiery with indignation, not breaking into actual prophecy, like Shelley's *Adonais*, not capable, by reason even of its meditative metre, of the organ music of *Lycidas*. Yet it is not to be reckoned inferior to these because its aim and plan are other than theirs.

It is far from my purpose to “class” Tennyson, or to dispute about his relative greatness when compared with Wordsworth or Byron, Coleridge, Shelley, or Burns. He rated one song of Lovelace above all his lyrics, and

in fact, could no more have written the Cavalier's *To Althea from Prison* than Lovelace could have written the *Monte d'Arthur*.¹ "It is not reasonable, it is not fair," says Mr Harrison, after comparing *In Memoriam* with *Lycidas*, "to compare Tennyson with Milton," and it is not reasonable to compare Tennyson with any poet whatever. Criticism is not the construction of a class list; But we may reasonably say that *In Memoriam* is a noble poem, an original poem, a poem which stands alone in literature. The wonderful beauty, ever fresh, howsoever often read, of many stanzas, is not denied by any critic. The marvel is that the same serene certainty of art broods over even the stanzas which must have been conceived while the sorrow was fresh. The second piece,

"Old yew, which graspest at the stones,"

must have been composed soon after the stroke fell. Yet it is as perfect as the poem of 1849. (As a rule, the poetical expression of strong emotion appears usually to clothe the memory of passion when it has been softened by time. But here already "the rhythm, phrasing, and articulation are entirely faultless, exquisitely clear, melodious, and rare."¹ It were superfluous labour to point at special beauties, at the exquisite rendering of nature, and copious commentaries exist to explain the course of the argument, if a series of moods is to be called an argument. One may note such a

¹ Mr Harrison, *Tennyson, Ruskin, and Mill*, p. 5

point as that (xiv) where the poet says that, were he to meet his friend in life,

“ I should not feel it to be strange ”

It may have happened to many to mistake, for a section of a second, the face of a stranger for the face seen only in dreams, and to find that the recognition brings no surprise

Pieces of a character apart from the rest, and placed in a designed sequence, are xii, xciii, xcv In the list the poet says—

“ If any vision should reveal
Thy likeness, I might count it vain
As but the canker of the brain ,
Yea, tho' it spake and made appeal

To chances where our lots were cast
Together in the days behind,
I might but say, I hear a wind
Of memory murmuring the past

Yea, tho' it spake and bared to view
A fact within the coming year ,
And tho' the months, revolving near,
Should prove the phantom-warning true,

They might not seem thy prophecies,
But spiritual presentiments,
And such refraction of events
As often uses ere they use ”

The author thus shows himself *difficile* as to recognising the personal identity of a phantasm, nor is it easy to see what mode of proving his identity would be left

to a spirit The poet, therefore, appeals to some perhaps less satisfactory experience —

“Descend, and touch, and enter, hear
The wish too strong for words to name,
That in this blindness of the frame
My Ghost may feel that thine is near”

The third poem is the crown of *In Memoriam*, expressing almost such things as are not given to man to utter —

And all at once it seem'd at last
The living soul was flash'd on mine,

And mine in this was wound, and whirl'd
About empyreal heights of thought,
And came on that which is, and caught
The deep pulsations of the world,

Æonian music measuring out
The steps of Time—the shocks of Chance—
The blows of Death At length my trance
Was cancell'd, stricken thro' with doubt

Vague words ! but ah, how hard to frame
In matter-moulded forms of speech,
Or ev'n for intellect to reach
Thro' memory that which I became”

Experiences like this, subjective, and not matter for argument, were familiar to Tennyson Jowett said, ‘ He was one of those who, though not an upholder of miracles, thought that the wonders of Heaven and Earth were never far absent from us ” In *The Mystic*, Tennyson, when almost a boy, had shown familiarity

With strange psychological and psychical conditions Poems of much later life also deal with these, and, more or less consciously, his philosophy was tinged, and his confidence that we are more than "cunning casts in clay" was increased, by phenomena of experience, which can only be evidence for the mystic himself, if even for him. But this dim aspect of his philosophy, of course, is "to the Greeks foolishness."

His was a philosophy of his own, not a philosophy for disciples, and "those that eddy round and round." It was the sum of his reflection on the mass of his impressions. I have shown, by the aid of dates, that it was not borrowed from Huxley, Mr Stopford Brooke, or the late Duke of Argyll. But, no doubt, many of the ideas were "in the air," and must have presented themselves to minds at once of religious tendency, and attracted by the evolutionary theories which had always existed as floating speculations, till they were made current coin by the genius and patient study of Darwin. That Tennyson's opinions between 1830 and 1840 were influenced by those of F. D. Maurice is reckoned probable by Canon Ainger, author of the notice of the poet in *The Dictionary of National Biography*. In the Life of Maurice, Tennyson does not appear till 1850, and the two men were not at Cambridge together. But Maurice's ideas, as they then existed, may have reached Tennyson orally through Hallam and other members of the Trinity set, who knew personally the author of *Letters to a*

Quaker However, this is no question of scientific priority to myself it seems that Tennyson "beat his music out" for himself, as perhaps most people do. Like his own Sir Percivale, "I know not all he meant"

Among the opinions as to *In Memoriam* current at the time of its publication Lord Tennyson notices those of Maurice and Robertson. They "thought that the poet had made a definite step towards the unification of the highest religion and philosophy with the progressive science of the day". Neither science nor religion stands still, neither stands now where it then did. Conceivably they are travelling on paths which will ultimately coincide, but this opinion, of course, must seem foolishness to most professors of science. Bishop Westcott was at Cambridge when the book appeared: he is one of Mr Harrison's possible sources of Tennyson's ideas. He recognised the poet's "splendid faith (in the face of every difficulty) in the growing purpose of the sum of life, and in the noble destiny of the individual man". Ten years later Professor Henry Sidgwick, a mind sufficiently sceptical, found in some lines of *In Memoriam* "the indestructible and inalienable minimum of faith which humanity cannot give up because it is necessary for life, and which I know that I, at least so far as the man in me is deeper than the methodical thinker, cannot give up". But we know that many persons not only do not find an irreducible minimum of faith "necessary for life," but

are highly indignant and contemptuous if any one else ventures to suggest the logical possibility of any faith—at all

The mass of mankind will probably never be convinced unbelievers—nay, probably the backward or forward swing of the pendulum will touch more convinced belief. But there always have been, since the *Rishis* of India sang, superior persons who believe in nothing not material—whatever the material may be. Tennyson was, it is said, “impatient” of these *esprits forts*, and they are impatient of him. It is an error to be impatient. We know not whither the *logos* may lead us, or later generations, and we ought not to be irritated with others because it leads them into what we think the wrong path. It is unfortunate that a work of art, like *In Memoriam*, should arouse theological or anti-theological passions. The poet only shows us the paths by which his mind travelled: they may not be the right paths, nor is it easy to trace them on a philosophical chart. He escaped from Doubting Castle. Others may “take that for a hermitage,” and be happy enough in the residence. We are all determined by our bias. Tennyson’s is unconcealed. His poem is not a tract: it does not aim at the conversion of people with the contrary bias. It is irksome, in writing about a poet, to be obliged to discuss a philosophy which, certainly, is not stated in the manner of Spinoza, but is merely the equilibrium of contending forces in a single mind.

The most famous review of *In Memoriam* is that

which declared that "these touching lines evidently
—come from the full heart of the widow of a military
man" ✓ This is only equalled, if equalled, by a recent
critique which treated a fresh edition of *Jane Eyre* as a
new novel, "not without power, in parts, and showing
some knowledge of Yorkshire local colour "

VI

AFTER IN MEMORIAM

ON June 13 Tennyson married, at Shiplake, the object of his old, long-trying, and constant affection } The marriage was still "imprudent,"—eight years of then uncontested supremacy in English poetry had not brought a golden harvest. Mr Moxon appears to have supplied £300 "in advance of royalties." The sum, so contemptible in the eyes of first-rate modern novelists, was a competence to Tennyson, added to his little pension and the *épaves* of his patrimony. "The peace of God came into my life when I married her," he said in later days. The poet made a charming copy of verses to his friend, the Rev Mr Rawnsley, who tied the knot, as he and his bride drove to the beautiful village of Pangbourne. Thence they went to the stately Clevedon Court, the seat of Sir Abraham Elton, hard by the church where Arthur Hallam sleeps. The place is very ancient and beautiful, and was a favourite haunt of Thackeray. They passed on to Lynton, and to Glastonbury, where a collateral ancestor of Mrs Tennyson's is

buried beside King Arthur's grave, in that green valley of Avilion, among the apple-blossoms. They settled for a while at Tent Lodge on Coniston Water, in a land of hospitable Marshalls.

After their return to London, on the night of November 18, Tennyson dreamed that Prince Albert came and kissed him, and that he himself said, "Very kind, but very German," which was very like him. Next day he received from Windsor the offer of the Laureateship. He doubted, and hesitated, but accepted. Since Wordsworth's death there had, as usual, been a good deal of banter about the probable new Laureate: examples of competitive odes exist in *Bon Gaultier*. That by Tennyson is Anacreontic, but he was not really set on kissing the Maids of Honour, as he is made to sing. Rogers had declined, on the plea of extreme old age, but it was worthy of the great and good Queen not to overlook the Nestor of English poets. For the rest, the Queen looked for "a name bearing such distinction in the literary world as to do credit to the appointment." In the previous century the great poets had rarely been Laureates. But since Sir Walter Scott declined the bays in favour of Southey, for whom, again, the tale of bricks in the way of Odes was lightened, and when Wordsworth succeeded Southey, the office became honourable. Tennyson gave it an increase of renown, while, though in itself of merely nominal value, it served his poems, to speak profanely, as an advertisement. New editions of his books were

once in demand, while few readers had ever heard of Mr Browning, already his friend, and already author of *Men and Women*

The Laureateship brought the poet acquainted with the Queen, who was to be his debtor in later days for encouragement and consolation. To his Laureateship we owe, among other good things, the stately and moving *Ode on the Death of the Duke of Wellington*, a splendid heroic piece, unappreciated at the moment. But Tennyson was, of course, no Birthday poet. Since the exile of the House of Stuart our kings in England have not maintained the old familiarity with many classes of their subjects. Literature has not been fashionable at Court, and Tennyson could in no age have been a courtier. We hear the complaint, every now and then, that official honours are not conferred (except the Laureateship) on men of letters. But most of them probably think it rather distinguished not to be decorated, or to carry titles borne by many undeserving persons unvisited by the Muses. Even the appointment to the bays usually provokes a great deal of jealous and spiteful feeling, which would only be multiplied if official honours were distributed among men of the pen. Perhaps Tennyson's laurels were not for nothing in the chorus of dispraise which greeted the *Ode on the Duke of Wellington*, and *Maud*.

The year 1851 was chiefly notable for a tour to Italy, made immortal in the beautiful poem of *The Daisy*, in a measure of the poet's own invention. The next

year, following on the *Coup d'état* and the rise of the new French empire, produced patriotic appeals to Britons to "guard their own," which to a great extent former alien owners had been unsuccessful in guarding from Britons. The Tennysons had lost their first child at his birth—perhaps he is remembered in *The Grandmother*, "the babe had fought for his life." In August 1852 the present Lord Tennyson was born, and Mr Maurice was asked to be godfather. The Wellington Ode was of November, and was met by "the almost universal depreciation of the press,"—why, except because, as I have just suggested, Tennyson was Laureate, it is impossible to imagine. The verses were worthy of the occasion—more they could not be.

In the autumn of 1853 the poet visited Ardtornish on the Sound of Mull, a beautiful place endeared to him who now writes by the earliest associations. It chanced to him to pass his holidays there just when Tennyson and Mr Palgrave had left—"Mr Tinsmith and Mr Pancake," as Robert the boatman, a very black Celt, called them. Being then nine years of age, I heard of a poet's visit, and asked, "A real poet, like Sir Walter Scott?" with whom I then supposed that "the Muse had gone away." "Oh, not like Sir Walter Scott, of course," my mother told me, with loyalty unashamed. One can think of the poet as Mrs Sellar, his hostess, describes him, beneath the limes of the avenue at Acharn, planted, Mrs Sellar says, by a cousin of Flora Macdonald. I have been

told that the lady who planted the lilies, if not the limes, was the famed Jacobite, Miss Jennie Cameron, mentioned in *Tom Jones*. An English engraving of 1746 shows the Prince between these two beauties, Flora and Jennie.

"No one," says Mrs Sellar, "could have been more easy, simple, and delightful," and indeed it is no marvel that in her society and that of her husband, the Greek professor, and her cousin, Miss Cross, and in such scenes, "he blossomed out in the most genial manner, making us all feel as if he were an old friend."

In November Tennyson took a house at Farringford, "as it was beautiful and far from the haunts of men." There he settled to a country existence in the society of his wife, his two children (the second, Lionel, being in 1854 the baby), and there he composed *Maud*, while the sound of the guns, in practice for the war of the Crimea, boomed from the coast. In May Tennyson saw the artists, of schools oddly various, who illustrated his poems. Millais, Rossetti, and Holman Hunt gave the tone to the art, but Mr Horsley, Cieswick, and Mulgrave were also engaged. While *Maud* was being composed Tennyson wrote *The Charge of the Light Brigade*, a famous poem, not in a manner in which he was born to excel—at least in my poor opinion. "Some one *had* blundered," and that line was the first fashioned and the keynote of the poem, but, after all, "blundered" is not an exquisite rhyme to "hundred." The poem,

in any case, was most welcome to our army in the Crimea, and is a spirited piece for recitation

In January 1855 *Maud* was finished, in April the poet copied it out for the press, and refreshed himself by reading a very different poem, *The Lady of the Lake*. The author, Sir Walter, had suffered, like the hero of *Maud*, by an unhappy love affair, which just faintly colours *The Lady of the Lake* by a single allusion, in the description of Fitz-James's dreams —

“Then,—from my couch may heavenly might
Chase that worst phantom of the night !—
Again returned the scenes of youth,
Of confident undoubting truth ,
Again his soul he interchanged
With friends whose hearts were long estranged
They come, in dim procession led,
The cold, the faithless, and the dead ,
As warm each hand, each brow as gay,
As if they parted yesterday
And doubt distracts him at the view—
Oh, were his senses false or true ?
Dreamed he of death, or broken vow,
Or is it all a vision now ? ”

We learn from Lady Louisa Stuart, to whom Scott read these lines, that they referred to his lost love. I cite the passage because the extreme reticence of Scott, in his undying sorrow, is in contrast with what Tennyson, after reading *The Lady of the Lake*, was putting into the mouth of his complaining lover in *Maud*.

We have no reason to suppose that Tennyson himself had ever to bewail a faithless love. To be sure,

the hero of *Locksley Hall* is in this attitude, but then *Locksley Hall* is not autobiographical. Less dramatic and impersonal in appearance are the stanzas—

“Come not, when I am dead,
To drop thy foolish tears upon my grave,”

and

“Child, if it were thine error or thy crime
I care no longer, being all unblest.”

No biographer tells us whether this was a personal complaint or a mere set of verses on an imaginary occasion. In *In Memoriam* Tennyson speaks out concerning the loss of a friend. In *Maud*, as in *Locksley Hall*, he makes his hero reveal the agony caused by the loss of a mistress. There is no reason to suppose that the poet had ever any such mischance, but many readers have taken *Locksley Hall* and *Maud* for autobiographical revelations, like *In Memoriam*. They are, on the other hand, imaginative and dramatic. They illustrate the pangs of disappointed love of woman, pangs more complex and more rankling than those inflicted by death. In each case, however, the poet, who has sung so nobly the happiness of fortunate wedded loves, has chosen a hero with whom we do not readily sympathise—a Hamlet in miniature,

“With a heart of furious fancies,”

as in the old mad song. This choice, thanks to the popular misconception, did him some harm. As a “monodramatic Idyll,” a romance in many rich lyric

measures, *Maud* was at first excessively unpopular. "Tennyson's *Maud* is Tennyson's Maudlin," said a satirist, and "morbid," "mad," "rampant," and "rabid bloodthirstiness of soul," were among the amenities of criticism. Tennyson hated war, but his hero, at least, hopes that national union in a national struggle will awake a nobler than the commercial spirit. Into the rights and wrongs of our quarrel with Russia we are not to go. Tennyson, rightly or wrongly, took the part of his country, and must "thole the feud" of those high-souled citizens who think their country always in the wrong—as perhaps it very frequently is. We are not to expect a tranquil absence of bias in the midst of military excitement, when very laudable sentiments are apt to misguide men in both directions. In any case, political partisanship added to the enemies of the poem, which was applauded by Henry Taylor, Ruskin, George Brimley, and Jowett, while Mrs Browning sent consoling words from Italy. The poem remained a favourite with the author, who chose passages from it often, when persuaded to read aloud by friends, and modern criticism has not failed to applaud the splendour of the verse and the subtlety of the mad scenes, the passion of the love lyrics.

These merits have ceased to be disputed, but, though a loyal Tennysonian, I have never quite been able to reconcile myself to *Maud* as a whole. The hero is an unwholesome young man, and not of an original kind. He is *un beau ténébreux* of 1830. I suppose it has been

observed that he is merely The Master of Ravenswood in modern costume, and without Lady Ashton. Her part is taken by Maud's brother. The situations of the hero and of the Master (whose acquaintance Thackeray never renewed after he lost his hat in the Kelpie Flow) are nearly identical. The families and fathers of both have been ruined by "the gray old wolf," and by Sir William Ashton, representing the house of Stair. Both heroes live dawdling on, hard by their lost ancestral homes. Both fall in love with the daughters of the enemies of their houses. The loves of both are baffled, and end in tragedy. Both are concerned in a duel, though the Master, on his way to the ground, "stables his steed in the Kelpie Flow," and the wooer in *Maud* shoots Lucy Ashton's brother,—I mean the brother of Maud,—though duelling in England was out of date. Then comes an interval of madness, and he recovers amid the patriotic emotions of the ill-fated Crimean expedition. Both lovers are gloomy, though the Master has better cause, for the Tennysonian hero is more comfortably provided for than Edgar with his "man and maid," his Caleb and Mysie. Finally, both *The Bride of Lammermoor*, which affected Tennyson so potently in boyhood

("A merry merry bridal,
A merry merry day"),

and *Maud*, excel in passages rather than as wholes

The hero of *Maud*, with his clandestine wooing of a

girl of sixteen, has this apology, that the match had been, as it were, predestined, and desired by the mother of the lady. Still, the brother did not ill to be angry, and the peevishness of the hero against the brother and the parvenu lord and rival strikes a jarring note. In England, at least, the general sentiment is opposed to this moody, introspective kind of young man, of whom Tennyson is not to be supposed to approve. We do not feel certain that his man and maid were "ever ready to slander and steal." That seems to be part of his jaundiced way of looking at everything and everybody. He has even a bad word for the "man-god" of modern days,—

"The man of science himself is fonder of glory, and vain,
An eye well-practised in nature, a spirit bounded and
poor."

Rien n'est sacré for this cynic, who thinks himself a Stoic. Thus *Maud* was made to be unpopular with the author's countrymen, who conceived a prejudice against Maud's lover, described by Tennyson as "a morbid poetic soul, an egotist with the makings of a cynic." That he is "raised to sanity" (still in Tennyson's words) "by a pure and holy love which elevates his whole nature," the world failed to perceive, especially as the sanity was only a brief lucid interval, tempered by hanging about the garden to meet a girl of sixteen, unknown to her relations. Tennyson added that "different phases of passion in one person take the place of

different characters," to which critics replied that they wanted different characters, if only by way of relief, and did not care for any of the phases of passion. The learned Monsieur Janet has maintained that love is a disease like another, and that nobody falls in love when in perfect health of mind and body. This theory seems open to exception, but the hero of *Maud* is unhealthy enough. At best and last, he only helps to give a martial force a "send-off" —

"I stood on a giant deck and mired my breath
With a loyal people shouting a battle-cry."

He did not go out as a volunteer, and probably the Crimean winters brought him back to his original estate of cynical gloom—and very naturally.

The reconciliation with Life is not like the reconciliation of *In Memoriam*. The poem took its rise in old lines, and most beautiful lines, which Tennyson had contributed in 1837 to a miscellany —

"O that 'twere possible,
After long grief and pain,
To find the arms of my true love
Round me once again."

Thence the poet, working back to find the origin of the situation, encountered the ideas and the persons of *Maud*.

I have tried to state the sources, in the general mind, of the general dislike of *Maud*. The public, "diving at practice," disapproved of the "criticism of

life" in the poem, confused the suffering narrator with the author, and neglected the poetry "No modern poem," said Jowett, "contains more lines that ring in the ears of men I do not know any verse out of Shakespeare in which the ecstasy of love soars to such a height" With these comments we may agree, yet may fail to follow Jowett when he says, "No poem since Shakespeare seems to show equal power of the same kind, or equal knowledge of human nature" Shakespeare could not in a narrative poem have preferred the varying passions of one character to the characters of many persons

Tennyson was "nettled at first," his son says, "by these captious remarks of the 'indolent reviewers,' but afterwards he would take no notice of them except to speak of them in a half-pitiful, half-humorous, half-mournful manner" The besetting sin and error of the critics was, of course, to confound Tennyson's hero with himself, as if we confused Dickens with Pip

Like *Aurora Leigh*, *Lucile*, and other works, *Maud* is under the disadvantage of being, practically, a novel of modern life in verse Criticised as a tale of modern life (and it was criticised in that character), it could not be very highly esteemed But the essence of *Maud*, of course, lies in the poetical vehicle Nobody can cavil at the impressiveness of the opening stanzas—

"I hate the dreadful hollow behind the little wood",
with the keynotes of colour and of desolation struck,

the lips of the hollow "dabbled with blood-red heath," the "red-ribb'd ledges," and "the flying gold of the ruin'd woodlands", and the contrast in the picture of the child Maud—

"Maud the delight of the village, the ringing joy of the Hall"

The poem abounds in lines which live in the memory, as in the vernal description—

"A million emeralds break from the ruby-budded lime",
and the voice heard in the garden singing

"A passionate ballad gallant and gay,"

as Lovelace's *Althwa*, and the lines on the far-off waving of a white hand, "betwixt the cloud and the moon"
The lyric of

"Birds in the high Hall garden
When twilight was falling,
Maud, Maud, Maud, Maud,
They were crying and calling,"

was a favourite of the poet

"What birds were these?" he is said to have asked a lady suddenly, when reading to a silent company

"Nightingales," suggested a listener, who did not probably remember any other fowl that is vocal in the dusk

"No, they were rooks," answered the poet

"Come into the Garden, Maud," is as fine a love-song as Tennyson ever wrote, with a triumphant ring, and a soaring exultant note Then the poem drops

from its height, like a lark shot high in heaven, tragedy comes, and remorse, and the beautiful interlude of the

"lovely shell,
Small and pure as a pearl"

Then follows the exquisite

"O that 'twere possible,"

and the dull consciousness of the poem of madness, with its dumb gnawing confusion of pain and wandering memory, the hero being finally left, in the author's words, 'sane but shattered'

Tennyson's letters of the time show that the critics succeeded in wounding him—it was not a difficult thing to do. *Maud* was threatened with a broadside from "that pompholygous, broad-blown Apollodorus, the gifted X." People who have read Lytton's diverting *Firmilian*, where Apollodorus plays his part, and who remember "gifted Gilfillan" in *Waverley*, know who the gifted X was. But X was no great authority south of Tay.

Despite the almost unanimous condemnation by public critics, the success of *Maud* enabled Tennyson to buy Farringford, so he must have been better appreciated and understood by the world than by the reviewers.

In February 1850 Tennyson returned to his old Arthurian themes, "the only big thing not done," for Milton had merely glanced at Arthur, Dryden did not

"Raise the Table Round again,"

and Blackmore has never been reckoned adequate *Vivien* was first composed as *Merlin and Ninue*, and then *Geraunt and Enid* was adapted from the *Mabinogion*, the Welsh collection of *Marchen* and legends, things of widely different ages, now rather Celtic, or Bythonic, now amplifications made under the influence of mediæval Fiench romance. *Enid* was finished in Wales in August, and Tennyson learned Welsh enough to be able to read the *Mabinogion*, which is much more of Welsh than many Arthurian critics possess. The two first Idylls were privately printed in the summer of 1857, being very rare and much desired of collectors in this embryonic shape. In July *Guinevere* was begun, in the middle, with Arthur's valedictory address to his erring consort. In autumn Tennyson visited the late Duke of Argyll at Inveraray: he was much attached to the Duke—unlike Professor Huxley. Then love of nature, the Duke being as keen-eyed as the poet was short-sighted, was one tie of union. The Indian Mutiny, or at least the death of Havelock, was the occasion of lines which the author was too wise to include in any of his volumes: the poem on Lucknow was of later composition.

Guinevere was completed in March 1858, and Tennyson met Mr Swinburne, then very young. "What I particularly admired in him was that he did not press upon me any verses of his own." Tennyson would have found more to admire if he had pressed for a sight of the verses. Neither he nor Mr Matthew Arnold was very encouraging to young poets: they had no sons in

Apollo, like Ben Jonson But both were kept in a perpetual state of apprehension by the army of versifiers who send volumes by post, to whom that can only be said what Tennyson did say to one of them, "As an amusement to yourself and your friends, the writing it" (verse) "is all very well" It is the friends who do not find it amusing, while the stranger becomes the foe The psychology of these pests of the Muses is bewildering They do not seem to read poetry, only to write it and launch it at unoffending strangers If they bought each other's books, all of them could afford to publish

The Master of Balliol, the most adviceful man, if one may use the term, of his age, appears to have advised Tennyson to publish the *Idylls* at once There had been years of silence since *Maud*, and the Master suspected that "mosquitoes" (reviewers) were the cause "There is a note needed to show the good side of human nature and to condone its frailties which Thackeray will never strike" To others it seems that Thackeray was eternally striking this note at that time in General Lambert, his wife, and daughters, not to speak of other characters in *The Virginians* Who does not condone the frailties of Captain Costigan, and F B, and the Chevalier Strong? In any case, Tennyson took his own time, he was (1858) only beginning *Elaine* There is no doubt that Tennyson was easily pricked by unsympathetic criticism, even from the most insignificant source, and, as he confessed, he received little pleasure from praise All authors,

Without exception, are sensitive. A sturdier author wrote that he would sometimes have been glad to meet his assailant "where the muir-cock was baile." We know how testily Wordsworth replied in defence to the gentlest comments by Lamb.

The Master of Balliol kept insisting, "As to the critics, their power is not really great. One drop of natural feeling in poetry or the true statement of a single new fact is already felt to be of more value than all the critics put together." Yet even critics may be in the right, and of all great poets, Tennyson listened most obediently to their censures, as we have seen in the case of his early poems. His prolonged silences after the attacks of 1833 and 1855 were occupied in work and reflection. Achilles was not merely sulking in his tent, as some of his friends seem to have supposed. An epic in a series of epic idylls cannot be dashed off like a romantic novel in rhyme; and Tennyson's method was always one of waiting for maturity of conception and execution.

Mrs Tennyson, doubtless by her lord's desire, asked the Master (then tutor of Balliol) to suggest themes. Old age was suggested, and is treated in *The Grandmother*. Other topics were not handled. "I hold most strongly," said the Master, "that it is the duty of every one who has the good fortune to know a man of genius to do any trifling service they can to lighten his work." To do every service in his power to every man was the Master's life-long practice. He was much

at home, his letters show, with Burns, he especially cites *John Anderson, my jo, John*, but he tells an anecdote of Burns composing *Tam o' Shanter* with emotional tears, which, if true at all, is true of the making of *To Mary in Heaven*. If Burns wept over *Tam o' Shanter*, the tears must have been tears of laughter.

The first four *Idylls of the King* were prepared for publication in the spring of 1859, while Tennyson was at work also on *Pelleas and Ettarre*, and the Tristram cycle. In autumn he went on a tour to Lisbon with Mr F T Palgrave and Mr Craufurd Grove. Returning, he fell eagerly to reading an early copy of Darwin's *Origin of Species*, the crown of his own early speculations on the theory of evolution. "Your theory does not make against Christianity?" he asked Darwin later (1868), who replied, "No, certainly not." But Darwin has stated the waverings of his own mind in contact with a topic too high for *a priori* reasoning, and only to be approached, if at all, on the strength of the scientific method applied to facts which science, so far, neglects, or denies, or "explains away," rather than explains.

The *Idylls*, unlike *Maud*, were well received by the press, better by the public, and best of all by friends like Thackeray, the Duke of Argyll, the Master of Balliol, and Clough, while Ruskin showed some reserve. The letter from Thackeray I cannot deny myself the pleasure of citing from the Biography. It was written

"in an ardour of claret and gratitude," but posted some six weeks later —

FOLKSTONE, *September*
36 ONSLOW SQUARE, *October*

MY DEAR OLD ALFRED,—I owe you a letter of happiness and thanks. Six, about three weeks ago, when I was ill in bed, I read the *Idylls of the King*, and I thought, "Oh, I must write to him now, for this pleasure, this delight, this splendour of happiness which I have been enjoying." But I should have blotted the sheets, 'tis ill writing on one's back. The letter full of gratitude never went as far as the post-office, and how comes it now?

D'abord, a bottle of claret (The landlord of the hotel asked me down to the cellar and ticated me) Then afterwards sitting here, an old magazine, *Fraser's Magazine*, 1850, and I come on a poem out of *The Princess* which says, "I hear the horns of Elfland blowing, blowing,"—no, it's "the horns of Elfland faintly blowing" (I have been into my bedroom to fetch my pen and it has made that blot), and, reading the lines, which only one man in the world could write, I thought about the other horns of Elfland blowing in full strength, and Arthur in gold armour, and Guinevere in gold hair, and all those knights and heroes and beauties and purple landscapes and misty gray lakes in which you have made me live. They seem like facts to me, since about three weeks ago (three weeks or a month was it?) when I read the book. It is on the table yonder, and I don't like, somehow, to disturb it, but the delight and gratitude! You have made me as happy as I was as a child with the *Arabian Nights*,—every step I have walked in Elfland has been a sort of Paradise to me. (The landlord gave two bottles of his claret and I think I drank the most) and here I have been lying back in the chair and thinking of those delightful Idylls, my thoughts being turned to you. What could I do but be grateful to that surprising genius which has made me so happy? Do you understand that what I

mean is all true, and that I should break out were you sitting opposite with a pipe in your mouth? Gold and purple and diamonds, I say, gentlemen, and glory and love and honour, and if you haven't given me all these why should I be in such an ardour of gratitude? But I have had out of that dear book the greatest delight that has ever come to me since I was a young man, to write and think about it makes me almost young, and this I suppose is what I'm doing, like an after-dinner speech

P S—I thought the "Grandmother" quite as fine. How can you at 50 be doing things as well as at 35?

October 16th—(I should think six weeks after the writing of the above)

The rhapsody of gratitude was never sent, and for a peculiar reason just about the time of writing I came to an arrangement with Smith & Elder, to edit their new magazine, and to have a contribution from T was the publishers and editor's highest ambition. But to ask a man for a favour, and to praise and bow down before him in the same page, seemed to be so like hypocrisy, that I held my hand and left this note in my desk, where it has been lying during a little French-Italian-Swiss tour which my girls and their papa have been making.

Meanwhile S E & Co have been making their own proposals to you, and you have replied not favourably, I am sorry to hear, but now there is no reason why you should not have my homages, and I am just as thankful for the Idylls, and love and admire them just as much, as I did two months ago when I began to write in that ardour of claret and gratitude. If you can't write for us you can't. If you can by chance some day, and help an old friend, how pleased and happy I shall be! This however must be left to fate and your convenience. I don't intend to give up hope, but accept the good fortune if it comes. I see one, two, three quarterlies advertised to-day, as all bringing laurels to laureatus. He will not refuse the private tribute of an old friend, will he? You don't know how pleased the

gills were at Kensington to other day to hear you quote their father's little verses, and he too I daresay was not disgusted. He sends you and yours his very best regards in this most heartfelt and artless

(note of admiration) !

Always yours, my dear Alfred,

W M THACKERAY

Naturally this letter gave Tennyson more pleasure than all the converted critics with their favourable reviews. The Duke of Argyll announced the conversion of Macaulay. The Master found *Elaine* "the fairest, sweetest, purest love poem in the English language." As to the whole, "The allegory in the distance greatly strengthens, also elevates, the meaning of the poem."

Ruskin, like some other critics, felt "the art and finish in these poems a little more than I like to feel it." Yet *Guinevere* and *Elaine* had been rapidly written and little corrected. I confess to the opinion that what a man does most easily is, as a rule, what he does best. We know that the "art and finish" of Shakespeare were spontaneous, and so were those of Tennyson. Perfection in art is sometimes more sudden than we think, but then "the long preparation for it,—that unseen germination, *that* is what we ignore and forget." But he wisely kept his pieces by him for a long time, restudying them with a fresh eye. The "unreality" of the subject also failed to please Ruskin, as it is a stumbling-block to others. He wanted poems on "the living present," a theme not

selected by Homer, Shakespeare, Spenser, Milton, Virgil, or the Greek dramatists, except (among surviving plays) in the *Persæ* of Æschylus. The poet who can transfigure the hot present is fortunate, but most, and the greatest, have visited the cool quiet purlieus of the past.

VII

THE IDYLLS OF THE KING

THE Idylls may probably be best considered in their final shape they are not an epic, but a series of heroic *idyllia* of the same genre as the heroic *idyllia* of Theocritus. He wrote long after the natural age of national epic, the age of Homer. He saw the later literary epic rise in the *Argonautica* of Apollonius Rhodius, a poem with many beauties, if rather an archaistic and elaborate revival as a whole. The time for long narrative poems, Theocritus appears to have thought, was past, and he only ventured on the heroic *idyllia* of Heracles, and certain adventures of the Argonauts. Tennyson, too, from the first believed that his pieces ought to be short. Therefore, though he had a conception of his work as a whole, a conception long mused on, and sketched in various lights, he produced no epic, only a series of epic *idyllia*. He had a spiritual conception, "an allegory in the distance," an allegory not to be insisted upon, though its presence was to be felt. No longer, as in youth, did Tennyson intend

Merlin to symbolise "the sceptical understanding" (as if one were to "break into blank the gospel of" Herr Kant), or poor Guinevere to stand for the Blessed Reformation, or the Table Round for Liberal Institutions. Mercifully Tennyson never actually allegorised Arthur in that fashion. Later he thought of a musical masque of Arthur, and sketched a *scenario*. Finally Tennyson dropped both the allegory of Liberal principles and the musical masque in favour of the series of heroic idylls. There was only a "parabolic drift" in the intention. "There is no single fact or incident in the Idylls, however seemingly mystical, which cannot be explained without any mystery or allegory whatever." The Idylls ought to be read (and the right readers never dream of doing anything else) as romantic poems, just like Browning's *Childe Roland*, in which the wrong readers (the members of the Browning Society) sought for mystic mountains and marvels. Yet Tennyson had his own interpretation, "a dream of man coming into practical life and ruined by one sin." That was his "interpretation," or "allegory in the distance."

People may be heard objecting to the suggestion of any spiritual interpretation of the Arthur legends, and even to the existence of elementary morality among the Arthurian knights and ladies. There seems to be a notion that "bold bawdry and open manslaughter," as Roger Ascham said, are the staple of Tennyson's sources, whether in the mediæval French, the Welsh, or in Malory's compilation, chiefly from French sources.

Tennyson is accused of "Bowdlesing" these, and of introducing gentleness, courtesy, and conscience into a literature where such qualities were unknown. I must confess myself ignorant of any early and popular, or "primitive" literature, in which human virtues, and the human conscience, do not play their part. Those who object to Tennyson's handling of the great Arthurian cycle, on the ground that he is too refined and too moral, must either never have read or must long have forgotten even Malory's romance. Thus we read, in a recent novel, that Lancelot was an *homme aux bonnes fortunes*, whereas Lancelot was the most loyal of lovers.

Among other critics, Mr Harrison has objected that the Arthurian world of Tennyson "is not quite an ideal world. Therein lies the difficulty. The scene, though not of course historic, has certain historic suggestions and characters." It is not apparent who the historic characters are, for the real Arthur is but a historic phantasm. "But then, in the midst of so much realism, the knights, from Arthur downwards, talk and act in ways with which we are familiar in modern ethical and psychological novels, but which are as impossible in real mediæval knights as a Bengal tiger or a Polar bear would be in a drawing-room." I confess to little acquaintance with modern ethical novels, but real mediæval knights, and still more the knights of mediæval romance, were capable of very ethical actions. To halt an army for the protection and comfort of a laundress was a highly ethical

action Perhaps Sir Redvers Buller would do it Bruce did Mr Harrison accuses the ladies of the Idylls of soul-bewildering casuistry, like that of women in *Middlemarch* or *Helbeck of Bannisdale* Now I am not reminded by Guinevere, and Elaine, and Enid, of ladies in these ethical novels But the women of the mediæval *Cours d'Amour* (the originals from whom the old romancers drew) were nothing if not casuists "Spiritual delicacy" (as they understood it) was their delight

Mr Harrison even argues that Malory's men lived hot-blooded lives in fierce times, "before an idea had arisen in the world of 'reverencing conscience,' 'leading sweet lives,'" and so on But he admits that they had "fantastic ideals of 'honour' and 'love'" As to "fantastic," that is a matter of opinion, but to have ideals and to live in accordance with them is to "reverence conscience," which the heroes of the romances said by Mr Harrison never to have had an idea of doing They are denied even "amiable words and courtliness" Need one say that courtliness is the dominant note of mediæval knights, in history as in romance? With discourtesy Froissart would "head the count of crimes" After a battle, he says, Scots knights and English would thank each other for a good fight, "not like the Germans" "And now, I dare say," said Malory's Sir Ector, "thou, Sir Lancelot, wast the curtiest knight that ever bare shield, and thou wast the meekest man and the gentlest that ever ate in

ham among ladies" Observe Sir Lancelot in the difficult pass where the Lily Maid offers her love "Jesu defend me, for then I rewarded your father and your brother full evil for their great goodness But because, fair damsel, that ye love me as ye say ye do, I will, for your good will and kindness, show you some goodness, and always while I live to be your true knight" Here are "amiable words and courtesy" I cannot agree with Mr Harrison that Malory's book is merely "a fierce lusty epic" That was not the opinion of its printer and publisher, Caxton He produced it as an example of "the gentle and virtuous deeds that some knights used in these days, noble and renowned acts of humanity, gentleness, and chivalry For herein may be seen noble chivalry, courtesy, humanity, friendliness, love, cowardice, murder, hate, virtue, and sin Do after the good and leave the evil"

— Reaction against the bold-faced heroines and sensual amours of some of the old French romances, an ideal of exaggerated asceticism, of stainless chastity, notoriously pervades the portion of Malory's work which deals with the Holy Grail Lancelot is distraught when he finds that, by dint of enchantment, hē has been made false to Guinevere (Book XI chap viii) After his dreaming vision of the Holy Grail, with the reproachful Voice, Sir Lancelot said, "My sin and my wickedness have brought me great dishonour, and now I see and understand that my old sin hindereth and shameth me" He was

human, the Lancelot of Malory, and "fell to his old love again," with a heavy heart, and with long penance at the end. How such good knights can be deemed conscienceless and void of courtesy one knows not, except by a survival of the Puritanism of Ascham. But Tennyson found in the book what is in the book—honour, conscience, courtesy, and the hero—

"Whose honour rooted in dishonour stood,
And faith unfaithful kept him falsely true"

Malory's book, which was Tennyson's chief source, ends by being the tragedy of the conscience of Lancelot. Arthur is dead, or "In Avalon he groweth old." The Queen and Lancelot might sing, as Lennox reports that Queen Mary did after Darnley's murder—

*"Weel is me
For I am free"*

"Why took they not their pastime?" Because science forbade, and Guinevere sends her lover far from her, and both die in religion. Thus Malory's "fierce lusty epic" is neither so lusty nor so fierce but that it gives Tennyson his keynote: the sin that breaks the fair companionship, and is bitterly repented.

"The knights are almost too polite to kill each other," the critic urges. In Malory they are sometimes quite too polite to kill each other. Sir Darras has a blood-feud against Sir Tristram, and Sir Tristram is in his dungeon. Sir Darras said, "Wit ye well that Sir Darras shall never destroy such a noble knight as thou

ar~~a~~ in prison, howbeit that thou hast slain three of my sons, whereby I was greatly aggrueved But now shalt thou go and thy fellows All that ye did," said Sir Darras, "was by force of knighthood, and that was the cause I would not put you to death" (Book IX chap xl)

Tennyson is accused of "emasculating the fierce lusty epic into a moral lesson, as if it were to be performed in a drawing-room by an academy of young ladies"—presided over, I daresay, by "Anglican clergymen" I know not how any one who has read the *Morte d'Arthur* can blame Tennyson in the matter Let Malory and his sources be blamed, if to be moral is to be culpable A few passages apart, there is no coarseness in Malory, that there are conscience, courtesy, "sweet lives," "keeping down the base in man," "amiable words," and all that Tennyson gives, and, in Mr Harrison's theory, ~~gives~~ without authority in the romance, my quotations from Malory demonstrate They are chosen at a casual opening of his book That there "had not arisen in the world" "the idea of reverencing conscience" before the close of the fifteenth century A D is an extraordinary statement for a critic of history to offer

Mr Harrison makes his protest because "in the conspiracy of silence into which Tennyson's just fame has hypnotised the critics, it is bare honesty to admit defects" I think I am not hypnotised, and I do not regard the Idylls as the crown of Tennyson's work But it is not his "defect" to have introduced generosity, gentleness,

conscience, and chastity where no such things occur in his sources. Take Sir Darras: his position is that of Priam when he meets Achilles, who slew his sons, except that Priam comes as a suppliant, Sir Darras has Tristram in his hands, and may slay him. He is "too polite," as Mr Harrison says: he is too good a Christian, or too good a gentleman. One would not have given a tripod for the life of Achilles had he fallen into the hands of Priam. But between 1200 B.C. (or so) and the date of Malory, new ideas about "living sweet lives" had arisen. Where and when do they not arise? A British patrol fired on certain Swazis in time of truce. Their lieutenant, who had been absent when this occurred, rode alone to the stronghold of the Swazi king, Sekukoeni, and gave himself up, expecting death by torture. "Go, sir," said the king, "we too are gentlemen." The idea of a "sweet life" of honour had dawned even on Sekukoeni: it ~~lights~~ lights up Malory's romance, and is reflected in Tennyson's Idylls, doubtless with some modernism of expression.

That the Idylls represent no real world is certain. That Tennyson modernises and moralises too much, I willingly admit, what I deny is that he introduces gentleness, courtesy, and conscience where his sources have none. Indeed this is not a matter of critical opinion, but of verifiable fact. Any one can read Malory and judge for himself. But the world in which the Idylls move could not be real. For more than a thousand years different races, different ages, had taken

hold of the ancient Celtic legends and spiritualised them after their own manner, and moulded them to their own ideals. There may have been a historical Arthur, *Comes Britanniae*, after the Roman withdrawal. *Ye Anherawdyr Arthur*, "the Emperor Arthur," may have lived and fought, and led the Brythons to battle. But there may also have been a Brythonic deity, or culture hero, of the same, or of a similar name, and myths about him may have been assigned to a real Arthur. Again, the Arthur of the old Welsh legends was by no means the blameless king—even in comparatively late French romances he is not blameless. But the process of idealising him went on still incomplete in Malory's compilation, where he is often rather otiose and far from royal. Tennyson, for his purpose, completed the idealisation.

As to Guinevere, she was not idealised in the old Welsh rhyme—

"Guinevere, Giant Oguivan's daughter,
Naughty young, more naughty later."

Of Lancelot, and her passion for him, the old Welsh has nothing to say. Probably Chrétien de Troyes, by a happy blunder or misconception, gave Lancelot his love and his pre-eminent part. Lancelot was confused with Peredur, and Guinevere with the lady of whom Peredur was in quest. The Elaine who becomes by Lancelot the mother of Galahad "was Lancelot's rightful consort, as one recognises in her name that of Elen, the Empress, whom the story of Peredur"

(Lancelot, by the confusion) "gives that herō to wife." The second Elaine, the maid of Astolat, is another refraction from the original Elen. As to the Grail, it may be a Christianised rendering of one or another of the magical and mystic caldrons of Welsh or Irish legend. There is even an apparent Celtic source of the mysterious fisher king of the Grail romance ¹

A sketch of the evolution of the Arthurian legends might run thus —

Sixth to eighth century, growth of myth about an Arthur, real, or supposed to be real

Tenth century, the Duchies of Normandy and Brittany are in close relations, by the eleventh century Normans know Celtic Arthurian stories

After 1066, Normans in contact with the Celtic peoples of this island are in touch with the Arthur tales

1130-1145, works on Arthurian matter by Geoffrey of Monmouth

1155, Wace's French translation of Geoffrey

1150-1182, Chrétien de Troyes writes poems on Arthurian topics

French prose romances on Arthur, from, say, 1180 to 1250. Those romances reach Wales, and modify, in translations, the original Welsh legends, or, in part, supplant them

¹ The English reader may consult Mr Rhys's *The Arthurian Legend*, Oxford, 1891, and Mr Nutt's *Studies of the Legend of the Holy Grail*, which will direct him to other authorities and sources

Amplifications and recastings are numerous. In 1485 Caxton publishes Malory's selections from French and English sources, the whole being Tennyson's main source, *Le Mort d'Arthur*¹

Thus the Arthur stories, originally Celtic, originally a mass of semi-pagan legend, myth, and *marchen*, have been retold and rehandled by Norman, Englishman, and Frenchman, taking on new hues, expressing new ideals—religious, chivalrous, and moral. Any poet may work his will on them, and Tennyson's will was to retain the chivalrous courtesy, generosity, love, and asceticism, while dimly or brightly veiling or illuminating them with his own ideas. After so many processes, from folk-tale to modern idyll, the Arthurian world could not be real, and real it is not. Camelot lies "out of space, out of time," though the colouring is mainly that of the later chivalry, and "the gleam" on the hues is partly derived from Celtic fancy of various dates, and is partly Tennysonian.

As the Idylls were finally arranged, the first, *The Coming of Arthur*, is a remarkable proof of Tennyson's ingenuity in construction. Tales about the birth of Arthur varied. In Malory, Uther Pendragon, the Bretwalda (in later phrase) of Britain, besieges the Duke of Tintagil, who has a fair wife, Ygerne, in another

¹ I have summarised, with omissions, Miss Jessie L. Weston's sketch in *King Arthur and his Knights*. Nutt, 1899. The learning of the subject is enormous, Dr Sommer's *Le Mort d'Arthur*, the second volume, may be consulted. Nutt, 1899.

castle Merlin magically puts on Uther the shape of Ygerne's husband, and as her husband she receives him. On that night Arthur is begotten by Uther, and the Duke of Tintagil, his mother's husband, is slain in a sortie. Uther weds Ygerne, both recognise Arthur as their child. However, by the Celtic custom of fosterage the infant is intrusted to Sir Ector as his *dalt*, or foster-child, and Uther falls in battle. Arthur is later approved king by the adventure of drawing from the stone the magic sword that no other king could move. This adventure answers to Sigmund's drawing the sword from the Branstock, in the Volsunga Saga, "Now men stand up, and none would fain be the last to lay hand to the sword," apparently stricken into the pillar by Woden. "But none who came thereto might avail to pull it out, for in nowise would it come away howsoever they tugged at it, but now up comes Sigmund, King Volsung's son, and sets hand to the sword, and pulls it from the stock, even as if it lay loose before him." The incident in the Arthurian as in the Volsunga legend is on a par with the Golden Bough, in the sixth book of the *Æneid*. Only the predestined champion, such as Æneas, can pluck, or break, or cut the bough—

"Ipse volens facilisque sequetur
Si te fata vocant."

All this ancient popular element in the Arthur story, is disregarded by Tennyson. He does not make Uther approach Ygerne in the semblance of her lord, as

Zeus approached Alcmena in the semblance of her husband, Amphytrion. He neglects the other ancient test of the proving of Arthur by his success in drawing the sword. The poet's object is to enfold the origin and birth of Arthur in a spiritual mystery. This is deftly accomplished by aid of the various versions of the tale that reach King Leodogran when Arthur seeks the hand of his daughter Guinevere, for Arthur's title to the crown is still disputed, so Leodogran makes inquiries. The answers first leave it dubious whether Arthur is son of Gorlois, husband of Ygerne, or of Uther, who slew Gorlois and married her —

“Enforced she was to wed him in her tears”

The Celtic custom of fosterage is overlooked, and Merlin gives the child to Anton, not as the customary *dalt*, but to preserve the babe from danger. Queen Bellicent then tells Leodogran, from the evidence of Bleys, Merlin's master in necromancy, the story of Arthur's miraculous advent

“And down the wave and in the flame was borne
A naked babe, and rode to Merlin's feet,
Who stoopt and caught the babe, and cried ‘The King!’
Here is an heir for Uther!”

But Merlin, when asked by Bellicent to corroborate the statement of Bleys, merely

“Answer'd in riddling triplets of old time”

Finally, Leodogran's faith is confirmed by a vision.

Thus doubtfully, amidst rumour and portent, cloud and spiritual light, comes Arthur "from the great deep" he comes, and in as strange fashion, at the end, "to the great deep he goes"—a king to be accepted in faith or rejected by doubt. Arthur and his ideal are objects of belief. All goes well while the knights hold that

"The King will follow Christ, and we the King,
In whom high God hath breathed a secret thing"

In history we find the same situation in the France of 1429—

"The King will follow Jeanne, and we the King"

While this faith held, all went well, when the king ceased to follow, the spell was broken,—the Maid was martyred. In this sense the poet conceives the coming of Arthur, a sign to be spoken against, a test of high purposes, a belief redeeming and ennobling till faith fails, and the little rift within the lute, the love of Lancelot and Guinevere, makes discord of the music. As matter of legend, it is to be understood that Guinevere did not recognise Arthur when first he rode below her window—

"Since he neither wore on helm or shield
The golden symbol of his kinghood"

But Lancelot was sent to bring the bride—

"And return'd
Among the flowers, in May, with Guinevere"

Then their long love may have begun, as in the story of Tristram sent to bring Yseult to be the bride of King Mark. In Malory, however, Lancelot does not come on the scene till after Arthur's wedding and return from his conquering expedition to Rome. Then Lancelot wins renown, "wherefore Queen Guinevere had him in favour above all other knights, and in certain he loved the Queen again above all other ladies damosels of his life." Lancelot, as we have seen, is practically a French creation, adopted to illustrate the chivalrous theory of love, with its bitter fruit. Though not of the original Celtic stock of legend, Sir Lancelot makes the romance what it is, and draws down the tragedy that originally turned on the sin of Arthur himself, the sin that gave birth to the traitor Modred. But the mediæval romancers disguised that form of the story, and the process of idealising Arthur reached such heights in the middle ages that Tennyson thought himself at liberty to paint the *Flos Regum*, "the blameless King." He followed the *Brut ab Arthur*. "In short, God has not made since Adam was, the man more perfect than Arthur." This is remote from the Arthur of the oldest Celtic legends, but justifies the poet in adapting Arthur to the ideal hero of the Idylls —

' "Ideal manhood closed in real man,
Rather than that grey king, whose name, a ghost,
Streams like a cloud, man-shaped, from mountain-peak,
And cleaves to cairn and cromlech still, or him

Of Geoffrey's book, or him of Malleor's, one
 Touched by the adulterous finger of a time
 That hovered between war and wantonness,
 And crownings and dethronements'

The poetical beauties of *The Coming of Arthur* excel those of *Gareth and Lynette*. The sons of Lot and Bellicent seem to have been originally regarded as the incestuous offspring of Arthur and his sister, the wife of King Lot. Next it was represented that Arthur was ignorant of the relationship. Mr Rhys supposes that the mythical scandal (still present in Malory as a sin of ignorance) arose from blending the Celtic Arthur (as Culture Hero) with an older divine personage, such as Zeus, who marries his sister Hera. Marriages of brother and sister are familiar in the Egyptian royal house, and that of the Incas. But the poet has a perfect right to disregard a scandalous myth which, obviously crystallised later about the figure of the mythical Celtic Arthur, was an incongruous accretion to his legend. Gareth, therefore, is merely Arthur's nephew, not son, in the poem, as are Gawain and the traitor Modred. The story seems to be rather mediæval French than Celtic—a mingling of the spirit of *fabliau* and popular fairy tale. The poet has added to its lightness, almost frivolity, the description of the unreal city of Camelot, built to music, as when

"Ilion, like a mist, rose into towers"

He has also brought in the allegory of Death, which,

when faced, proves to be "a blooming boy" behind the mask. The courtesy and prowess of Lancelot lead up to the later development of his character.

In *The Marriage of Geraint*, a rumour has already risen about Lancelot and the Queen, darkening the Court, and presaging

"The world's loud whisper breaking into storm"

For this reason Geraint removes Enid from Camelot to his own land—the poet thus early leading up to the sin and the doom of Lancelot. But this motive does not occur in the Welsh story of Enid and Geraint, which Tennyson has otherwise followed with unwonted closeness. The tale occurs in French romances in various forms, but it appears to have returned, by way of France and coloured with French influences, to Wales, where it is one of the later Mabinogion. The characters are Celtic, and Nud, father of Edyrn, Geraint's defeated antagonist, appears to be recognised by Mr Rhys as "the Celtic Zeus". The manners and the tournaments are French. In the Welsh tale Geraint and Enid are bedded in Arthur's own chamber, which seems to be a symbolic commutation of the *jus primæ noctis*, a custom of which the very existence is disputed. This unseemly antiquarian detail, of course, is omitted in the Idyll.

An abstract of the Welsh tale will show how closely Tennyson here follows his original. News is brought into Arthur's Court of the appearance of a white stag

The king arranges a hunt, and Guinevere asks leave to go and watch the sport. Next morning she cannot be wakened, though the tale does not aver, like the Idyll, that she was

“Lost in sweet dreams, and dreaming of her love
For Lancelot ”

Guinevere wakes late, and rides through a ford of Usk to the hunt. Geraint follows, “a golden-hilted sword was at his side, and a robe and a surcoat of satin were upon him, and two shoes of leather upon his feet, and around him was a scarf of blue purple, at each corner of which was a golden apple” —

“But Guinevere lay late into the morn,
Lost in sweet dreams, and dreaming of her love
For Lancelot, and forgetful of the hunt ,
But rose at last, a single maiden with her,
Took horse, and forded Usk, and gain'd the wood ,
There, on a little knoll beside it, stay'd
Waiting to hear the hounds , but heard instead
A sudden sound of hoofs, for Prince Geraint,
Late also, wearing neither hunting-dress
Nor weapon, save a golden-hilted brand,
Came quickly flashing thro' the shallow ford
Behind them, and so gallop'd up the knoll
A purple scarf, at either end whereof
There swung an apple of the purest gold,
Sway'd round about him, as he gallop'd up
To join them, glancing like a dragon fly
In summer suit and silks of holiday ”

The encounter with the dwarf, the lady, and the knight

follows The prose of the Mabinogi may be compared with the verse of Tennyson —

“Geraint,” said Gwenhwyvar, “knowest thou the name of that tall knight yonder?” “I know him not,” said he, “and the strange armour that he wears prevents my either seeing his face or his features” “Go, maiden,” said Gwenhwyvar, “and ask the dwarf who that knight is” Then the maiden went up to the dwarf, and the dwarf waited for the maiden, when he saw her coming towards him And the maiden inquired of the dwarf who the knight was “I will not tell thee,” he answered “Since thou art so churlish as not to tell me,” said she, “I will ask him himself” “Thou shalt not ask him by my faith,” said he “Wherefore?” said she “Because thou art not of honour sufficient to befit thee to speak to my Lord” Then the maiden turned her horse’s head towards the knight, upon which the dwarf struck her with the whip that was in his hand across the face and the eyes, until the blood flowed forth And the maiden, through the hurt she received from the blow, returned to Gwenhwyvar, complaining of the pain “Very rudely has the dwarf treated thee,” said Geraint “I will go myself to know who the knight is” “Go,” said Gwenhwyvar And Geraint went up to the dwarf “Who is yonder knight?” said Geraint “I will not tell thee,” said the dwarf “Then will I ask him himself,” said he “That wilt thou not, by my faith,” said the dwarf, “thou art not honourable enough to speak with my Lord” Said Geraint, “I have spoken with men of equal rank with him” And he turned his horse’s head towards the knight, but the dwarf overtook him, and struck him as he had done the maiden, so that the blood coloured the scarf that Geraint wore Then Geraint put his hand upon the hilt of his sword, but he took counsel with himself, and considered that it would be no vengeance for him to slay the dwarf, and to be attacked unarmed by the armed knight, so he returned to where Gwenhwyvar was

"And while they listen'd for the distant hunt,
 And chiefly for the baying of Cavall,
 King Arthur's hound of deepest mouth, there rode
 Full slowly by a knight, lady, and dwarf,
 Whereof the dwarf lagg'd latest, and the knight
 Had vizor up, and show'd a youthful face,
 Imperious, and of haughtiest lineaments
 And Guinevere, not mindful of his face
 In the King's hall, desired his name, and sent
 Her maiden to demand it of the dwarf,
 Who being vicious, old and irritable,
 And doubling all his master's vice of pride,
 Made answer sharply that she should not know
 'Then will I ask it of himself,' she said
 'Nay, by my faith, thou shalt not,' cried the dwarf,
 'Thou art not worthy ev'n to speak of him',
 And when she put her horse toward the knight,
 Struck at her with his whip, and she return'd
 Indignant to the Queen, whereat Geraint
 Exclaiming, 'Surely I will learn the name,'
 Made sharply to the dwarf, and ask'd it of him,
 Who answer'd as before, and when the Prince
 Had put his horse in motion toward the knight,
 Struck at him with his whip, and cut his cheek
 The Prince's blood spirted upon the scarf,
 Dyeing it, and his quick, instinctive hand
 Caught at the hilt, as to abolish him
 But he, from his exceeding manfulness
 And pure nobility of temperament,
 Wroth to be wroth at such a woman, refrain'd
 From ev'n a word"

The self-restraint of Geraint, who does not slay the
 dwarf,

"From his exceeding manfulness
 And pure nobility of temperament,"

may appear "too polite," and too much in accord with the still undiscovered idea of "leading sweet lives." However, the uninvented idea does occur in the Welsh original "Then Geraint put his hand upon the hilt of his sword, but he took counsel with himself, and considered that it would be no vengeance for him to slay the dwarf," while he also reflects that he would be "attacked unarmed by the armed knight." Perhaps Tennyson may be blamed for omitting this obvious motive for self-restraint. Geraint therefore follows the knight in hope of finding arms, and arrives at the town all busy with preparations for the tournament of the sparrow-hawk. This was a challenge sparrow-hawk the knight had won it twice, and if he won it thrice it would be his to keep. The rest, in the tale, is exactly followed in the Idyll. Geraint is entertained by the ruined Yniol. The youth bears the "costrel" full of "good purchased mead" (the ruined Earl not brewing for himself), and Enid carries the manchet bread in her veil, "old, and beginning to be worn out." All Tennyson's own is the beautiful passage—

"And while he waited in the castle court,
The voice of Enid, Yniol's daughter, rang
Clear thro' the open casement of the hall,
Singing, and as the sweet voice of a bird,
Heard by the lander in a lonely isle,
Moves him to think what kind of bird it is
That sings so delicately clear, and make
Conjecture of the plumage and the form,
So the sweet voice of Enid moved Geraint,

And made him like a man abroad at morn
 When first the liquid note beloved of men
 Comes flying over many a windy wave
 To Britain, and in April suddenly
 Breaks from a coppice gemm'd with green and red,
 And he suspends his converse with a friend,
 Or it may be the labour of his hands,
 To think or say, 'There is the nightingale',
 So fared it with Geraint, who thought and said,
 'Here, by God's grace, is the one voice for me' "

Yniol frankly admits in the tale that he was in the wrong in the quarrel with his nephew. The poet, however, gives him the right, as is natural. The combat is exactly followed in the *Idyll*, as is Geraint's insistence in carrying his bride to Court in her faded silks. Geraint, however, leaves Court with Enid, not because of the scandal about Lancelot, but to do his duty in his own country. He becomes indolent and uxorious, and Enid deplores his weakness, and awakes his suspicions, thus —

And one morning in the summer time they were upon their couch, and Geraint lay upon the edge of it. And Enid was without sleep in the apartment which had windows of glass. And the sun shone upon the couch. And the clothes had slipped from off his arms and his breast, and he was asleep. Then she gazed upon the marvellous beauty of his appearance, and she said, "Alas, and am I the cause that these arms and this breast have lost their glory and the warlike fame which they once so richly enjoyed!" And as she said this, the tears dropped from her eyes, and they fell upon his breast. And the tears she shed, and the words she had spoken, awoke him, and another thing contributed to awaken him, and that was the idea that it was not in think-

ing of him that she spoke thus, but that it was because she loved some other man more than him, and that she wished for other society, and thereupon Geraint was troubled in his mind, and he called his squire, and when he came to him, "Go quickly," said he, "and prepare my horse and my arms, and make them ready And do thou arise," said he to Enid, "and apparel thyself, and cause thy horse to be accoutred, and clothe thee in the worst riding dress that thou hast in thy possession And evil betide me," said he, "if thou returnest here until thou knowest whether I have lost my strength so completely as thou didst say And if it be so, it will then be easy for thee to seek the society thou didst wish for of him of whom thou wast thinking" So she arose, and clothed herself in her meanest garments "I know nothing, Lord," said she, "of thy meaning" "Neither wilt thou know at this time," said he

"At last, it chanced that on a summer morn
 (They sleeping each by either) the new sun
 Beat thro' the blindless casement of the room,
 And heated the strong warrior in his dreams,
 Who, moving, cast the coverlet aside,
 And bared the knotted column of his throat,
 The massive square of his heroic breast,
 And arms on which the standing muscle sloped,
 As slopes a wild brook o'er a little stone,
 Running too vehemently to break upon it
 And Enid woke and sat beside the couch,
 Admiring him, and thought within herself,
 Was ever man so grandly made as he?
 Then, like a shadow, past the people's talk
 And accusation of unvirginity
 Across her mind, and bowing over him,
 Low to her own heart pitcously she said

'O noble breast and all-puissant arms,
 Am I the cause, I the poor cause that men

Reproach you, saying all your force is gone?
 I *am* the cause, because I dare not speak
 And tell him what I think and what they say
 And yet I hate that he should linger here,
 I cannot love my lord and not his name
 Far liefer had I gird his harness on him,
 And ride with him to battle and stand by,
 And watch his mighty hand striking great blows
 At catiffs and at wrongers of the world
 Far better were I laid in the dark earth,
 Not hearing any more his noble voice,
 Not to be folded more in these dear arms,
 And darken'd from the high light in his eyes,
 Than that my lord thro' me should suffer shame
 Am I so bold, and could I so stand by,
 And see my dear lord wounded ⁱⁿ the strife,
 Or maybe pierced to death before mine eyes,
 And yet not dare to tell him what I think,
 And how men slur him, saying all his force
 Is melted into mere effeminacy?
 O me, I fear that I am no true wife'

Half inwardly, half audibly she spoke,
 And the strong passion in her made her weep
 True tears upon his broad and naked breast,
 And these awoke him, and by great mischance
 He heard but fragments of her later words,
 And that she fear'd she was not a true wife
 And then he thought, 'In spite of all my care,
 For all my pains, poor man, for ~~all my pains,~~
 She is not faithful to me, and I see her
 Weeping for some gay knight in Arthur's hall'
 Then tho' he loved and revered her too much
 To dream she could be guilty of foul act,
 Right thro' his manful breast darted the pang
 That makes a man, in the sweet face of her
 Whom he loves most, lonely and miserable

At this he hild his huge limbs out of bed,
 And shook his drowsy squine awake and cried,
 'My charger and hei palfrey', then to hei,
 'I will ride forth into the wilderness,
 For tho' it seems my spurs are yet to win,
 I have not fall'n so low as some would wish
 And thou, put on thy worst and meanest dress
 And ride with me' And Enid ask'd, amazed,
 'If Enid eris, let Enid learn hei fault'
 But he, 'I charge thee, ask not, but obey'
 Then she bethought her of a faded silk,
 A faded mantle and a faded veil,
 And moving toward a cedarn cabinet,
 Wherein she kept them fold'd reverently
 With sprigs of summer laid between the folds,
 She took them, and array'd herself therein,
 Remembering when first he came on hei
 Drest in that dress, and how he loved her in it,
 And all hei foolish fears about the dress,
 And all his journey to hei, as himself
 Had told hei, and then coming to the court"

Tennyson's

"Arms on which the standing muscle sloped,
 As slopes a wild brook o'er a little stone,
 Running too vehemently to break upon it,"

is suggested perhaps by Theocritus—"The muscles on his brawny arms stood out like rounded rocks that the winter torrent has rolled and worn smooth, in the great ~~swirling stream~~" (Idyll xxi)

The second part of the poem follows the original less closely. Thus Limours, in the tale, is not an old suitor of Enid, Edyin does not appear to the rescue, certain cruel games, veiled in a magic mist, occur in the tale,

and are omitted by the poet, "Gwyffert petit, so called by the Franks, whom the Cymry call the Little King," in the tale, is not a character in the Idyll, and, generally, the gross Celtic exaggerations of Geraint's feats are toned down by Tennyson. In other respects, as when Geraint eats the mowers' dinner, the tale supplies the materials. But it does not dwell tenderly on the reconciliation. The tale is more or less in the vein of "patient Griseld," and he who told it is more concerned with the fighting than with *amoris redintegratio*, and the sufferings of Enid. The Idyll is enriched with many beautiful pictures from nature, such as this —

"But at the flash and motion of the man
They vanish'd panic-stricken, like a shoal
Of darting fish, that on a summer morn
Adown the crystal dykes at Camelot
Come slipping o'er their shadows on the sand,
But if a man who stands upon the brink
But lift a shining hand against the sun,
There is not left the twinkle of a fin
Betwixt the creasy islets white in flower,
So, scared but at the motion of the man,
Fled all the boon companions of the Earl,
And left him lying in the public way"

In *Balin and Balan* Tennyson displays great constructive power, and remarkable skill in moulding the most recalcitrant materials. Balin or Balyn, according to Mr Rhys, is the Belinus of Geoffrey of Monmouth, "whose name represents the Celtic divinity described in

Latin as Apollo Belenus or Belinus"¹ In Geoffrey, Belinus, euhemerised, or reduced from god to hero, has a brother, Brennius, the Celtic Brân, King of Britain from Caithness to the Humber Belinus drives Brân into exile "Thus it is seen that Belinus or Balyn was, mythologically speaking, the natural enemy" (as Apollo Belinus, the radiant god) "of the dark divinity Brân or Balan"

If this view be correct, the two brothers answer to the good and bad principles of myths like that of the Huon Iouskeha the Sun, and Anatsensic the Moon, or rather Taouscara and Iouskeha, the hostile brothers, Black and White² These mythical brethren are, in Malory, two knights of Northumberland, Balin the wild and Balan Their adventures are mixed up with a hostile Lady of the Lake, whom Balin slays in Arthur's presence, with a sword which none but Balin can draw from sheath, and with an evil black-faced knight Garlon, invisible at will, whom Balin slays in the castle of the knight's brother, King Pellam Pursued from room to room by Pellam, Balin finds himself in a chamber full of relics of Joseph of Arimathea There he seizes a spear, the very spear with which the Roman soldier pierced the side of the Crucified, and wounds Pellam The castle falls in

¹ Βελενος and Βελιννος He is referred to in inscriptions, e.g. Berlin, *Corpus*, in 4774, v 732, 733, 1829, 2143-46, and 401 See also Ausonius (Leipsic, 1886, pp 52, 59), cited by Rhys, *The Arthurian Legend*, p 119, note 4

² Brébeuf, *Relations des Jésuites*, 1636, pp 100 102

ruins "through that dolorous stroke" Pellam becomes the maimed king, who can only be healed by the Holy Grail. Apparently Celtic myths of obscure antiquity have been adapted in France, and interwoven with fables about Joseph of Arimathea and Christian mysteries. It is not possible here to go into the complicated learning of the subject. In Malory, Balin, after dealing the dolorous stroke, borrows a strange shield from a knight, and, thus accoutred, meets his brother Balan, who does not recognise him. They fight, both die and are buried in one tomb, and Galahad later achieves the adventure of winning Balin's sword. "Thus endeth the tale of Balyn and of Balan, two brethren born in Northumberland, good knights," says Malory, simply, and unconscious of the strange mythological medley under the coat armour of romance.

The materials, then, seemed confused and obdurate, but Tennyson works them into the course of the fatal love of Lancelot and Guinevere, and into the spiritual texture of the Idylls. Balin has been expelled from Court for the wildness that gives him his name, *Balin le Sauvage*. He had buffeted a squire in hall. He and Balan await all challengers beside a well. Arthur encounters and dismounts them. Balin devotes himself to self-conquest. Then comes tidings that Pellam, of old leagued with Lot against Arthur, has taken to religion, collects relics, claims descent from Joseph of Arimathea, and owns the sacred spear that pierced the side of Christ. But Garlon is with him, the knight

invisible, who appears to come from an Irish source, or at least has a parallel in Irish legend. This Garlon has an unknighly way of killing men by viewless blows from the rear. Balan goes to encounter Garlon. Balin remains, learning courtesy, modelling himself on Lancelot, and gaining leave to bear Guinevere's Crown Matrimonial for his cognisance,—which, of course, Balan does not know,—

“As golden earnest of a better life”

But Balin sees reason to think that Lancelot and Guinevere love even too well

“Then chanced, one morning, that Sir Balin sat
Close-bowled in that garden nigh the hall
A walk of roses ran from door to door,
A walk of lilies crost it to the bower
And down that range of roses the great Queen
Came with slow steps, the morning on her face,
And all in shadow from the counter door
Sir Lancelot as to meet her, then at once,
As if he saw not, glanced aside and paced
The long white walk of lilies toward the bower
Followed the Queen, Sir Balin heard her ‘Prince,
Art thou so little loyal to thy Queen,
As pass without good morrow to thy Queen?’
To whom Sir Lancelot with his eyes on earth,
‘Fain would I still be loyal to the Queen’
‘Yea so,’ she said, ‘but so to pass me by—
So loyal scarce is loyal to thyself,
Whom all men rate the king of courtesy
Let be ye stand, fair lord, as in a dream’

Then Lancelot with his hand among the flowers,
‘Yea—for a dream. Last night methought I saw

That maiden Saint who stands with lily in hand
 In yonder shine All round her priest the dark,
 And all the light upon her silver face
 I low'd from the spiritual lily that she held
 Lo ! these her emblems drew mine eyes—away
 For see, how perfect-pure ! As light a flush
 As hardly tints the blossom of the quince
 Would mar their charm of stainless maidenhood !

‘Sweeter to me,’ she said, ‘this garden rose
 Deep hued and many-folded ! sweeter still
 The wild-wood hyacinth and the bloom of May
 Prince, we have ridd’n before among the flowers
 In those fair days—not all as cool as these,
 Tho’ season-earlier Art thou sad ? or sick ?
 Our noble King will send thee his own leech—
 Sick ? or for any matter anger’d at me ?’

Then Lancelot lifted his large eyes, they dwelt
 Deep-tranced on hers, and could not fall her hue
 Changed at his gaze so turning side by side
 They past, and Balin started from his bower

‘Queen ? subject ? but I see not what I see
 Damsel and lover ? hear not what I hear
 My father hath begotten me in his wrath
 I suffer from the things before me, know,
 Learn nothing, am not worthy to be knight,
 A churl, a clown !’ and in him gloom on gloom
 Deepen’d he sharply caught his lance and shield,
 Nor stay’d to crave permission of the King,
 But, mad for strange adventure, dash’d away”

Balin is “disillusioned,” his faith in the Ideal is shaken—
 if not shattered He rides at adventure Arriving at
 the half-ruined castle of Pellam, that dubious devotee,

he hears Garlon insult Guinevere, but restrains himself. Next day, again insulted for bearing "the crown scandalous" on his shield, he strikes Garlon down, is pursued, seizes the sacred spear, and escapes. Vivien meets him in the woods, drops scandal in his ears, and so maddens him that he defaces his shield with the crown of Guinevere. Her song, and her words,

"This fire of Heaven,
This old sun-worship, boy, will rise again,
And beat the cross to earth, and break the King
And all his Table,"

might be forced into an allegory of the revived pride of life, at the Renaissance and after. The maddened yells of Balin strike the ear of Balan, who thinks he has met the foul knight Garlon, that

"Tramples on the goodly shield to show
His loathing of our Order and the Queen"

They fight, fatally wound, and finally recognise each other. Balan tries to restore Balin's faith in Guinevere, who is merely slandered by Garlon and Vivien. Balin acknowledges that his wildness has been their common bane, and they die, "either locked in either's arms."

There is nothing in Malory, nor in any other source, so far as I am aware, which suggested to Tennyson the *clou* of the situation—the use of Guinevere's crown as a cognisance by Balin. This device enables the poet to weave the rather confused and unintelligible adventures of Balin and Balan into the scheme, and to make it a

stage in the progress of his fable That Balin was reckless and wild Malory bears witness, but his endeavours to conquer himself and reach the ideal set by Lancelot are Tennyson's addition, with all the tragedy of Balin's disenchantment and despair The strange fantastic house of Pellam, full of the most sacred things,

"In which he scarce could spy the Christ for Saints,"

yet sheltering the human fiend Gailon, is supplied by Malory, whose predecessors probably blended more than one myth of the old Cymry into the romance, washed over with Christian colouring As Malory tells this part of the tale it is perhaps more strange and effective than in the Idyll The introduction of Vivien into this adventure is wholly due to Tennyson her appearance here leads up to her triumph in the poem which follows,
Merlin and Vivien

X The nature and origin of Merlin are something of a mystery Hints and rumours of Merlin, as of Arthur, stream from hill and grave as far north as Tweedside If he was a historical person, myths of magic might crystallise round him, as round Virgil in Italy The process would be the easier in a country where the practices of Druidry still lingered, and revived after the retreat of the Romans The mediæval romancers invented a legend that Merlin was a virgin-born child of Satan In Tennyson he may be guessed to represent the fabled esoteric lore of old religions, with their vague pantheisms, and such magic as the *tapas* of Brahmanic

legends He is wise with a riddling evasive wisdom the builder of Camelot, the prophet, a shadow of Druidry clinging to the Christian king His wisdom cannot avail him if he beholds "his own mischance with a glassy countenance," he cannot avoid his shapen fate He becomes assotted of Vivien, and goes open-eyed to his doom

The enchantress, Vivien, is one of that dubious company of Ladies of the Lake, now friendly, now treacherous Probably these ladies are the fairies of popular Celtic tradition, taken up into the more elaborate poetry of Cymric literature and mediæval romance Mr R^hys traces Vivien, or Nimue, or 'Nyneuc, back, through a series of palæographic changes and errors, to Rhiannon, wife of Pwyll, a kind of lady of the lake he thinks, but the identification is not very satisfactory Vivien is certainly "one of the damsels of the lake" in Malory, and the damsels of the lake seem to be lake fairies, with all their beguilements and strange unstable loves "And always Merlin lay about the lady to have her maidenhood, and she was ever passing weary of him, and fain would have been delivered of him, for she was afraid of him because he was a devil's son So by her subtle working she made Merlin to go under that stone to let her wit of the marvels there, but she wrought so there for him that he came never out for all the craft he could do And so she departed and left Merlin" The sympathy of Malory is not with the enchanter In the Idylls, as

finally published, Vivien is born on a battlefield of death, with a nature perverted, and an instinctive hatred of the good. Wherefore she leaves the Court of King Mark to make mischief in Camelot. She is, in fact, the ideal minx, a character not elsewhere treated by Tennyson —

“She hated all the knights, and heard in thought
 Their lavish comment when her name was named
 For once, when Arthur walking all alone,
 Vext at a rumour issued from herself
 Of some corruption crept among his knights,
 Had met her, Vivien, being greeted fain,
 Would fain have wrought upon his cloudy mood
 With reverent eyes mock-loyal, shaken voice,
 And flutter'd adoration, and at last
 With dark sweet hints of some who prized him more
 Than who should prize him most, at which the King
 Had gazed upon her blankly and gone by
 But one had watch'd, and had not held his peace
 It made the laughter of an afternoon
 That Vivien should attempt the blameless King,
 And after that, she set herself to gain
 Him, the most famous man of all those times,
 Merlin, who knew the range of all their arts,
 Had built the King his havens, ships, and halls,
 Was also Bard, and knew the starry heavens,
 The people call'd him Wizard, whom at first
 She play'd about with slight and sprightly talk,
 And vivid smiles, and faintly-venom'd points
 Of slander, glancing here and grazing there,
 And yielding to his kinder moods, the Seer
 Would watch her at her petulance, and play,
 Ev'n when they seem'd unloveable, and laugh
 As those that watch a kitten, thus he grew
 Tolerant of what he half disdain'd, and she,

Perceiving that she was but half disdain'd,
 Began to break her sports with graver fits,
 Turned red or pale, would often when they met
 Sigh fully, or all-silent gaze upon him.
 With such a fixt devotion, that the old man,
 Tho' doubtful, felt the flattery, and at times
 Would flatter his own wish in age for love,
 And half believe her true for thus at times
 He waver'd, but that other clung to him,
 Fixt in her will, and so the seasons went "

Vivien is modern enough—if any type of character is modern at all events there is no such Blanche Amory of a girl in the old legends and romances. In these Merlin fatigues the lady by his love, she learns his arts, and gets rid of him as she can. His forebodings in the Idyll contain a magnificent image —

"There lay she all her length and kiss'd his feet,
 As if in deepest reverence and in love
 A twist of gold was round her hair, a robe
 Of samite without price, that more exprest
 Than hid her, clung about her lissome limbs,
 In colour like the satin-shining palm
 On sallows in the windy gleams of March
 And while she kiss'd them, crying, 'Tempt me,
 Dear feet, that I have follow'd thro' the world,
 And I will pay you worship, tread me down
 And I will kiss you for it', he was mute
 So dark a forethought toll'd about his brain,
 As on a dull day in an Ocean cave
 The blind wave feeling round his long sea-hall
 In silence "

We think of the blinded Cyclops groping round his cave,
 like "the blind wave feeling round his long sea-hall "

The richness, the many shining contrasts and immortal lines in *Vivien*, seem almost too noble for a subject not easily redeemed, and the picture of the ideal Court lying in full corruption. Next to *Elaine*, Jowett wrote that he "admired *Vivien* the most (the naughty one), which seems to me a work of wonderful power and skill. It is most elegant and fanciful. I am not surprised at your Delilah beguiling the wise man, she is quite equal to it." The dramatic versatility of Tennyson's genius, his power of creating the most various characters, is nowhere better displayed than in the contrast between the *Vivien* and the *Elaine*. *Vivien* is a type, her adventure is of a nature, which he has not elsewhere handled. Thackeray, who admired the Idylls so enthusiastically, might have recognised in *Vivien* a character not unlike some of his own, as dark as Becky Sharp, more terrible in her selfishness than that Beatrix Esmond who is still a paragon, and, in her creator's despite, a queen of hearts. In *Elaine*, on the other hand, Tennyson has drawn a girl so innocently passionate, and told a tale of love that never found his earthly close, so delicately beautiful, that we may perhaps place this Idyll the highest of his poems on love, and reckon it the gem of the Idylls, the central diamond in the diamond crown. Reading *Elaine* once more, after an interval of years, one is captivated by its grace, its pathos, its nobility. The poet had touched on some unidentified form of the story, long before, in *The Lady of Shalott*. That poem had the mystery of romance,

but, in human interest, could not compete with *Elaine*, if indeed any poem of Tennyson's can be ranked with this matchless Idyll

The mere invention, and, as we may say, *charpentage*, are of the first order. The materials in Malory, though beautiful, are simple, and left a field for the poet's invention.¹

Arthur, with the Scots and Northern knights, means to encounter all comers at a Whitsuntide tourney. Guinevere is ill, and cannot go to the jousts, while Lancelot makes excuse that he is not healed of a wound. "Wherefore the King was heavy and passing wroth, and so he departed towards Winchester." The Queen then blamed Lancelot: people will say they deceive Arthur. "Madame," said Sir Lancelot, "I allow your wit, it is of late come that ye were wise." In the Idyll Guinevere speaks as if their early loves had been as conspicuous as, according to George Buchanan, were those of Queen Mary and Bothwell. Lancelot will go to the tourney, and, despite Guinevere's warning, will take part against Arthur and his own fierce Northern kinsmen. He rides to Astolat—"that is, Gylford"—where Arthur sees him. He borrows the blank shield of "Sir Torre," and the company of his brother Sir Lavaine. Elaine "cast such a love unto Sir Lancelot that she would never withdraw her love, wherefore she died." At her prayer, and for better disguise (as he had never worn a lady's favour), Lancelot carried her scarlet pearl-em-

¹ Malory, xviii 8 *et seq*

broidered sleeve in his helmet, and left his shield in Elaine's keeping. The tourney passes as in the poem, Gawain recognising Lancelot, but puzzled by the favour he wears. The wounded Lancelot "thought to do what he might while he might endure." When he is offered the prize he is so sore hurt that he "takes no force of no honour." He rides into a wood, where Lavaine draws forth the spear. Lavaine brings Lancelot to the hermit, once a knight. "I have seen the day," says the hermit, "I would have loved him the worse, because he was against my lord, King Arthur, for some time. I was one of the fellowship of the Round Table, but I thank God now I am otherwise disposed." Gawain, seeking the wounded knight, comes to Astolat, where Elaine declares "he is the man in the world that I first loved, and truly he is the last that ever I shall love." Gawain, on seeing the shield, tells Elaine that the wounded knight is Lancelot, and she goes to seek him and Lavaine. Gawain does not pay court to Elaine, nor does Arthur rebuke him, as in the poem. When Guinevere heard that Lancelot bore another lady's favour, "she was nigh out of her mind for wrath," and expressed her anger to Sir Bors, for Gawain had spoken of the maid of Astolat. Bors tells this to Lancelot, who is tended by Elaine. "'But I well see,' said Sir Bors, 'by her diligence about you that she loveth you entirely.' 'That me repenteth,' said Sir Lancelot. Said Sir Bors, 'Sir, she is not the first that hath lost her pain upon you, and that is the more pity.'"

When Lancelot recovers, and returns to Astolat, she declares her love with the frankness of ladies in mediæval romance "Have mercy upon me and suffer me not to die for thy love" Lancelot replies with the courtesy and the offers of service which became him "Of all this," said the maiden, "I will none, for but if ye will wed me, or be my paramour at the least, wit you well, Sir Lancelot, my good days are done"

This was a difficult pass for the poet, living in other days of other manners His art appears in the turn which he gives to Elaine's declaration —

"But when Sir Lancelot's deadly hurt was whole,
To Astolat returning rode the thiee
There morn by morn, arraying her sweet self
In that wherein she deem'd she look'd her best,
She came before Sir Lancelot, for she thought
'If I be loved, these are my festal robes,
If not, the victim's flowers before he fall'
And Lancelot ever prest upon the maid
That she should ask some goodly gift of him
For her own self or heirs, 'and do not shun
To speak the wish most near to your true heart,
Such service have ye done me, that I make
My will of yours, and Prince and Lord am I
In mine own land, and what I will I can'
Then like a ghost she lifted up her face,
But like a ghost without the power to speak
And Lancelot saw that she withheld her wish,
And bode among them yet a little space
Till he should learn it, and one morn it chanced
He found her in among the garden yews,
And said, 'Delay no longer, speak your wish,
Seeing I go to-day' then out she brake,

'Going?' and we shall never see you more
 And I must die for want of one bold word'
 'Speak that I live to hear,' he said, 'is yours'
 Then suddenly and passionately she spoke
 'I have gone mad I love you let me die'
 'Ah, sister,' answer'd Lancelot, 'what is this?'
 And innocently extending her white arms,
 'Your love,' she said, 'your love—to be your wife'
 And Lancelot answer'd, 'Had I chosen to wed,
 I had been wedded earlier, sweet Elaine
 But now there never will be wife of mine'
 'No, no,' she cried, 'I care not to be wife,
 But to be with you still, to see your face,
 To serve you, and to follow you thro' the world'
 And Lancelot answer'd, 'Nay, the world, the world,
 All ear and eye, with such a stupid heart
 To interpret ear and eye, and such a tongue
 To blare its own interpretation—nay,
 Full ill then should I quit your brother's love,
 And your good father's kindness' And she said,
 'Not to be with you, not to see your face—
 Alas for me then, my good days are done''

So she dies, and is borne down Thames to London,
 the fairest corpse, "and she lay as though she had
 smiled" Her letter is read "Ye might have showed
 her," said the Queen, "some courtesy and gentleness
 that might have preserved her life," and so the two are
 reconciled

Such, in brief, is the tender old tale of true love, with
 the shining courtesy of Lavaine and the father of the
 maid, who speak no word of anger against Lancelot
 "For since first I saw my lord, Sir Lancelot," says
 Lavaine, "I could never depart from him, nor nought I

will, if I may follow him she doth as I do" To the simple and moving story Tennyson adds, by way of ornament, the diamonds, the prize of the tourney, and the manner of their finding —

"For Arthur, long before they crown'd him King,
 Roving the trackless realms of Lyonesse,
 Had found a glen, gray boulder and black tarn
 A horror lived about the tarn, and clave
 Like its own mists to all the mountain side
 For here two brothers, one a king, had met
 And fought together, but their names were lost,
 And each had slun his brother at a blow,
 And down they fell and made the glen abhor'd
 And there they lay till all their bones were bleach'd,
 And lichen'd into colour with the crags
 And he, that once was king, had on a crown
 Of diamonds, one in front, and four aside
 And Arthur came, and labouring up the pass,
 All in a misty moonshine, unawares
 Had trodden that crown'd skeleton, and the skull
 Brake from the nape, and from the skull the crown
 Roll'd into light, and turning on its rims
 Fled like a glittering rivulet to the tarn
 And down the shingly scaur he plunged, and caught,
 And set it on his head, and in his heart
 Heard murmurs, 'Lo, thou likewise shalt be King'

The diamonds reappear in the scene of Guinevere's jealousy —

"All in an oriel on the summer side,
 Vine-clad, of Arthur's palace toward the stream,
 They met, and Lancelot kneeling utter'd, 'Queen,
 Lady, my liege, in whom I have my joy,
 Take, what I had not won except for you,
 These jewels, and make me happy, making them

An amlet for the roundest arm on earth,
 Or necklace for a neck to which the swan's
 Is tawnier than her cygnet's these are words
 Your beauty is your beauty, and I sin
 In speaking, yet O grant my worship of it
 Words, as we grant grief tears Such sin in words,
 Perchance, we both can pardon but, my Queen,
 I hear of rumours flying thro' your court
 Our bond, as not the bond of man and wife,
 Should have in it an absolute trust
 To make up that defect let rumours be
 When did not rumours fly? these, as I trust
 That you trust me in your own nobleness,
 I may not well believe that you believe'

While thus he spoke, half turn'd away, the Queen
 Brake from the vast oriel-embowering vine
 Leaf after leaf, and tore, and cast them off,
 Till all the place whereon she stood was green,
 Then, when he ceased, in one cold passive hand
 Received at once and laid aside the gems
 There on a table near her, and replied

'It may be, I am quicker of belief
 Than you believe me, Lancelot of the Lake
 Our bond is not the bond of man and wife
 This good is in it, whatsoe'er of ill,
 It can be broken easier I for you
 This many a year have done despite and wrong
 To one whom ever in my heart of hearts
 I did acknowledge nobler What are these?
 Diamonds for me! they had been thine their worth
 Being your gift, had you not lost your own
 To loyal hearts the value of all gifts
 Must vary as the giver's Not for me!
 For her! for your new fancy Only this
 Grant me, I pray you have your joys apart

I doubt not that however changed, you keep
 So much of what is graceful and myself
 Would shun to break those bounds of courtesy
 In which as Aithur's Queen I move and rule
 So cannot speak my mind An end to this !
 A strange one ! yet I take it with Amen
 So pray you, add my diamonds to her pearls ,
 Deck her with these , tell her, she shines me down
 An armlet for an arm to which the Queen's
 Is haggard, or a necklace for a neck
 O as much fairer—as a faith once fair
 Was richer than these diamonds—he is not mine—
 Nay, by the mother of our Lord himself,
 O! hers or mine, mine now to work my will—
 She shall not have them '

Saying which she seized,
 And, thro' the casement standing wide for heat,
 Flung them, and down they flash'd, and smote the stream
 Then from the smitten surface flash'd, as it were,
 Diamonds to meet them, and they past away
 Then while Sir Lancelot leant, in half disdain
 At love, life, all things, on the window ledge,
 Close underneath his eyes, and right across
 Where these had fallen, slowly past the barge
 Whereon the lily maid of Astolat
 Lay smiling, like a star in blackest night "

This affair of the diamonds is the chief addition to the old tale, in which we already see the curse of lawless love, fallen upon the jealous Queen and the long-enduring Lancelot "This is not the first time," said Sir Lancelot, "that ye have been displeased with me causeless, but, madame, ever I must suffer you, but what sorrow I endure I take no force" (that is, "I disregard")

The romance, and the poet, in his own despite, cannot but make Lancelot the man we love, not Arthur or another Human nature perversely sides with Guinevere against the Blameless King —

“ She broke into a little scornful laugh
 ‘ Arthur, my lord, Arthur, the faultless King,
 That passionate perfection, my good lord—
 But who can gaze upon the Sun in heaven ?
 He never spake word of reproach to me,
 He never had a glimpse of mine untruth,
 He cares not for me only here to-day
 There gleam’d a vague suspicion in his eyes
 Some meddling rogue has tamper’d with him—else
 Rapt in this fancy of his Table Round,
 And swearing men to vows impossible,
 To make them like himself but, friend, to me
 He is all fault who hath no fault at all
 For who loves me must have a touch of earth ,
 The low sun makes the colour I am yours,
 Not Arthur’s, as ye know, save by the bond ”

It is not the beautiful Queen who wins us, our hearts are with “ the innocence of love ” in Elaine But Lancelot has the charm that captivated Lorraine and Tennyson’s Arthur remains

“ The moral child without the craft to rule,
 Else had he not lost me ”

Indeed the romance of Malory makes Arthur deserve “ the pretty popular name such manhood earns ” by his conduct as regards Guinevere when she is accused by her enemies in the later chapters Yet Malory does not finally condone the sin which baffles Lancelot’s quest of the Holy Grail

Tennyson at first was in doubt as to writing on the Grail, for certain respects of reverence. When he did approach the theme it was in a method of extreme condensation. The romances on the Grail outrun the length even of mediæval poetry and prose. They are exceedingly confused, as was natural, if that hypothesis which regards the story as a Christianised form of obscure Celtic myth be correct. Sir Percivale's sister, in the *Idyll*, has the first vision of the Grail —

“Sweet brother, I have seen the Holy Grail
 For, waked at dead of night, I heard a sound
 As of a silver horn from o’er the hills
 Blown, and I thought, ‘It is not Arthur’s use
 To hunt by moonlight’, and the slender sound
 As from a distance beyond distance grew
 Coming upon me—O never harp nor horn,
 Nor aught we blow with breath, or touch with hand,
 Was like that music as it came, and then
 Stream’d thro’ my cell a cold and silver beam,
 And down the long beam stole the Holy Grail,
 Rose-red with beatings in it, as if alive,
 Till all the white walls of my cell were dyed
 With rosy colours leaping on the wall,
 And then the music faded, and the Grail
 Past, and the beam decay’d, and from the walls
 The rosy quiverings died into the night
 So now the Holy Thing is here again
 Among us, brother, fast thou too and pray,
 And tell thy brother knights to fast and pray,
 That so perchance the vision may be seen
 By thee and those, and all the world be heal’d”

Galahad, son of Lancelot and the first Elaine (who became Lancelot’s mistress by art magic), then vows

himself to the Quest, and, after the vision in hall at Camelot, the knights, except Arthur, follow his example, to Arthur's grief "Ye follow wandering fires!" Probably, or perhaps, the poet indicates dislike of hasty spiritual enthusiasms, of "seeking for a sign," and of the mysticism which betokens want of faith. The Middle Ages, more than many readers know, were ages of doubt. Men desired the witness of the senses to the truth of what the Church taught, they wished to see that naked child of the romance "smite himself into" the wafer of the Sacrament. The author of the *Imitatio Christi* discourages such vain and too curious inquiries as helped to rend the Church, and divided Christendom into hostile camps. The Quest of the actual Grail was a knightly form of theological research into the unsearchable, undertaken, often in a secular spirit of adventure, by sinful men. The poet's heart is rather with human things —

"O brother,' ask'd Ambrosius,—'for in sooth
 These ancient books—and they would win thee—teem,
 Only I find not there this Holy Grail,
 With miracles and marvels like to these,
 Not all unlike which oftentimes I read,
 Who read but on my breviary with ease,
 Till my head swims, and then go forth and pass
 Down to the little thorp that lies so close,
 And almost plaster'd like a martin's nest
 To these old walls—and mingle with our folk,
 And knowing every honest face of theirs
 As well as ever shepherd knew his sheep,
 And every homely secret in their hearts,

Delight myself with gossip and old wives,
 And ills and aches, and teething, lyings in,
 And mirthful sayings, children of the place,
 That have no meaning half a league away
 Or lulling random squabbles when they rise,
 Chafferings and chatterings at the market-cross,
 Rejoice, small man, in this small world of mine,
 Yea, even in their hens and in their eggs ”

This appears to be Tennyson's original reading of the Quest of the Grail. His own mysticism, which did not strive, or cry, or seek after marvels, though marvels might come unsought, is expressed in Arthur's words —

“ “And spake I not too truly, O my knights?
 Was I too dark a prophet when I said
 To those who went upon the Holy Quest,
 That most of them would follow wandering fires,
 Lost in the quagmire?—lost to me and gone,
 And left me gazing at a barren board,
 And a lean Order—scarce return'd a tithe—
 And out of those to whom the vision came
 My greatest hardly will believe he saw,
 Another hath beheld it afar off,
 And leaving human wrongs to right themselves,
 Cares but to pass into the silent life
 And one hath had the vision face to face,
 And now his chair desires him here in vain,
 However they may crown him elsewhere

“ “And some among you held, that if the King
 Had seen the sight he would have sworn the vow
 Not easily seeing that the King must guard
 That which he rules, and is but as the hind
 To whom a space of land is given to plow
 Who may not wander from the allotted field
 Before his work be done, but, being done,

Let visions of the night or of the day
 Come, as they will, and many a time they come,
 Until this earth he walks on seems not earth,
 This light that strikes his eyeball is not light,
 This air that smites his forehead is not air
 But vision—yea, his very hand and foot—
 In moments when he feels he cannot die,
 And knows himself no vision to himself,
 Nor the high God a vision, nor that One
 Who rose again ye have seen what ye have seen ”

‘ So spake the King I knew not all he meant ’ ”

The closing lines declare, as far as the poet could declare them, these subjective experiences of his which, in a manner rarely paralleled, coloured and formed his thought on the highest things. He introduces them even into this poem on a topic which, because of its sacred associations, he for long did not venture to touch.

In *Pelleas and Ettarre*—which deals with the sorrows of one of the young knights who fill up the gaps left at the Round Table by the mischances of the Quest—it would be difficult to trace a Celtic original. For Malory, not Celtic legend, supplied Tennyson with the germinal idea of a poem which, in the romance, has no bearing on the final catastrophe. Pelleas, a King of the Isles, loves the beautiful Ettarre, “a great lady,” and for her wins at a tourney the prize of the golden circlet. But she hates and despises him, and Sir Gawain is a spectator when, as in the poem, the felon knights of Ettarre bind and insult their conqueror, Pelleas. Gawain promises to win the love

of Ettarre for Pelleas, and, as in the poem, borrows his arms and horse, and pretends to have slain him. But in place of turning Ettarre's heart towards Pelleas, Gawain becomes her lover, and Pelleas, detecting them asleep, lays his naked sword on their necks. He then rides home to die, but Nimue (Vivien), the Lady of the Lake, restores him to health and sanity. His fever gone, he scorns Ettarre, who, by Nimue's enchantment, now loves him as much as she had hated him. Pelleas weds Nimue, and Ettarre dies of a broken heart. Tennyson, of course, could not make Nimue (his Vivien) do anything benevolent. He therefore closes his poem by a repetition of the effect in the case of Balin. Pelleas is driven desperate by the treachery of Gawain, the reported infidelity of Guinevere, and the general corruption of the ideal. A shadow falls on Lancelot and Guinevere, and Modred sees that his hour is drawing nigh. In spite of beautiful passages this is not one of the finest of the Idylls, save for the study of the fierce, hateful, and beautiful *grande dame*, Ettarre. The narrative does little to advance the general plot. In the original of Malory it has no connection with the Lancelot cycle, except as far as it reveals the treachery of Gawain, the gay and fan-spoken "light of love," brother of the traitor Modred. A simpler treatment of the theme may be read in Mr Swinburne's beautiful poem, *The Tale of Balin*.

It is in *The Last Tournament* that Modred finds the beginning of his opportunity. The brief life of the

Ideal has burned itself out, as the year, in its vernal beauty when Arthur came, is burning out in autumn. The poem is purposely autumnal, with the autumn, not of mellow fruitfulness, but of the "flying gold of the ruined woodlands" and the dank odours of decay. In that miserable season is held the Tourney of the Dead Innocence, with the blood-red prize of rubies. With a wise touch Tennyson has represented the Court as fallen not into vice only and crime, but into positive vulgarity and bad taste. The Tournament is a carnival of the "smart" and the third-rate. Courtesy is dead, even Tristram is brutal, and in Iseult hatred of her husband is as powerful as love of her lover. The satire strikes at England, where the world has never been corrupt with a good grace. It is a passage of arms neither gentle nor joyous that Lancelot presides over —

"The sudden trumpet sounded as in a dream
To ears but half-awaked, then one low roll
Of Autumn thunder, and the jousts began
And ever the wind blew, and yellowing leaf
And gloom and gleam, and shower and shorn plume
Went down it. Sighing weariedly, as one
Who sits and gazes on a faded fire,
When all the goodlier guests are past away,
Sat then great umpire, looking o'er the lists
He saw the laws that ruled the tournament
Broken, but spake not, once, a knight cast down
Before his throne of arbitration cursed
The dead babe and the follies of the King,
And once the laces of a helmet crack'd,

And show'd him, like a vermin in its hole,
 Modred, a narrow face anon he heard
 The voice that billow'd round the battlements roar
 An ocean-sounding welcome to one knight,
 But newly-enter'd, taller than the rest,
 And armour'd all in forest green, whereon
 There tript a hundred tiny silver deer,
 And wearing but a holly-spray for crest,
 With ever-scattering berries, and on shield
 A spear, a harp, a bugle—Tristram—late
 From overseas in Brittany return'd,
 And marriage with a princess of that realm,
 Isolte the White—Sir Tristram of the Woods—
 Whom Lancelot knew, had held sometime with pain
 His own against him, and now yearn'd to shake
 The burthen off his heart in one full shock
 With Tristram ev'n to death his strong hands gript
 And dinted the gilt dragons right and left,
 Until he groan'd for wrath—so many of those,
 That ware their ladies' colours on the casque,
 Drew from before Sir Tristram to the bounds,
 And there with gibes and flickering mockeries
 Stood, while he mutter'd, 'Craven crests! O shame!
 What faith have these in whom they swear to love?
 The glory of our Round Table is no more'

So Tristram won, and Lancelot gave, the gems,
 Not speaking other word than 'Hast thou won?
 Art thou the purest, brother? See, the hand
 Wherewith thou takest this, is red!' to whom
 Tristram, half plagued by Lancelot's languorous mood,
 Made answer, 'Ay, but wherefore toss me this
 Like a dry bone cast to some hungry hound?
 Let be thy fair Queen's fantasy Strength of heart
 And might of limb, but mainly use and skill,
 Are winners in this pastime of our King
 My hand—belike the lance hath dript upon it—

No blood of mine, I trow, but O chief knight,
 Right arm of Arthur in the battlefield,
 Great brother, thou nor I have made the world,
 Be happy in thy fair Queen as I in mine'

And Tristram round the gallery made his horse
 Caracole, then bow'd his homage, bluntly saying,
 'Fair damsels, each to him who worships each
 Sole Queen of Beauty and of love, behold
 This day my Queen of Beauty is not here'
 And most of these were mute, some anger'd, one
 Murmuring, 'All courtesy is dead,' and one,
 'The glory of our Round Table is no more'

Then fell thick rain, plume droopt and mantle clung,
 And pettish cries awoke, and the wan day
 Went glooming down in wet and weariness
 But under her black brows a swarthy one
 Laugh'd shilly, crying, 'Praise the patient saints,
 Our one white day of Innocence hath past,
 Tho' somewhat draggled at the skirt So be it
 The snowdrop only, flowering thro' the year,
 Would make the world as blank as Winter-tide
 Come—let us gladden their sad eyes, our Queen's
 And Lancelot's, at this night's solemnity
 With all the kindest colours of the field'

Arthur's last victory over a robber knight is ingloriously
 squalid —

"He ended Arthur knew the voice, the face
 Wellmigh was helmet-hidden, and the name
 Went wandering somewhere darkling in his mind
 And Arthur deign'd not use of word or sword,
 But let the drunkard, as he stretch'd from horse
 To strike him, overbalancing his bulk
 Down from the causeway heavily to the swamp

Fall, as the crest of some slow-arching wave,
Heard in dead night along that table-shore,
Drops flat, and after the great waters break
Whitening for half a league, and thin themselves,
Far over sands marbled with moon and cloud,
From less and less to nothing, thus he fell
Head-heavy, then the knights, who watch'd him, roar'd
And shouted and leapt down upon the fall'n,
There trampled out his face from being known,
And sank his head in mire, and slimed themselves
Nor heard the King for their own cries, but sprang
Thro' open doors, and swording right and left
Men, women, on their sodden faces, hurl'd
The tables over and the wines, and slew
Till all the rafters rang with woman-yells,
And all the pavement stream'd with massacre
Then, echoing yell with yell, they fired the tower,
Which half that autumn night, like the live North,
Red-pulsing up thro' Alioth and Alcor,
Made all above it, and a hundred meres
About it, as the water Moab saw
Come round by the East, and out beyond them flush'd
The long low dune, and lazy-plunging sea "

Guinevere is one of the greatest of the Idylls Malory makes Lancelot more sympathetic, his fight, unarmed, in Guinevere's chamber, against the felon knights, is one of his most spirited scenes Tennyson omits this, and omits all the unpardonable behaviour of Arthur as narrated in Malory Critics have usually condemned the last parting of Guinevere and Arthur, because the King doth preach too much to an unhappy woman who has no reply The position of Arthur is not easily redeemable it is difficult to conceive that

TENNYSON

a noble nature could be, or should be, blind so long
He does rehabilitate his Queen in her own self-respect,
perhaps, by assuring her that he loves her still —

“Let no man dream but that I love thee still”

Had he said that one line and no more, we might have
loved him better. In the Idylls we have not Malory's
last meeting of Lancelot and Guinevere, one of the
scenes in which the wandering composite romance
ends as nobly as the *Iliad*

The Passing of Arthur, except for a new introductory
passage of great beauty and appropriateness, is the
Morte d'Arthur, first published in 1842 —

“So all day long the noise of battle roll'd
Among the mountains by the winter sea”

The year has run its course, spring, summer, gloomy
autumn, and dies in the mist of Arthur's last wintry
battle in the west—

“And the new sun rose, bringing the new year”

The splendid and sombre procession has passed, leaving
us to muse as to how far the poet has fulfilled his own
ideal. There could be no new epic—he gave a chain of
heroic Idylls. An epic there could not be, for the *Iliad*
and *Odyssey* have each a unity of theme, a narrative
compressed into a few days in the former, in the latter
into forty days of time. The tragedy of Arthur's reign
could not so be condensed, and Tennyson chose the

only feasible plan He has left a work, not absolutely perfect, indeed, but such as he conceived, after many tentative essays, and such as he desired to achieve His fame may not rest chiefly on the Idylls, but they form one of the fairest jewels in the crown that shines with unnumbered gems, each with its own glory

VIII

ENOCH ARDEN THE DRAMAS

THE success of the first volume of the Idylls recompensed the poet for the slings and arrows that gave *Maud* a hostile welcome. His next publication was the beautiful *Tithonus*, a fit pendant to the *Ulysses*, and composed about the same date (1833-35). "A quarter of a century ago," Tennyson dates it, writing in 1860 to the Duke of Argyll. He had found it when "feireting among my old books," he said, in search of something for Thackeray, who was establishing the *Cornhill Magazine*. What must the wealth of the poet have been, who, possessing *Tithonus* in his portfolio, did not take the trouble to insert it in the volumes of 1842! Nobody knows how many poems of Tennyson's never even saw pen and ink, being composed unwritten, and forgotten. At this time we find him recommending Mr Browning's *Men and Women* to the Duke, who, like many Tennysonian, does not seem to have been a ready convert to his great contemporary. The Duke and Duchess urged the Laureate to attempt the topic of the

Holy Grail, but he was not in the mood. Indeed the vision of the Grail in the early *Sir Galahad* is doubtless happier than the allegorical handling of a theme so obscure, remote, and difficult, in the Idylls. He wrote his *Boadicea*, a piece magnificent in itself, but of difficult popular access, owing to the metrical experiment.

In the autumn of 1860 he revisited Cornwall with F. T. Palgrave, Mr Val Prinsep, and Mr Holman Hunt. They walked in the rain, saw Tintagel and the Scilly Isles, and were fêted by an enthusiastic captain of a little river steamer, who was more interested in "Mr Tinman and Mr Pancake" than the Celtic boatman of Airdornish. The winter was passed at Farringford, and the *Northern Farmer* was written there, a Lincolnshire reminiscence, in the February of 1861. In autumn the Pyrenees were visited by Tennyson in company with Arthur Clough and Mr Dakyns of Clifton College. At Caunteretz in August, and among memories of the old tour with Arthur Hallam, was written *All along the Valley*. The ways, however, in Auvergne were "foul," and the diet "unhappy." The dedication of the Idylls was written on the death of the Prince Consort in December, and in January 1862 the Ode for the opening of an exhibition. The poet was busy with his "Fisherman," *Enoch Arden*. The volume was published in 1864, and Lord Tennyson says it has been, next to *In Memoriam*, the most popular of his father's works. One would have expected the one volume containing the poems up to 1842 to hold that place.

The new book, however, mainly dealt with English, contemporary, and domestic themes—"the poetry of the affections" An old woman, a district visitor reported, regarded *Enoch Arden* as "more beautiful" than the other tracts which were read to her It is indeed a tender and touching tale, based on a folk-story which Tennyson found current in Brittany as well as in England Nor is the unseen and unknown landscape of the tropic isle less happily created by the poet's imagination than the familiar English cliffs and hazel copses —

"The mountain wooded to the peak, the lawns
 And winding glades high up like ways to Heaven,
 The slender coco's drooping crown of plumes,
 The lightning flash of insect and of bird,
 The lustre of the long convolvuluses
 That coil'd around the stately stems, and ran
 Ev'n to the limit of the land, the glows
 And glories of the broad belt of the world,
 All these he saw, but what he fain had seen
 He could not see, the kindly human face,
 Nor ever hear a kindly voice, but heard
 The myriad shriek of wheeling ocean-fowl,
 The league long roller thundering on the reef,
 The moving whisper of huge trees that branch'd
 And blossom'd in the zenith, or the sweep
 Of some precipitous rivulet to the wave,
 As down the shore he ranged, or all day long
 Sat often in the seaward-gazing gorge,
 A shipwreck'd sailor, waiting for a sail
 No sail from day to day, but every day
 The sunrise broken into scarlet shafts
 Among the palms and ferns and precipices,
 The blaze upon the waters to the east,

The blaze upon his island overhead ,
The blaze upon the waters to the west ,
Then the great stars that globed themselves in Heaven,
The hollower-bellowing ocean, and again
The scarlet shafts of sunrise—but no sail ”

Aylmer's Field somewhat recalls the burden of *Maud*, the curse of purse-proud wealth, but is too gloomy to be a fair specimen of Tennyson's art. In *Sea Dreams* (first published in 1860) the awful vision of crumbling faiths is somewhat out of harmony with its environment —

“ But round the North, a light,
A belt, it seem'd, of luminous vapour, lay,
And ever in it a low musical note
Swell'd up and died , and, as it swell'd, a ridge
Of breaker issued from the belt, and still
Grew with the growing note, and when the note
Had reach'd a thunderous fulness, on those cliffs
Broke, mixt with awful light (the same as that
Living within the belt) whereby she saw
That all those lines of cliffs were cliffs no more,
But huge cathedral fronts of every age,
Grave, floud, stein, as far as eye could see,
One after one and then the great ridge drew,
Lessening to the lessening music, back,
And past into the belt and swell'd again
Slowly to music ever when it broke
The statues, king or saint or founder fell ,
Then from the gaps and chasms of ruin left
Came men and women in dark clusters round,
Some crying, ' Set them up ' they shall not fall ' '
And others, ' Let them lie, for they have fall'n ' '
And still they strove and wrangled and she grieved
In her strange dream, she knew not why, to find
Their wildest wailings never out of tune

With that sweet note, and ever as their shrieks
 Ran highest up the gamut, that great wave
 Returning, while none mark'd it, on the crowd
 Broke, mixt with awful light, and show'd their eyes
 Glaring, and passionate looks, and swept away
 The men of flesh and blood, and men of stone,
 To the waste deeps together

‘Then I fixt

My wistful eyes on two fair images,
 Both crown'd with stars and high among the stars,—
 The Virgin Mother standing with her child
 High up on one of those dark minster-fronts—
 Till she began to totter, and the child
 Clung to the mother, and sent out a cry
 Which mixt with little Margaret's, and I woke,
 And my dream awed me —well—but what are dreams?’”

The passage is rather fitted for a despairing mood of Arthur, in the *Idylls*, than for the wife of the city clerk ruined by a pious rogue

The *Lucretius*, later published, is beyond praise as a masterly study of the great Roman sceptic, whose heart is at eternal odds with his Epicurean creed. Nascent madness, or fever of the brain drugged by the blundering love philtre, is not more cunningly treated in the mad scenes of *Maud*. No prose commentary on the *De Rerum Natura*, however long and learned, conveys so clearly as this concise study in verse the sense of magnificent mingled ruin in the mind and poem of the Roman

The “Experiments in Quantity” were, perhaps, suggested by Mr Matthew Arnold’s Lectures on the Trans-

lating of Homer Mr Arnold believed in a translation into English hexameters His negative criticism of other translators and translations was amusing and instructive he had an easy game to play with the Yankee-doodle metre of F W Newman, the ponderous blank verse of Cowper, the tipping and clipping couplets of Pope, the Elizabethan fantasies of Chapman But Mr Arnold's hexameters were neither musical nor rapid they only exhibited a new form of failure As the Prince of Abyssinia said to his tutor, "Enough, you have convinced me that no man can be a poet," so Mr Arnold went some way to prove that no man can translate Homer

Tennyson had the lowest opinion of hexameters as an English metre for serious purposes

"These lame hexameters the strong wing'd music of
Homer!"

Lord Tennyson says, "German hexameters he disliked even more than English" Indeed there is not much room for preference Tennyson's *Alcaics* (*Milton*) were intended to follow the Greek rather than the Horatian model, and resulted, at all events, in a poem worthy of the "mighty-mouth'd inventor of harmonies" The specimen of the *Iliad* in blank verse, beautiful as it is, does not, somehow, reproduce the music of Homer It is entirely Tennysonian, as in

"Roll'd the rich vapour far into the heaven"

The reader, in that one line, recognises the voice and

trick of the English poet, and is far away from the Chian —

“As when in heaven the stars about the moon
 Look beautiful, when all the winds are laid,
 And every height comes out, and jutting peak
 And valley, and the immeasurable heavens
 Break open to their highest, and all the stars
 Shine, and the Shepherd gladdens in his heart
 So many a fire between the ships and stream
 Of Xanthus blazed before the towers of Troy,
 A thousand on the plain, and close by each
 Sat fifty in the blaze of burning fire,
 And eating hoary grain and pulse the steeds,
 First by their cars, waited the golden dawn”

This is excellent, is poetry, escapes the conceits of Pope (who never “wrote with his eye on the object”), but is pure Tennyson. We have not yet, probably we never shall have, an adequate rendering of the *Iliad* into verse, and prose translations do not pretend to be adequate. When parents and dominies have abolished the study of Greek, something, it seems, will have been lost to the world,—something which even Tennyson could not restore in English. He thought blank verse the proper equivalent, but it is no equivalent. One even prefers his own prose —

Not did Paris linger in his lofty halls, but when he had girt on his gorgeous armour, all of varied bronze, then he rushed thro’ the city, glorying in his airy feet. And as when a stall-kept horse, that is bailey-fed at the manger, breaketh his tether, and dasheth thro’ the plain, spinning it, being wont to bathe himself in the fair-running river, noting,

and reareth his head, and his mane flieth back on either shoulder, and he glorieth in his beauty, and his knees bear him at the gallop to the haunts and meadows of the mares, so ran the son of Priam, Paris, from the height of Pergamus, all in arms, glittering like the sun, laughing for light-heartedness, and his swift feet bore him

In February 1865 Tennyson lost the mother whose portrait he drew in *Isabel*,—"a thing enskied and sainted"

In the autumn of 1865 the Tennysons went on a Continental tour, and visited Waterloo, Weimar, and Dresden, in September they entertained Emma I., Queen of the Sandwich Islands. The months passed quietly at home or in town. The poet had written his *Lucretius*, and, to please Sir George Grove, wrote *The Song of the Wrens*, for music. Tennyson had not that positive aversion to music which marked Dr Johnson, Victor Hugo, Théophile Gautier, and some other poets. Nay, he liked Beethoven, which places him higher in the musical scale than Scott, who did not rise above a Border lilt or a Jacobite ditty. The Wren songs, entitled *The Wrensong*, were privately printed by Sir Ivor Guest in 1867, were set to music by Sir Arthur Sullivan, and published by Strahan in December 1870. "A puppet," Tennyson called the song-book, "whose only merit is, perhaps, that it can dance to Mr Sullivan's instrument. I am sorry that my puppet should have to dance at all in the dark shadow of these days" (the siege of Paris), "but the music is now completed, and I am bound by my promise." The verses are described as

partly in the old style," but the true old style of the Elizabethan and cavalier days is lost

In the summer of 1867 the Tennysons moved to a farmhouse near Haslemere, at that time not a centre of literary Londoners "Sandy soil and heath-scented air" allured them, and the result was the purchase of land, and the building of Aldworth, Mr Knowles being the architect In autumn Tennyson visited Lyme Regis, and, like all other travellers thither, made a pilgrimage to the Cobb, sacred to Louisa Musgrove The poet now began the study of Hebrew, having a mind to translate the Book of Job, a vision unfulfilled In 1868 he thought of publishing his boyish piece, *The Lover's Tale*, but delayed An anonymously edited piracy of this and other poems was perpetrated in 1875, limited, at least nominally, to fifty copies

In July Longfellow visited Tennyson "The Longfellow and he talked much of spiritualism, for he was greatly interested in that subject, but he suspended his judgment, and thought that, if in such manifestations there is anything, 'Pucks, not the spirits of dead men, reveal themselves'" This was Southey's suggestion, as regards the celebrated disturbances in the house of the Wesleys "Wit might have much to say, wisdom, little," said Sam Wesley Probably the talk about David Dunglas Home, the "medium" then in vogue, led to the discussion of "spiritualism" We do not hear that Tennyson ever had the curiosity to see Home, whom Mr Browning so firmly detested

In September *The Holy Grail* was begun it was finished "in about a week. It came like a breath of inspiration." The subject had for many years been turned about in the poet's mind, which, of course, was busy in these years of apparent inactivity. At this time (August 1868) Tennyson left his old publishers, the Mozons, for Mr Stahan, who endured till 1872. Then he was succeeded by Messrs H S King & Co, who gave place (1879) to Messrs Kegan Paul & Co, while in 1884 Messrs Macmillan became, and continue to be, the publishers. A few pieces, except *Lucretius* (*Macmillan's Magazine*, May 1868) unimportant, appeared in serials.

Very early in 1869 *The Coming of Arthur* was composed, while Tennyson was reading Browning's *The Ring and the Book*. He and his great contemporary were on terms of affectionate friendship, though Tennyson, perhaps, appreciated less of Browning than Browning of Tennyson. Meanwhile "Old Fitz" kept up a fire of unsympathetic growls at Browning and all his works. "I have been trying in vain to read it" (*The Ring and the Book*), "and yet the *Athenaeum* tells me it is wonderfully fine." FitzGerald's ply had been taken long ago, he wanted verbal music in poetry (no exorbitant desire), while, in Browning, *carmina desunt*. Perhaps, too, a personal feeling, as if Browning was Tennyson's rival, affected the judgment of the author of *Omar Khayyám*. We may almost call him "the author."

The Holy Grail, with the smaller poems, such as *Lucretius*, was published at the end of 1869. Fitzgerald appears to have preferred *The Northern Farmer*, "the substantial rough-spun nature I knew," to all the visionary knights in the airy Quest. To compare "——" (obviously Browning) with Tennyson, was "to compare an old Jew's curiosity shop with the Phidian Marbles." Tennyson's poems "being clear to the bottom as well as beautiful, do not seem to cockney eyes so deep as muddy waters."

In November 1870 *The Last Tournament* was begun, it was finished in May 1871. Conceivably the vulgar scandals of the last days of the French Imperial régime may have influenced Tennyson's picture of the corruption of Arthur's Court, but the Empire did not begin, like the Round Table, with aspirations after the Ideal. In the autumn of the year Tennyson entertained, and was entertained by, Mr Huxley. In their ideas about ultimate things two men could not vary more widely, but each delighted in the other's society. In the spring of 1872 Tennyson visited Paris and the ruins of the Louvre. He read Victor Hugo, and Alfred de Musset, whose comedies he admired. The little that we hear of his opinion of the other great poet runs to this effect, "Victor Hugo is an unequal genius, sometimes sublime, he reminds one that there is but one step between the sublime and the ridiculous," but the example by which Tennyson illustrated this was derived

from one of the poet's novels. In these we meet not only the sublime and the ridiculous, but passages which leave us in some perplexity as to their true category. One would have expected Hugo's lyrics to be Tennyson's favourites, but only *Gastibelza* is mentioned in that character. At this time Tennyson was vexed by

"Art with poisonous honey stolen from France,"

a phrase which cannot apply to Hugo. Meanwhile *Gareth* was being written, and the knight's song for *The Coming of Arthur*. *Gareth and Lynette*, with minor pieces, appeared in 1872. *Balin and Balan* was composed later, to lead up to *Vivien*, to which, perhaps, *Balin and Balan* was introduction sufficient had it been the earlier written. But the Idylls have already been discussed as arranged in sequence. The completion of the Idylls, with the patriotic epilogue, was followed by the offer of a baronetcy. Tennyson preferred that he and his wife "should remain plain Mr and Mrs," though "I hope that I have too much of the old-world loyalty not to wear my lady's favours against all comers, should you think that it would be more agreeable to her Majesty that I should do so."

The Idylls ended, Tennyson in 1874 began to contemplate a drama, choosing the topic, perhaps neither popular nor in an Aristotelian sense tragic, of Mary Tudor. This play was published, and put on the stage by Sir Henry Irving in 1875. *Harold* followed in 1876, *The Cup* in 1881 (at the Lyceum), *The Promise*

of *May* (at the Globe) in 1882, *Buck* in 1884, with *The Foresters* in 1892. It seems best to consider all the dramatic period of Tennyson's work, a period reached so strangely late in his career, in the sequence of the Plays. The task is one from which I shrink, as conscious of entire ignorance of the stage and of lack of enthusiasm for the drama. Great dramatic authors have, almost invariably, had long practical knowledge of the scenes and of what is behind them. Shakespeare and his contemporaries, Molière and his contemporaries, had lived their lives on the boards and in the *foyer*, actors themselves, or in daily touch with actors and actresses. In the present day successful playwrights appear to live much in the world of the players. They have practical knowledge of the conventions and conditions which the stage imposes. Neither Browning nor Mr Swinburne (to take great names) has had, it seems, much of this practical and daily experience, their dramas have been acted but rarely, if at all, and many examples prove that neither poetical genius nor the genius for prose fiction can enable men to produce plays which hold their own on the boards. This may be the fault of public taste, or partly of public taste, partly of defect in practical knowledge on the side of the authors. Of the stage, by way of practice, Tennyson had known next to nothing, yet his dramas were written to be acted, and acted some of them were. "For himself, he was aware," says his biographer, "that he wanted intimate knowledge of the mechanical

details necessary for the modern stage, although in early and middle life he had been a constant play-goer, and would keenly follow the action of a play, criticising the characterisation, incidents, scenic effects, situations, language, and dramatic points." He was quite prepared to be "edited" for acting purposes by the players. Miss Mary Anderson says that "he was ready to sacrifice even his *most* beautiful lines for the sake of a real dramatic effect."

This proved unusual common-sense in a poet. Modern times and manners are notoriously unfavourable to the serious drama. In the age of the Greek tragedians, as in the days of "Eliza and our James," reading was not very common, and life was much more passed in public than among ourselves, when people go to the play for light recreation, or to be shocked. So various was the genius of Tennyson, that had he devoted himself early to the stage, and had he been backed by a manager with the enterprise and intelligence of Sir Henry Irving, it is impossible to say how much he might have done to restore the serious drama. But we cannot regret that he was occupied in his prime with other things, nor can we expect to find his noblest and most enduring work in the dramatic experiments of his latest years. It is notable that, in his opinion, "the conditions of the dramatic art are much more complex than they were." For example, we have "the star system," which tends to allot what is, or was, technically styled "the fat," to one or two popular players. Now,

a poet like Tennyson will inevitably distribute large quantities of what is most excellent to many characters, and the consequent difficulties may be appreciated by students of our fallen nature. The poet added that to be a first-rate historical playwright means much more work than formerly, seeing that "exact history" has taken the part of the chance chronicle."

This is a misfortune. The dramas of the Attic stage, with one or two exceptions, are based on myth and legend, not on history, and even in the *Persæ*, grounded on contemporary events, Æschylus introduced the ghost of Darius, not vouched for by "exact history." Let us conceive Shakespeare writing *Macbeth* in an age of "exact history." Hardly any of the play would be left. Fleance and Banquo must go. Duncan becomes a young man, and far from "gracious." Macbeth appears as the defender of the legitimist prince, Lulach, against Duncan, a usurper. Lady Macbeth is a pattern to her sex, and her lord is a clement and sagacious ruler. The witches are ruled out of the piece. Difficulties arise about the English aid to Malcolm. History, in fact, declines to be dramatic. Liberties must be taken. In his plays of the Mary Stuart cycle, Mr Swinburne telescopes the affair of Darnley into that of Chastelard, which was much earlier. He makes Mary Beaton (in love with Chastelard) a kind of avenging fate, who will never leave the Queen till her head falls at Fotheringhay, though, in fact, after a flirtation with Randolph, Mary Beaton married Ogilvy of Boyne (really in love with

Lady Bothwell), and not one of the four Maries was at Fotheringay. An artist ought to be allowed to follow legend, of its essence dramatic, or to manipulate history as he pleases. Our modern scrupulosity is pedantic. But Tennyson read a long list of books for his *Queen Mary*, though it does not appear that he made original researches in MSS. These labours occupied 1874 and 1875. Yet it would be foolish to criticise his *Queen Mary* as if we were criticising "exact history." "The play's the thing."

The poet thought that "Bloody Mary" "had been harshly judged by the verdict of popular tradition." So have most characters to whom popular dislike affixes the popular epithet — "Bloody Claverse," "Bloody Mackenzie," "Bloody Balfour." Mary had the courage of the Tudors. She "edified all around her by her cheerfulness, her piety, and her resignation to the will of Providence," in her last days (Lingard). Camden calls her "a queen never praised enough for the purity of her morals, her charity to the poor" (she practised as a district visitor), "and her liberality to the nobles and the clergy." She was "pious, merciful, pure, and ever to be praised, if we overlook her erroneous opinions in religion, says Godwin. She had been grievously wronged from her youth upwards. In Elizabeth she had a sister and a rival, a constant intriguer against her, and a kinswoman far from amiable. Despite "the kindness and attention of Philip" (Lingard), affairs of State demanded his absence from England. The disappoint-

ment as to her expected child was cruel. She knew that she had become unpopular, and she could not look for the success of her Church, to which she was sincerely attached. M. Auguste Filon thought that *Queen Mary* might secure dramatic rank for Tennyson, "if a great actress arose who conceived a passion for the part of Mary." But that was not to be expected. Mary was middle-aged, plain, and in aspect now terrible, now rueful. No great actress will throw herself with passion into such an ungrateful part. "Throughout all history," Tennyson said, "there was nothing more mournful than the final tragedy of this woman." *Mournful* it is, but not tragic. There is nothing grand at the close, as when Mary Stuart conquers death and evil fame, redeeming herself by her courage and her calm, and extending over unborn generations that witchery which her enemies dreaded more than an army with banners.

Moreover, popular tradition can never forgive the fires of Smithfield. It was Mary Tudor's misfortune that she had the power to execute, on a great scale, that faculty of persecution to the death for which her Presbyterian and other Protestant opponents pined in vain. Mr. Froude says of her, "For the first and last time the true Ultramontane spirit was dominant in England, the genuine conviction that, as the orthodox prophets and sovereigns of Israel slew the worshippers of Baal, so were Catholic rulers called upon, as their first duty, to extirpate heretics as the enemies of God and

man" That was precisely the spirit of Knox and other Presbyterian denouncers of death against "Idolaters" (Catholics) But the Scottish preachers were always thwarted Mary and her advisers had their way, as, earlier, Latimer had preached against sufferings at the stake To the stake, which he feared so greatly, Cranmer had sent persons not of his own fleeting shade of theological opinion These men had burned Anabaptists, but all that is lightly forgotten by Protestant opinion Under Mary (whoever may have been primarily responsible) Cranmer and Latimer were treated as they had treated others Moreover, some two hundred poor men and women had dared the fiery death The persecution was on a scale never forgiven or forgotten, since Mary began *cerdonibus esse timenda* Mary was not essentially inclement Despite Renard, the agent of the Emperor, she spared that lord of fluff and feather, Courtenay, and she spared Elizabeth Lady Jane she could not save, the girl who was a queen by grace of God and of her own royal nature But Mary will never be pardoned by England "Few men or women have lived less capable of doing knowingly a wrong thing," says Mr Froude, a great admirer of Tennyson's play Yet, taking Mr Froude's own view, Mary's abject and superannuated passion for Philip, her ecstasies during her supposed pregnancy, "the forlorn hours when she would sit on the ground with her knees drawn to her face," with all her "symptoms of hysterical derangement,

leave little room, as we think of her, for other feelings than pity." Unfortunately, feelings of pity for a person so distraught, so sourly treated by fortune, do not suffice for tragedy. When we contemplate Antigone or Ædipus, it is not with a sentiment of pity struggling against abhorrence.

For these reasons the play does not seem to have a good dramatic subject. The unity is given by Mary herself and her fortunes, and these are scarcely dramatic. History prevents the introduction of Philip till the second scene of the third act. His entrance is *manque*, he merely accompanies Cardinal Pole, who takes command of the scene, and Philip does not get in a word till after a long conversation between the Queen and the Cardinal. Previously Philip had only crossed the stage in a procession, yet when he does appear he is bereft of prominence. The interest as regards him is indicated, in Act I scene v, by Mary's kissing his miniature. Her blighted love for him is one main motive of the tragedy, but his own part appears too subordinate in the play as published. The interest is scattered among the vast crowd of characters, and Mr R. H. Hutton remarked at the time that he "remains something of a cold, cruel, and sensual shadow. We are more interested in Wyatt, Cranmer, Gardiner, and others, or at least their parts are more interesting. Yet in no case does the interest of any character, except of Mary and Elizabeth, remain continuous

throughout the play Tennyson himself thought that "the real difficulty of the drama is to give sufficient relief to its intense sadness. Nothing less than the holy calm of the meek and penitent Cranmer can be adequate artistic relief." But not much relief can be drawn from a man about to be burned alive, and history does not tempt us to keen sympathy with the recanting archbishop, at least if we agree with Macaulay rather than with Floude.

I venture to think that historical tradition, as usual, offered a better motive than exact history. Following tradition, we see in Mary a cloud of hateful gloom, from which England escapes into the glorious dawn of "the Gospel light," and of Elizabeth, who might be made a triumphantly sympathetic character. That is the natural and popular course which the drama might take. But Tennyson's history is almost critical and scientific. Points of difficult and debated evidence (as to Elizabeth's part in Wyatt's rebellion) are discussed. There is no contest of day and darkness, of Truth and Error. The characters are in that perplexed condition about creeds which was their actual state after the political and social and religious chaos produced by Henry VIII. Gardiner is a Catholic, but not an Ultramontane, Lord William Howard is a Catholic, but not a fanatic, we find a truculent Anabaptist, or Socialist, and a citizen whose pride is his moderation. The native uncritical tendency of the

drama is to throw up hats and halloo for Elizabeth and an open Bible. In place of this, Cecil delivers a well-considered analysis of the character of Elizabeth —

“*Elizabeth* God guide me lest I lose the way
[*Exit Elizabeth*]

Cecil Many points weather'd, many perilous ones,
At last a harbour opens, but therein
Sunk rocks—they need fine steering—much it is
To be nor mad, nor bigot—have a mind—
Nor let Priests' talk, or dream of worlds to be,
Miscolour things about her—sudden touches
For him, or him—sunk rocks, no passionate faith—
But—if let be—balance and compromise,
Brave, wary, sane to the heart of her—a Tudor
School'd by the shadow of death—a Boleyn, too,
Glancing across the Tudor—not so well”

This is excellent as historical criticism, in the favourable sense, but the drama, by its nature, demands something not critical but triumphant and one-sided. The character of Elizabeth is one of the best in the play, as her soliloquy (Act III scene v) is one of the finest of the speeches. We see her courage, her coquetry, her dissimulation, her arrogance. But while this is the true Elizabeth, it is not the idealised Elizabeth whom English loyalty created, lived for, and died for. Mr Froude wrote, “You have given us the greatest of all your works,” an opinion which the world can never accept. “You have reclaimed one more section of English History from the wilderness, and given it a form in which it will be fixed for ever. No one since Shakespeare has done that.” But Mr Froude had done it, and

Tennyson's reading of "the section" is mainly that of Mr Froude Mr Gladstone found that Cranmer and Gardiner "are still in a considerable degree mysteries to me" A mystery Cranmer must remain Perhaps the "crowds" and "Voices" are not the least excellent of the characters, Tennyson's humour finding an opportunity in them, and in Joan and Tib His idyllic charm speaks in the words of Lady Clarence to the fevered Queen, and there is dramatic genius in her reply —

"*Mary* What is the strange thing happiness? Sit down here

Tell me thine happiest hour

Lady Clarence I will, if that
May make you Grace forget yourself a little
There runs a shallow brook across our field
For twenty miles, where the black crow flies five,
And doth so bound and babble all the way
As if itself were happy It was May-time,
And I was walking with the man I loved
I loved him, but I thought I was not loved
And both were silent, letting the wild brook
Speak for us—till he stoop'd and gather'd one
From out a bed of thick forget-me-nots,
Look'd hard and sweet at me, and gave it me
I took it, tho' I did not know I took it,
And put it in my bosom, and all at once
I felt his arms about me, and his lips—

Mary O God! I have been too slack,
There are Hot Gospellers even among our guards—
Nobles we dared not touch We have but burnt
The heretic priest, workmen, and women and children
Wet, famine, ague, fever, storm, wick, wrath,—

We have so play'd the coward, but by God's grace,
 We'll follow Philip's leading, and set up
 The Holy Office here—gather the wheat,
 And burn the tares with unquenchable fire !”

The conclusion, in the acting edition, printed in the Biography, appears to be an improvement on that in the text as originally published. Unhappy as the drama essentially is, the welcome which Mr Browning gave both to the published work and to the acted play—“a complete success” “conception, execution, the whole and the parts, I see nowhere the shadow of a fault”—offers “relief” in actual human nature. “He is the greatest-brained poet in England,” Tennyson said, on a later occasion. “Violets fade, he has given me a crown of gold.”

Before writing *Harold* (1876) the poet “studied many recent plays,” and re-read Æschylus and Sophocles. For history he went to the Bayeux tapestry, the *Roman de Rou*, Lord Lytton, and Freeman. Students of a recent controversy will observe that, following Freeman, he retains the famous palisade, so grievously battered by the axe-strokes of Mr Horace Round. *Harold* is a piece more compressed, and much more in accordance with the traditions of the drama, than *Queen Mary*. The topic is tragic indeed, the sorrow being that of a great man, a great king, the bulwark of a people that fell with his fall. Moreover, as the topic is treated, the play is rich in the irony usually associated with the name of Sophocles. Victory comes

before a fall Harold, like Antigone, is torn between two duties—his oath and the claims of his country His ruin comes from what Aristotle would call his *αμαρτία*, his fault in swearing the oath to William The hero himself, recking little, after a superstitious moment, of the concealed relics over which he swore, deems his offence to lie in swearing a vow which he never meant to keep The persuasions which urge him to this course are admirably presented England, Edith, his brother's freedom, were at stake Casuistry, or even law, would have absolved him easily, an oath taken under duress is of no avail But Harold's "honour rooted in dishonour stood," and he cannot so readily absolve himself Bruce and the bishops who stood by Bruce had no such scruples they perjured themselves often, on the most sacred relics, especially the bishops But Harold rises above the mediæval and magical conception of the oath, and goes to his doom conscious of a stain on his honour, of which only a deeper stain, that of falseness to his country, could make him clean This is a truly tragic stroke of destiny The hero's character is admirably noble, patient, and simple The Confessor also is as true in art as to history, and his vision of the fall and rise of England is a noble passage In Aldwyth we have something of Vivien, with a grain of conscience, and the part of Edith Swan's-neck has a restrained and classic pathos in contrast with the melancholy of Wulfnoth The piece, as the poet said, is a "tragedy of doom," of deepening and darkening

omens, as in the *Odyssey* and *Njal's Saga*. The battle scene, with the choruses of the monks, makes a noble close.

FitzGerald remained loyal, but it was to "a fairy Prince who came from other skies than these rainy ones," and "the wretched cutics," as G. H. Lewes called them, seem to have been unfriendly. In fact (besides the innate wretchedness of all cutics), they grudged the time and labour given to the drama, in an undramatic age. *Harold* had not what FitzGerald called "the old champagne flavour" of the vintage of 1842.

Becket was begun in 1876, printed in 1879, and published in 1884. Before that date, in 1880, Tennyson produced one of the volumes of poetry which was more welcome than a play to most of his admirers. The intervening years passed in the Isle of Wight, at Aldworth, in town, and in summer tours, were of no marked biographical interest. The poet was close on three score and ten—he reached that limit in 1879. The days darkened around him, as darken they must. In the spring of 1879 he lost his favourite brother, himself a poet of original genius, Charles Tennyson Turner. In May of the same year he published *The Lover's Tale*, which has been treated here among his earliest works. His hours, and (to some extent) his meals, were regulated by Sir Andrew Clark. He planted trees, walked, read, loitered in his garden, and kept up his old friendships, while he made that of the

great Gordon. Compliments passed between him and Victor Hugo, who had entertained Lionel Tennyson in Paris, and wrote: "Je lis avec emotion vos vers superbes; c'est un reflet de gloire que vous m'envoyez." Mr Matthew Arnold's compliment was very like Mr Arnold's humour: "Your father has been our most popular poet for over forty years, and I am of opinion that he fully deserves his reputation": such was "Mat's sublime waggery." Tennyson heaped coals of fire on the other poet, bidding him, as he liked to be bidden, to write more poetry, not "prose things." Tennyson lived much in the society of Browning and George Eliot, and made the acquaintance of Renan. In December 1879 Mr and Mrs Kendal produced *The Falcon*, which ran for sixty-seven nights; it is "an exquisite little poem in action," as Fanny Kemble said. During a Continental tour Tennyson visited Catullus's Sirmio: "here he made his *Frater Ave atque Vale*," and the poet composed his beautiful salutation to the

"Tenderest of Roman poets nineteen hundred years ago."

In 1880 *Ballads and other Poems* proved that, like Titian, the great poet was not to be defeated by the years. *The First Quarrel* was in his most popular English style. *Rizpah* deserved and received the splendid panegyric of Mr Swinburne. *The Revenge* is probably the finest of the patriotic pieces, and keeps green the memory of an exploit the most marvellous in the annals of English seamen. *The Village Wife* is a pendant worthy of

The Northern Farmer The poem *In the Children's Hospital* caused some imitation at the moment, but there was only one opinion as to the *Defence of Lucknow* and the beautiful re-telling of the Celtic *Voyage of Maeldune* The fragment of Homeric translation was equally fortunate in choice of subject and in rendering

In the end of 1880 the poet finished *The Cup*, which had been worked on occasionally since he completed *The Falcon* in 1880 The piece was read by the author to Sir Henry Irving and his company, and it was found that the manuscript copy needed few alterations to fit it for the stage The scenery and the acting of the protagonists are not easily to be forgotten The play ran for a hundred and thirty nights Sir Henry Irving had thought that *Becket* (then unpublished) would prove too expensive, and could only be a *succès d'estime* Tennyson had found out that "the worst of writing for the stage is, you must keep some actor always in your mind" To this necessity authors like Molière and Shakespeare were, of course, resigned and familiar, they knew exactly how to deal with all their means But this part of the business of play-writing must always be a cross to the poet who is not at one with the world of the stage

In *The Cup* Miss Ellen Terry made the strongest impression, her part being noble and sympathetic, while Sir Henry Irving had the ungrateful part of the villain To be sure, he was a villain of much complexity, and Tennyson thought that his subtle blend of Roman

refinement and intellectuality, and barbarian, self-satisfied sensuality, was not "hit off" Synon is, in fact, half Greek, half-Celt, with a Roman education, and the "blend" is rather too remote for successful representation. The traditional villain, from Iago downwards, is not apt to utter such poetry as this —

"O Thou, that dost inspire the germ with life,
The child, a thread within the house of birth,
And give him limbs, then air, and send him forth
The glory of his father—Thou whose breath
Is balmy wind to robe our hills with grass,
And kindle all our vales with myrtle-blossom,
And roll the golden oceans of our gnam,
And sway the long grape-bunches of our vines,
And fill all hearts with fatness and the lust
Of plenty—make me happy in my marriage!"

The year 1881 brought the death of another of the old Cambridge friends, James Spedding, the biographer of Bacon, and Carlyle also died, a true friend, if rather intermittent in his appreciation of poetry. The real Carlyle did appreciate it, but the Carlyle of attitude was too much of the iron Covenanter to express what he felt. The poem *Despair* irritated the earnest and serious readers of "know-nothing books." The poem expressed, dramatically, a mood like another, a human mood not so very uncommon. A man ruined in this world's happiness curses the faith of his youth, and the unfaith of his reading and reflection, and tries to drown himself. This is one conclusion of the practical syllogism, and it is a free country. However, there

were freethinkers who did not think that Tennyson's kind of thinking ought to be free. Other earnest persons objected to "First drink a health," in the re-fashioned song of *Hands all Round*. They might have remembered a royal health drunk in water an hour before the drinkers swept Mackay down the Pass of Killiecrankie. The poet did not specify the fluid in which the toast was to be carried, and the cup might be that which "cheers but not inebriates." "The common cup," as the remonstrants had to be informed, "has in all ages been the sacred symbol of unity."

The Promise of May was produced in November 1882, and the poet was once more so unfortunate as to vex the susceptibilities of advanced thinkers. The play is not a masterpiece, and yet neither the gallery gods nor the Marquis of Queensberry need have felt their withers wrung. The hero, or villain, Edgar, is a perfectly impossible person, and represents no kind of political, social, or economical thinker. A man would give all other bliss and all his worldly wealth for this, to waste his whole strength in one kick upon this perfect prig. He employs the arguments of evolution and so forth to justify the seduction of a little girl of fifteen, and later, by way of making amends, proposes to commit incest by marrying her sister. There have been evolutionists, to be sure, who believed in promiscuity, like Mr. Edgar, as preferable to monogamy. But this only proves that an evolutionist may fail to understand evolution. There be also such folk as Stevenson calls "squir-

radicals"—squires who say that "the land is the people's." Probably no advocate of promiscuity, and no squirradical, was present at the performances of *The Promise of May*. But people of advanced minds had got it into their heads that their doctrines were to be attacked, so they went and made a hubbub in the sacred cause of freedom of thought and speech. The truth is, that controversial topics, political topics, ought not to be brought into plays, much less into sermons. Tennyson meant Edgar for "nothing thorough, nothing sincere." He is that venomous thing, the prig-scoundrel: he does not suit the stage, and his place, if anywhere, is in the novel. Advocates of marriage with a deceased wife's sister might have applauded Edgar for wishing to marry the sister of a mistress assumed to be deceased, but no other party in the State wanted anything except the punching of Edgar's head by Farmer Dobson.

In 1883 died Edward FitzGerald, the most kind, loyal, and, as he said, crotchety of old and dear Cambridge friends. He did not live to see the delightful poem which Tennyson had written for him. In almost his latest letter he had remarked, superfluously, that when he called the task of translating *The Agamemnon* "work for a poet," he "was not thinking of Mr Browning."

In the autumn of 1883 Tennyson was taken, with Mr Gladstone, by Sir Donald Currie, for a cruise round the west coast of Scotland, to the Orkneys, and to Copenhagen. The people of Kirkwall conferred on the poet

and the statesman the freedom of the burgh, and Mr Gladstone, in an interesting speech, compared the relative chances of posthumous fame of the poet and the politician Pericles is not less remembered than Sophocles, though Shakespeare is more in men's minds than Cecil. Much depends, as far as the statesmen are considered, on contemporary historians. It is Thucydides who immortalises Pericles. But it is improbable that the things which Mr Gladstone did, and attempted, will be forgotten more rapidly than the conduct and characters of, say, Burleigh or Lethington.

In 1884, after this voyage, with its royal functions and celebrations at Copenhagen, a peerage was offered to the poet. He "did not want to alter his plain Mr," and he must have known that, whether he accepted or refused, the chorus of blame would be louder than that of applause. Scott had desired "such grinning honour as Sir Walter hath", the title went well with the old name, and pleased his love of old times. Tennyson had been blamed "by literary men" for thrice evading a baronetcy, and he did not think that a peerage would make smooth the lives of his descendants. But he concluded, "Why should I be selfish and not suffer an honour (as Gladstone says) to be done to literature in my name?" Politically, he thought that the Upper House, while it lasts, partly supplied the place of the American "referendum". He voted in July 1884 for the extension of the franchise, and in November stated his views to Mr Gladstone in verse. In prose he wrote to Mr

Gladstone, "I have a strong conviction that the more simple the dealings of men with men, as well as of man with man, are—the better," a sentiment which, perhaps, did not always prevail with his friend. The poet's reflections on the honour of Gordon's death are not recorded. He introduced the idea of the Gordon Home for Boys, and later supported it by a letter, "Have we forgotten Gordon?" to the *Daily Telegraph*. They who cannot forget Gordon must always be grateful to Tennyson for providing this opportunity of honouring the greatest of an illustrious clan, and of helping, in their degree, a scheme which was dear to the heroic leader. The poet, very naturally, was most averse to personal appearance in public matters. Mankind is so fashioned that the advice of a poet is always regarded as impractical, and is even apt to injure the cause which he advocates. Happily there cannot be two opinions about the right way of honouring Gordon. Tennyson's poem, *The Fleet*, was also in harmony with the general sentiment.

In the last month of 1884 *Becket* was published. The theme of Fair Rosamund had appealed to the poet in youth, and he had written part of a lyric which he judiciously left unpublished. It is given in his Biography. In 1877 he had visited Canterbury, and had traced the steps of Becket to his place of slaughter in the Cathedral. The poem was printed in 1879, but not published till seven years later. In 1879 Sir Henry Irving had thought the play too costly to be produced with

more than a *succès d'estime* but in 1891 he put it on the stage, where it proved the most successful of modern poetic dramas. As published it is, obviously, far too long for public performance. It is not easy to understand why dramatic poets always make their works so much too long. The drama seems, by its very nature, to have a limit almost as distinct as the limit of the sonnet. It is easy to calculate how long a play for the stage ought to be, and we might think that a poet would find the natural limit serviceable to his art, for it inculcates selection, conciseness, and concentration. But despite these advantages of the natural form of the drama, modern poets, at least, constantly overflow their banks. The author *ruit profusus*, and the manager has to reduce the piece to feasible proportions, such as it ought to have assumed from the first.

Becket has been highly praised by Sir Henry Irving himself, for its "moments of passion and pathos, which, when they exist, atone to an audience for the endurance of long acts." But why should the audience have such long acts to endure? The reader, one fears, is apt to use his privilege of skipping. The long speeches of Walter Map and the immense period of Margery tempt the student to exercise his agility. A "chronicle play" has the privilege of wandering, but *Becket* wanders too far and too long. The political details of the quarrel between Church and State, with its domestic and international complexities, are apt to fatigue the attention. Inevitable and insoluble as the

situation was, neither protagonist is entirely sympathetic, whether in the play or in history. The struggle in Becket between his love of the king and his duty to the Church (or what he takes to be his duty) is nobly presented, and is truly dramatic, while there is grotesque and terrible relief in the banquet of the Beggars. In the scene of the assassination the poet "never stoops his wing," and there are passages of tender pathos between Henry and Rosamund, while Becket's keen memories of his early days, just before his death, are moving.

"*Becket* I once was out with Henry in the days
When Henry loved me, and we came upon
A wild-fowl sitting on her nest, so still
I reach'd my hand and touch'd, she did not stir,
The snow had frozen round her, and she sat
Stone-dead upon a heap of ice-cold eggs
Look! how this love, this mother, runs thro' all
The world God made—even the beast—the bird!

John of Salisbury Ay, still a lover of the beast and
bird?

But these arm'd men—will you not hide yourself?
Perchance the fierce De Brocs from Saltwood Castle,
To assail our Holy Mother lest she brood
Too long o'er this hard egg, the world, and send
~~Her~~ whole heart's heat into it, till it break
Into young angels. Pray you, hide yourself!

Becket There was a little fair-han'd Norman maid
Lived in my mother's house if Rosamund is
The world's rose, as her name imports her—she
Was the world's lily

John of Salisbury Ay, and what of her?

Becket She died of leprosy."

But the part of Rosamund, her innocent ignorance especially, is not very readily intelligible, not quite persuasive, and there is almost a touch of the burlesque in her unexpected appearance as a monk. To weave that old and famous story of love into the terribly complex political intrigue was a task almost too great. The character of Eleanor is perhaps more successfully drawn in the Prologue than in the scene where she offers the choice of the dagger or the bowl, and is interrupted, in a startlingly unexpected manner, by the Archbishop himself. The opportunities for scenic effects are magnificent throughout, and must have contributed greatly to the success on the stage. Still one cannot but regard the published *Becket* as rather the marble from which the statue may be hewn than as the statue itself. There are fine scenes, powerful and masterly drawing of character in Henry, Eleanor, and Becket, but there is a want of concentration, due, perhaps, to the long period of time covered by the action. So, at least, it seems to a reader who has admitted his sense of incompetency in the dramatic region. The acuteness of the poet's power of historical intuition was attested by Mr J. R. Green and Mr Bryce. "One cannot imagine," said Mr Bryce, "a more vivid, a more perfectly faithful picture than it gives both of Henry and Thomas." Tennyson's portraits of these two "go beyond and perfect history." The poet's sympathy ought, perhaps, to have been, if not with the false and ruffianly Henry, at least

with Henry's side of the question For Tennyson had
made Harold leave

“To England

My legacy of war against the Pope
From child to child, from Pope to Pope, from age to age,
Till the sea wash her level with her shores,
Or till the Pope be Christ's ”

IX

LAST YEARS

THE end of 1884 saw the publication of *Tiresias and other Poems*, dedicated to "My good friend, Robert Browning," and opening with the beautiful verses to one who never was Mr Browning's friend, Edward Fitzgerald. The volume is rich in the best examples of Tennyson's later work. *Tiresias*, the monologue of the aged seer, blinded by excess of light when he beheld Athene unveiled, and under the curse of Cassandra, is worthy of the author who, in youth, wrote *Ænons* and *Ulysses*. Possibly the verses reflect Tennyson's own sense of public indifference to the voice of the poet and the seer. But they are of much earlier date than the year of publication —

"For when the crowd would roar
For blood, for war, whose issue was their doom,
To cast wise words among the multitude
Was flinging fruit to lions, nor, in hours
Of civil outbreak, when I knew the twain
Would each waste each, and bring on both the yoke
Of stronger states, was mine the voice to curb
The madness of our cities and their kings

Who ever turn'd upon his heel to hear
 My warning that the tyranny of one
 Was prelude to the tyranny of all?
 My counsel that the tyranny of all
 Led backward to the tyranny of one?

This power hath work'd no good to aught that lives"

The conclusion was a favourite with the author, and his blank verse never reached a higher strain —

"But for me,

I would that I were gathered to my rest,
 And mingled with the famous kings of old,
 On whom about their ocean-islets flash
 The faces of the Gods—the wise man's word,
 Here triampled by the populace underfoot,
 Their crown'd with worship—and these eyes will find
 The men I knew, and watch the chariot wheel
 About the goal again, and hunters' race
 The shadowy lion, and the warrior-kings,
 In height and prowess more than human, strive
 Again for glory, while the golden lyre
 Is ever sounding in heroic ears
 • Heroic hymns, and every way the vales
 Wind, clouded with the grateful incense-fume
 Of those who mix all odour to the Gods
 On one far height in one far-shining fire"

Then follows the pathetic piece on FitzGerald's death, and the prayer, not unfulfilled—

"That, when I from hence
 Shall fade with him into the unknown,
 My close of earth's experience
 May prove as peaceful as his own"

The Ancient Sage, with its lyric interludes, is one of Tennyson's meditations on the mystery of the world and

of existence Like the poet himself, the Sage finds a gleam of light and hope in his own subjective experiences of some unspeakable condition, already recorded in *In Memoriam* The topic was one on which he seems to have spoken to his friends with freedom —

“And more, my son ! for more than once when I
 Sat all alone, revolving in myself
 The word that is the symbol of myself,
 The mortal limit of the Self was loosed,
 And past into the Nameless, as a cloud
 Melts into Heaven I touch’d my limbs, the limbs
 Were strange not mine—and yet no shade of doubt,
 But utter clearness, and thro’ loss of Self
 The gain of such large life as match’d with ours
 Were Sun to spark—unshadowable in words,
 Themselves but shadows of a shadow-world”

The poet’s habit of

“Revolving in myself
 The word that is the symbol of myself”—

that is, of dwelling on the sound of his own name, was familiar to the Arabs M Lefébure has drawn my attention to a passage in the works of a mediæval Arab philosopher, Ibn Khaldoun ¹ “To arrive at the highest degree of inspiration of which he is capable, the diviner should have recourse to the use of certain phrases marked by a peculiar cadence and parallelism Thus he emancipates his mind from the influence of the senses, and is enabled to attain an imperfect contact with the spiritual world” Ibn

¹ *Notices et Extraits des MSS de la Bibliothèque Impériale*, I xix pp 643 645

Khaldoun regards the "contact" as extremely "imperfect" He describes similar efforts made by concentrating the gaze on a mirror, a bowl of water, or the like Tennyson was doubtless unaware that he had stumbled accidentally on a method of "ancient sages" Psychologists will explain his experience by the word "dissociation" It is not everybody, however, who can thus dissociate himself The temperament of genius has often been subject to such influence, as M Lefebure has shown in the modern instances of George Sand and Alfred de Musset we might add Shelley, Goethe, and even Scott

The poet's versatility was displayed in the appearance with these records of "wend seizures," of the Irish dialect piece *To-morrow*, the popular *Spinster's Sweet-Arts*, and the *Locksley Hall Sixty Years After* The old fire of the versification is unabated, but the hero has relapsed on the gloom of the hero of *Maud* He represents himself, of course, not Tennyson, or only one of the moods of Tennyson, which were sometimes black enough A very different mood chants the *Charge of the Heavy Brigade*, and speaks of

"Green Sussex fading into blue
With one gray glimpse of sea"

The lines *To Virgil* were written at the request of the Mantuans, by the most Virgilian of all the successors of the

"Wielder of the stateliest measure
ever moulded by the lips of man"

Never was Tennyson more Virgilian than in this unmatched panegyric, the sum and flower of criticism of that

"Golden bunch amid the shadows,
kings and realms that pass to use no more"

Hardly less admirable is the tribute to Catullus, and the old poet is young again in the bird-song of *Early Spring*. The lines on *Poets and their Bibliographies*, with *The Dead Prophet*, express Tennyson's lifelong abhorrence of the critics and biographers, whose joy is in the futile and the unimportant, in personal gossip and the sweepings of the studio, the salvage of the waste-paper basket. The *Prefatory Poem to my Brother's Sonnets* is not only touching in itself, but proves that the poet can "turn to favour and to prettiness" such an affliction as the ruinous summer of 1879.

The year 1880 brought deeper distress in the death of the poet's son Lionel, whose illness, begun in India, ended fatally in the Red Sea. The interest of the following years was mainly domestic. The poet's health, hitherto robust, was somewhat impaired in 1888, but his vivid interest in affairs and in letters was unabated. He consoled himself with Virgil, Keats, Wordsworth, Gibbon, Euripides, and Mr Leaf's speculations on the composite nature of the *Iliad*, in which Coleridge, perhaps alone among poets, believed. "You know," said Tennyson to Mr Leaf, "I never liked that theory of yours about the many poets." It would be at least as easy to prove that there were many authors of *Ivanhoe*,

or perhaps it would be a good deal more easy. However, he admitted that three lines which occur both in the Eighth and the Sixteenth Books of the *Iliad* are more appropriate in the later book. Similar examples might be found in his own poems. He still wrote, in the intervals of a malady which brought him "as near death as a man could be without dying." He was an example of the great physical strength which, on the whole, seems usually to accompany great mental power. The strength may be dissipated by passion, or by undue labour, as in cases easily recalled to memory, but neither cause had impaired the vigour of Tennyson. Like Goethe, he lived out all his life, and his eightieth birthday was cheered both by public and private expressions of reverence and affection.

Of Tennyson's last three years on earth we may think, in his own words, that his

"Life's latest eve endured
Not settled into hueless grey"

Nature was as dear to him and as inspiring as of old, men and affairs and letters were not slurred by his intact and energetic mind. His *Demeter and other Poems*, with the dedication to Lord Dufferin, appeared in the December of the year. The dedication was the lament for the dead son and the salutation to the Viceroy of India, a piece of resigned and manly regret. The *Demeter and Persephone* is a modern and tender study of the theme of the most beautiful Homeric

Hymn The ancient poet had no such thought of the restored Persephone as that which impels Tennyson to describe her

"Faint as a climate-changing bird that flies
All night across the darkness, and at dawn
Falls on the threshold of her native land "

The spring, the restored Persephone, comes more vigorous and joyous to the shores of the Ægean than to ours. All Tennyson's own is Demeter's awe of those "imperial disimpassioned eyes" of her daughter, come from the bed and the throne of Hades, the Lord of many guests. The hymn, happy in its ending, has no thought of the grey heads of the Fates, and their answer to the goddess concerning "fate beyond the Fates," and the breaking of the bonds of Hades. The ballad of *Owd Roa* is one of the most spirited of the essays in dialect to which Tennyson had of late years inclined. *Vastness* merely expresses, in terms of poetry, Tennyson's conviction that, without immortality, life is a series of worthless contrasts. An opposite opinion may be entertained, but a man has a right to express his own, which, coming from so great a mind, is not undeserving of attention, or, at least, is hardly deserving of reproof. The poet's idea is also stated thus in *The Ring*, in terms which perhaps do not fall below the poetical, or, at least, do not drop into "the utterly unpoetical" —

"The Ghost in Man, the Ghost that once was Man,
But cannot wholly free itself from Man,

Are calling to each other thro' a dawn
 Stranger than earth has ever seen; the veil
 Is rending, and the Voices of the day
 Are heard across the Voices of the dark.
 No sudden heaven, nor sudden hell, for man,
 But thro' the Will of One who knows and rules—
 And utter knowledge is but utter love—
 Æonian Evolution, swift or slow,
 Thro' all the Spheres— an ever opening height,
 An ever lessening earth."

The Ring is, in fact, a ghost story based on a legend told by Mr Lowell about a house near where he had once lived; one of those houses vexed by

"A footstep, a low throbbing in the walls,
 A noise of falling weights that never fell,
 Weird whispers, bells that rang without a hand,
 Door-handles turn'd when none was at the door,
 And bolted doors that open'd of themselves."

These phenomena were doubtless caused by rats and water-pipes, but they do not destroy the pity and the passion of the tale. The lines to Mary Boyle are all of the normal world, and worthy of a poet's youth and of the spring. *Merlin and the Gleam* is the spiritual allegory of the poet's own career:—

"Arthur had vanish'd
 I knew not whither,
 The king who loved me,
 And cannot die."

So at last

"All but in Heaven
 Hovers The Gleam,"

whither the wayfarer was soon to follow. There is a marvellous hope and pathos in the melancholy of these all but the latest songs, reminiscent of youth and love, and even of the dim haunting memories and dreams of infancy. No other English poet has thus rounded all his life with music. Tennyson was in his eighty-first year, when there "came in a moment" the crown of his work, the immortal lyric, *Crossing the Bar*. It is hardly less majestic and musical in the perfect Greek rendering by his brother-in-law, Mr Lushington. For once at least a poem has been "poured from the golden to the silver cup" without the spilling of a drop. The new book's appearance was coincident with the death of Mr Browning, "so loving and appreciative," as Lady Tennyson wrote, a friend, not a rival, however the partisans of either poet might strive to stir emulation between two men of such lofty and such various genius.

X

1890

IN the year 1889 the poet's health had permitted him to take long walks on the sea-shore and along the cliffs, one of which, by reason of its whiteness, he had named "Tahesin," "the splendid brow" His mind ran on a poem founded on an Egyptian legend (of which the source is not mentioned), telling how "despair and death came upon him who was mad enough to try to probe the secret of the universe" He also thought of a drama on Tristram, who, in the Idylls, is treated with brevity, and not with the sympathy of the old writer who cries, "God bless Tristram the knight he fought for England!" But early in 1890 Tennyson suffered from a severe attack of influenza In May Mr Watts painted his portrait, and

"Divinely through all hindrance found the man"

Tennyson was a great admirer of Miss Austen's novels "The realism and life-likeness of Miss Austen's *Dramatis Personæ* come nearest to those of Shakespeare Shakespeare, however, is a sun to which Jane Austen, though

a bright and true little world, is but an asteroid " He was therefore pleased to find apple blossoms co-existing with ripe strawberries on June 28, as Miss Austen has been blamed, by minute philosophers, for introducing this combination in the garden party in *Emma* The poet, like most of the good and great, read novels eagerly, and excited himself over the confirmation of an adult male in a story by Miss Yonge Of Scott, "the most chivalrous literary figure of the century, and the author with the widest range since Shakespeare," he preferred *Old Mortality*, and it is a good choice He hated "morbid and introspective tales, with their oceans of sham philosophy " At this time, with catholic taste, he read Mr Stevenson and Mr Meredith, Miss Braddon and Mr Henry James, Ouida and Mr Thomas Hardy, Mr Hall Caine and Mr Anstey, Mrs Oliphant and Miss Edna Lyall Not everybody can peruse all of these very diverse authors with pleasure He began his poem on the Roman gladiatorial combats, indeed his years, fourscore and one, left his intellectual eagerness as unimpaired as that of Goethe "A crooked share," he said to the Princess Louise, "may make a straight furrow " "One afternoon he had a long waltz with M—— in the ballroom " Speaking of

"All the charm of all the Muses
Often flowering in a lonely word "

in Virgil, he adduced, rather strangely, the *cunctantem*

ramum, said of the Golden Bough, in the Sixth. Froud The choice is odd, because the Sibyl has just told Æneas that, if he be destined to pluck the branch of gold, *ipse volens facillique sequetur*, "it will come off of its own accord," like the sacred *tu* branches of the Fijians, which bend down to be plucked for the Fijianite. Yet, when the predestined Æneas tries to pluck the bough of gold, it yields *reluctantly* (*evinctantem*), contrary to what the Sibyl has foretold. Mr Conington, therefore, thought the phrase a slip on the part of Virgil. "People accused Virgil of plagiarising," he said, "but if a man made it his own there was no harm in that (look at the great poets, Shakespeare included)." Tennyson, like Virgil, made much that was ancient his own, his verses are often, and purposefully, a mosaic of classical reminiscences. But he was vexed by the hunters after remote and unconscious resemblances, and far-fetched analogies between his lines and those of others. He complained that, if he said that the sun went down, a parallel was at once cited from Homer, or anybody else, and he used a very powerful phrase to condemn critics who detected such repetitions. "The moanings of the homeless sea,"—"moanings" from Horace, "homeless" from Shelley. "As if no one else had ever heard the sea moan except Horace!" Tennyson's mixture of memory and forgetfulness was not so strange as that of Scott, and when he adapted from the Greek, Latin, or Italian, it was of set purpose, just as it was with Virgil. The

beautiful lines comparing a gull's eyes to bottom agates that seem to

"Wave and float
In crystal currents of clear running seas,"

he invented while bathing in Wales. It was his habit to note down in verse such similes from nature, and to use them when he found occasion. But the higher criticism, analysing the simile, detected elements from Shakespeare and from Beaumont and Fletcher.

In June 1891 the poet went on a tour in Devonshire⁷, and began his *Akbar*, and probably wrote *June Bracken and Heather*, or perhaps it was composed when "we often sat on the top of Blackdown to watch the sunset". He wrote to Mr Kipling—

"The oldest to the youngest singer
That England boie"

(to alter Mr Swinburne's lines to Landor), praising his *Flag of England*. Mr Kipling replied as "the private to the general."

Early in 1892 *The Foresters* was successfully produced at New York by Miss Ada Rehan, the music by Sir Arthur Sullivan, and the scenery from woodland designs by Whymper. Robin Hood (as we learn from Mark Twain) is a favourite hero with the youth of America. Mr Tom Sawyer himself took, in Mark Twain's tale, the part of the bold outlaw.

The Death of Ænone was published in 1892, with the dedication to the Master of Balliol—

“ Read a Grecian tale retold
Which, cast in later Grecian mould,
Quintus Calaber
Somewhat lazily handled of old ”

Quintus Calaber, more usually called Quintus Smyrnaeus, is a writer of perhaps the fourth century of our era. About him nothing, or next to nothing, is known. He is told, in so late an age, the conclusion of the Tale of Troy, and (in the writer's opinion) has been unduly neglected and disdained. His manner, I venture to think, is more Homeric than that of the more famous and doubtless greater Alexandrian poet of the Argonautic cycle, Apollonius Rhodius, his senior by five centuries. His materials were probably the ancient and lost poems of the Epic Cycle, and the story of the death of C  none may be from the *Little Iliad* of Lesches. Possibly parts of his work may be textually derived from the Cyclics, but the topic is very obscure. In Quintus, Paris, after encountering evil omens on his way, makes a long speech, imploring the pardon of the deserted C  none. She replies, not with the Tennysonian brevity, she sends him back to the helpless arms of her rival, Helen. Paris dies on the hills, never did Helen see him returning. The wood-nymphs bewail Paris, and a herdsman brings the bitter news to Helen, who chants her lament. But remorse falls on C  none. She does not go.

“ Slowly down
By the long torrent's ever-deepened roar,”

but rushes "swift as the wind to seek and spring upon the pyre of her lord" Fate and Aphrodite drive her headlong, and in heaven Selene, remembering Endymion, bewails the lot of her sister in sorrow. Cænone reaches the funeral flame, and without a word or a cry leaps into her husband's arms, the wild Nymphs wondering. The lovers are mingled in one heap of ashes, and these are bestowed in one vessel of gold and buried in a hollow. This is the story which the poet rehearsed in his cottage, completing the work of his happy youth when he walked with Hallam in the Pyrenean hills, that were to him as Ida. The romance of Cænone and her death is condoned, as even Homer was apt to condone, the sins of beautiful Paris, whom the nymphs lament, despite the evil that he has wrought. The silence of the veiled Cænone, as she springs into her lover's last embrace, is perhaps more affecting and more natural than Tennyson's

"She lifted up a voice
Of shrill command, 'Who burns upon the pyre?'"

The *St Telemachus* has the old splendour and vigour of verse, and, though written so late in life, is worthy of the poet's prime —

"Eve after eve that haggard anchoress
Would haunt the desolated fane, and there
Gaze at the ruin, often mutter low
'Vicisti Galilæe', louder again,
Spurning a shatter'd fragment of the God,
'Vicisti Galilæe!' but—when now
Bathed in that lurid crimson—ask'd 'Is earth

On fire to the West? or is the Demon-god
 Wroth at his fall?" and heard an answer 'Wake
 Thou deedless dreamer, lazying out a life
 Of self-suppression, not of selfless love'
 And once a flight of shadowy fighters crossed
 The disk, and once, he thought, a shape with wings
 Came sweeping by him, and pointed to the West,
 And at his ear he heard a whisper 'Rome,'
 And in his heart he cried 'The call of God'
 And call'd arose, and, slowly plunging down
 Thro' that disastrous glory, set his face
 By waste and field and town of alien tongue,
 Following a hundred sunsets, and the sphere
 Of westward-wheeling stars, and every dawn
 Struck from him his own shadow on to Rome
 Foot-sore, way worn, at length he touch'd his goal,
 The Christian city"

Akbar's Dream may be taken, more or less, to represent the poet's own theology of a race seeking after God, if perchance they may find Him, and the closing Hymn was a favourite with Tennyson. He said, "It is a magnificent metre" —

"HYMN

I

Once again thou flamest heavenward, once again we see
 thee rise
 Every morning is thy birthday gladdening human hearts
 and eyes
 Every morning here we greet it, bowing lowly down
 before thee,
 Thee the Godlike, thee the changeless in thine ever-changing
 skies

INDEX.

- Alban's Dream*, 206, 209
 Aldworth, 106
All along the Valley, 159
Ancient Sage, The 195 224
 Argyll Duke of, 95 98, 101, 158
 Arnold, M., 46, 95, 162, 183
 Arthur, 111, 117
 Arthurian Legend, 112
 Austen, Jane, 203
Aylmer's Field, 161

Bahn and Balan, 128
Ballads and other Poems, 183, 184
Becket, 189 193
Boadicea, 159
 Browning, R., 49, 83, 158, 167, 168, 202, 211
 Burns, 60, 98
 Byron, 6

 Carlyle, 32, 36, 47, 59, 185
Charge of the Heavy Brigade, 197
Charge of the Light Brigade, 85
Churchwarden and the Curate, 210
 Classics, Tennyson and the, 205, 222
Coming of Arthur, 113, 167
 Croker, J. W., 37
Crossing the Bar, 202
Cup, The, 184

Daisy The, 83
 Darwin, 61, 98
Day Dream, 43

Death of Cænon, 206
Defence of Luchinov 191
Demetrius and other Poems, 195
Despair, 185
 Dialect poems, 200
 Dickens, 47
 Dramatist and poets 170, 171
 Dramas, the, 169 193—dates of, 169
Dream of Fair Women, 28

Flame, 138 146
 Elizabeth, Queen, 177, 178
English Idyls and other Poems, 40
 Enid, 123 128
Enoch Arden, 158 160
 "Experiments in quantity," 162

Falcon, The, 183
 Faringford, 85, 94
First Quarrel, The, 183
 FitzGerald, E., 167, 168, 182, 187, 195
Fleet, The, 189
Foresters, The, 170, 206
Later Aveling Vale, 183
 Froude, 175, 178

Gardener's Daughter, 30
Gareth and Lynette, 118, 169
Geraint and Enid, 95
 Gladstone, 187
 Gordon, 189, 228
Grandmother, The, 84, 97
Gunsberg, 95, 155

- Hallam, A H, 11, 13, 21, 31, 33, 217
Hands all Round 186
Harold, 180
Harrison, F., on *In Memoriam*, 18, 64—on the *Idylls*, 105 110, 225
Holy Grail, 147 150, 168
Homer, 156—translations of, 163, 164, 199
Houghton, Lord, 37, 48
Hugo, V., 168, 183
Huxley, 168
Hymn to the Sun, 209

Idylls of the King, 95, 103, 157
In Memoriam, 61 80
In the Children's Hospital, 184
Isabel, 165

Jerold, Douglas, 60
Jingoism 225 228
Jowett 19, 92, 96 98, 101, 138, 213, 214, 217
J S To, 29
Juvenilia, 15

Kipling, 206, 226

Lady of Shalott, 23
Lancelot, 105-108, 111, 117
Lancelot and Elaine, 138 146
Last Tournament, 151, 168
Lockhart, 22, 27, 32, 36, 47
Locksley Hall, 87
Locksley Hall Sixty Years After, 197
Longfellow, 166
Lotos-Eaters, 28
Love and Duty, 41
Lover's Tale 7
Lucretius, 162
Lushington, Edmund, 37, 202
Lyttton, Lord, 49

Mabinogion, 39, 95—quoted, 121
Malory, 104-110—prose quoted, 121, 124, 139 141
Mariana, 15
Marriage of Geraint, 119
Mary, Queen, 172-180—Mary and history, 172-178
Maud, 83 94
May Queen, 27

Merlin 114, 115 134
Merlin and the Gham, 201
Merlin and Vivien 134 137
Miller's Daughter, 25
Monte D Ithur, 31, 32, 39
Musset, Alfred de, 219
Mystic, The, 16
Mysticism in Tennyson, 196, 223

Northern Farmer, 159, 168

Ode on Wellington, 83
Onone, 26

Palace of Art, The, 26
Palgrave, F T, 98, 159, 215, 226, 230
Parody on Tennyson 82
Passing of Arthur, 156
Peel, 48
Pelleas and Ettarrie, 150
Plagiarism 205
Poems by Two Brothers, 6
Poets and Critics 210
Poets and the drama, 170
Princess The, 46 et seq
Promise of May, The, 186

Queen Mary, 172 180—Mary and history 172 178
Queen Victoria, 82, 83

Revenge, The, 183
Ring, The, 201
Rizpah, 183
Ruskin, 98, 101

Scotland visits to 84 187
Scott, Walter, 4, 86, 89, 204, 223
Sea Dreams, 161
Sellar, M., 81 85
Shakespeare, 172, 227, 229
Shelley, 7
St. Gilehud, 43 159
Song of the Wreath 165
Spedrag, Jirics, 19 29, 37, 185
St. Gilehud's Sea et lirs, 197
St. Gilehud's 166
St. Gilehud's 208
Saddart, Thomas Tod, 25
Swinnurne, 95, 151, 172 183

Taine, 219
Tennyson, Charles, 34, 182

- Tennyson, Charles, 6
 Thackeray, 11, 37, 59, 96, 99,
 138, 158
 Theocritus, 35, 103, 127, 223
Imbuiatio, 12
Tweeds and other Poems, 194
Tithonus, 158
Two Voices, 32, 42
 Tindall 223
Ulysses, 41
Fastness, 200
Village Life Tri, 183
 Virgil, 205
Virgil, 10 197
Vision of Sin, 44
 Vivien 135
Voyage of Maeldune 184
Wellington Ode on 83
Winds, the 165
 Wordsworth, 46

THE END